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| **Response Form****Implementation and Governance Arrangements for Market-Wide Half-Hourly Settlement Consultation** |

*The deadline for responses is 25 June 2021. Please send this form to* ***HalfHourlySettlement@ofgem.gov.uk*** *once completed.*

**Organisation:**

**Contact:**

**Is your feedback confidential?** NO [ ] YES[ ]

Unless you mark your response confidential, we will publish it on our website, [www.ofgem.gov.uk](http://www.ofgem.gov.uk), and put it in our library. You can ask us to keep your response confidential, and we will respect this, subject to obligations to disclose information, for example, under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you want us to keep your response confidential, you should clearly mark your response to that effect and include reasons.

If the information you give in your response contains personal data under General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Data Protection Act 2018, the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority will be the data controller. Ofgem uses the information in responses in performing its statutory functions and in accordance with section 105 of the Utilities Act 2000. If you are including any confidential material in your response, please put it in the appendices.

# Obligations on Parties

1. Do you agree that the balance of the duty to cooperate in licences and the more detailed obligations set out here will be sufficient to ensure that all parties are subject to the right obligations to secure timely and effective implementation of MHHS?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

2. Do you agree that the proposed obligations on all programme parties in respect of MHHS implementation, and the proposed obligations on Elexon in its roles as the BSC code administrator, are sufficiently well defined to ensure that ownership and accountability for implementation of MHHS is clear? If not, how could the proposed obligations be changed to allow this to happen?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

3. Do you have any comments on the scope or drafting of the draft obligations themselves? We would appreciate all comments, but suggestions for changes in wording where you think what is proposed does not work would be particularly helpful.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Governance Structure

|  |
| --- |
|  |

4. Do you support the governance structure as described in the Market-Wide Half-Hourly Settlement Governance Framework? We welcome all comments, but if you have proposals for changes to the governance structure it would be particularly helpful if you could clearly set out your preferred alternative in any specific area of the governance structure.

5. Do you agree with the approach of Ofgem designating the governance structure as set out in the Governance Framework as a baselined document in the BSC, that Elexon and all programme parties will have to comply with? If not, can you suggest an alternative method of embedding the governance structure, contained in the Governance Framework, in the programme and providing confidence to all programme parties?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Independent Programme Assurance

6. Do you have any comments on the proposed Assurance Principles?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Ofgem’s Role

7. Do you agree that specific thresholds should be set for Ofgem intervention to avoid the risk of Ofgem being drawn into day-to-day management of MHHS implementation?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

8. Do you agree that Ofgem intervention should be based on the five key criteria of: adherence to the TOM, delivery of benefits and costs, timeliness of delivery, impact on competition and consumer impact? Do you agree with the specific TOM, cost and timeliness thresholds? If not, what others would you propose?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

9. Are there any other criteria that you consider may warrant Ofgem intervention? Please give reasons why.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

10. Do you also agree that Ofgem should have a role in ensuring that conflicts of interest are properly managed within MHHS implementation?

|  |
| --- |
|  |