

## Errata List for the RII0-2 Draft Determinations

Changes made on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2020:

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
ET Annex	75	Missing hyphen between 25 and 100 in the following sentence in the UM table. £25100m, or projects directed by Ofgem to be assessed under the MSIP re-opener.	£25-100 £25100m, or projects directed by Ofgem to be assessed under the MSIP re-opener.
ET Annex	2.72	We propose to retain this criterion as it matches our proposed threshold for the LOTI re-opener (see section further up this chapter).	We propose to retain this criterion as it matches our proposed threshold for the LOTI re-opener (see Chapter 4).
ET Annex	Above paragraph 2.18 (underneath Table 3)	This paragraph is a bullet point	This paragraph should be a numbered paragraph

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
Core Document	paragraph 7.64, bullet point 3	Footnotes 75 and 76 are in third bullet point	Footnotes 75 and 76 should be for the first bullet point after "2018".
Core Document	paragraph 7.65	Footnotes 77 and 78 are in second bullet point	Footnotes 77 and 78 should be on the first bullet point
Core Document	paragraph 7.68	Missing word "will"	We propose to include reporting requirements for both cyber resilience OT and IT and will engage with network companies to establish these requirements.
Core Document	paragraph 7.69	Footnote 80	Remove footnote 80
Core Document	Consultation Question 16	One of our questions that was previously included in older versions of the Core Document have disappeared.	Add Q17. "What are your views on including the delivery of outputs such as: CAF outcome improvement; risk reduction; and cyber maturity improvement, along with projects-specific outputs?"
GD Annex	pg 121, Table 31	Missing 'safety from MOB's re-opener title and full name	Multiple occupancy buildings (MOB) safety

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
GD Annex	pg 109, heading level 2	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
GD Annex	pg 109, para 3.111	replace multi with multiple	with multiple occupancy buildings
GD Annex	pg134, para 4.43	final sentence hyphenates multi-occupancy	replace "multi-occupancy" with 'multiple occupancy'
GD Annex	p133, purpose box	Replace multi with multiple	multiple occupancy buildings (MOBs)
Cadent Annex	pg 9, Table 4	Replace multi with multiple and add safety	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs) safety
Cadent Annex	pg 9, Table 3	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
Cadent Annex	pg 34, 2nd bottom row	Replace multi - acronym already defined	(Obligations with respect to MOBs)
Cadent Annex	pg 68, 1st bullet	Replace multi with multiple	improving services in multiple occupancy buildings

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
Cadent Annex	pg 64, Table 61	Replace multi - acronym already defined	Obligations with respect to MOBs
Cadent Annex	pg 51	Replace multi with multiple	multiple occupancy buildings
Cadent Annex	pg 51, before Table 43	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
NGN Annex	pg 9, Table 4	Replace multiple- with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
NGN Annex	para 3.30	Replace multiple- with multiple	multiple occupancy buildings
NGN Annex	pg 43 heading	Replace multiple- with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
SGN Annex	pg 9, Table 4	Replace multiple- with multiple and add safety	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs) safety
SGN Annex	pg 25, 3rd last row in table	Riser isolation valves survey > 6 storey buildings: Replace multi with multiple	multiple occupancy buildings
SGN Annex	para 3.33	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
SGN Annex	Pg 49 heading	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
WWU Annex	pg 9, Table 4	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
WWU Annex	para 3.27	Replace multi with multiple	multiple occupancy buildings
WWU Annex	pg 32 heading	Replace multi with multiple	Multiple Occupancy Buildings (MOBs)
GD Annex	pg 87, para 3.27	lower than proposed allowed costs for RIIO-GD2' is incorrect, to be replaced with 'lower than RIIO-GD1 allowed costs'	actual totex over the period 2013-14 to 2018-19 is on average 14% lower than RIIO-GD1 allowed costs
GD Annex	pg 97, para 3.70	OBJ' at the end of a sentence	please remove
GD Annex	pg 118	wrong heading for consultation question. Technically Assessed Costs Consultation Questions to be replaced with Disaggregation of Allowances Consultation Questions	Disaggregation of Allowances Consultation Questions
Full list of consultation questions		Template needs to be amended	Question 17 added to the "Core Document Questions" and template ammended

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
Finance Annex	Figure 27, page 105	Chart needs to be amended, orange line was referencing a different (high spread) scenario rather than the market implied scenario. Corrected chart shows market implied scenario more robustly supports our conclusion in paragraph 5.33 of an improving AICR over time.	Chart replaced
Cadent Annex	pg 40, para 3.16	Same sentence repeated twice	Delete one of the two sentences "Details are provided for each of our cost categories, opex, repex and capex, listing out any changes to drivers used in the regression model."
NGN Annex	pg 35, para 3.16	Typo, "valued" instead of "values"	For reference, values provided
WWU Annex	pg 25, para 3.16	Same sentence repeated twice	Delete one of the two sentences "Details are provided for each of our cost categories, opex, repex and capex, listing out any changes to drivers used in the regression model."
WWU Annex	pg 25, para 3.16	Typo, "valued" instead of "values"	For reference, values provided
SGN Annex	pg 39, para 3.16	Typo, "valued" instead of "values"	For reference, values provided

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
GD Annex	Header	Header formatting is wrong - the document name is blue text with grey background	Format to usual formatting (orange/black?)
NGET Annex	Table 14	Incorrect indirect Opex figure	Replaced work/volumes reductions and cost reductions in table 14
SPET	Table 13	Incorrect indirect Opex figure	Replaced cost reductions value in table 13
SHET Annex	Table 13	Incorrect Non-Operational capex figure	Replaced work/volumes reductions in table 13
ET Annex	page 33, table 5	Wrong target date	Please replace 'Commitment to purchase 100% renewable electricity throughout RIIO-2' with 'Commitment to purchase 100% renewable electricity by the end of RIIO-2'
ET Annex	page 32, Consultation position table	Incorrect specification of longer term target	Please replace 'Commitment to target 34% reduction in BCF in 2025-26 compared to 2018-19 and 50% reduction science -based target (SBT) by 2050' with 'Commitment to target 34% reduction in BCF in 2025-26 compared to 2018-19 and a science-based target (SBT)for a 50% reduction in scope 1 and scope 2 emissions by 2030'
ET Annex	page 30, Consultation position table	Reference to request for baseline funding is incorrect.	Please replace 'Reducing building energy use - baseline funding for NGET subject to it submitting information on its proposal to develop a substation energy efficiency programme in order to strengthen its accountability for this

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
			commitment.' with 'Reducing building energy use - NGET to submit further detail on its proposal to develop a substation energy efficiency programme in order to strengthen its accountability for this commitment.'
NGET Annex		Missing bespoke consultation position in ET annex, bespoke ODI	<p>Output Name and description</p> <p>Outage management:</p> <p>NGET proposed an ODI-F to incentivise it to continually improve how it plans for and carries out vital repair work on the network with the least disruption to customers and stakeholders.</p> <p>Consultation position</p> <p>We are proposing to reject this ODI because we note that this customer group (customers affected by outages) has been captured in the Quality of Connections target audience and common milestones. We have worked with the TOs to collectively develop the common milestones and trigger points at which we propose the survey will be issued and the target audience that this survey will capture. We consider that NGET will be sufficiently</p>



Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
			incentivised to improve vital repair work services through our proposed Quality of Connections common ODI-F (for further information, please see the ET Annex).
NARM Annex	page 23, paragraph 4.33	Missing reference	Further explanation and rationale for the DAF is givne in paragraphs 4.40 to 4.41
SHET Annex	Paragraph 3.51, 3.52	Highlighting left in	Highlight removed
SHET Annex	Table 29	Highlighting left in	Highlight removed

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
SHET Annex	Paragraph 3.31	Addition of the word "gross"	<p>However, based on the lack of supporting evidence, we consider that five of the pre-construction LRE projects with outputs in the RIIO-T3 period have weak needs cases due to uncertainty regarding the need for the projects. On this basis, we propose to exclude the following projects from the pre-construction baseline funding allowance: East Coast 400kV Phase 2 Reinforcement; 2nd Eastern HVDC Link from SSET to England; Beaulieu to Denny 400kV (Upgrading the 275kV cct for 400kV Operation); 2nd HVDC Link to SSET Shetland from Rothienorman; and Skye/Western Isles Upgrade. We are proposing to exclude these from SHET's baseline LRE. This would represent a reduction of £88.7m (gross) compared to SHET's Business Plan submission. We have set out our proposed approach to managing uncertainty in relation to pre-construction funding in the ET Annex.</p>
SHET Annex	Paragraph 3.52	Addition of the word "gross"	<p>We consider that 10 of the 28 NLRE Asset Replacement schemes that we consider to have weak needs cases, lack supporting evidence, or where the optioneering process is,</p>

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
			in our view, deficient. The total submission value of the 10 schemes we consider not to be well justified is £189.7m (gross). The following sections provide further detail on each of these schemes.
SHET Annex	Table 13	Changes to the values in the table	Load related expenditure Work/Volumes reductions changed from 80 to 79.9. Cost reduction changed from 43 to 42.6. Non-load related Expenditure: Work/volume reductions changed from 182 to 182.5, Cost reductions changed from 101 to 101.2. Non operational capex: Work/volume reductions changed from 5.52 to 52.5. Indirect Opex: Work/Volume Reductions changed to 93.9 and Cost reductions changed from 94.6 to 0.7
Core Document	Paragraph 5.12	Changes to values in text: 5.12 For transmission, we propose to allow £7.5bn Totex overall, which equates to a reduction of ~45% against company submissions. We have set baseline totex allowances for all TOs only where we are satisfied of the need for and certainty of the proposed work, and where there is sufficient certainty of the efficient cost of	For transmission, we propose to allow £7.5bn Totex overall, which equates to a reduction of ~45% against company submissions. We have set baseline totex allowances for all TOs only where we are satisfied of the need for and certainty of the proposed work, and where there is sufficient certainty of the efficient cost of the work. Key drivers for reductions include moving £1.41.2bn of

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
		the work. Key drivers for reductions include moving £1.4bn of submitted costs to uncertainty mechanisms for when needs are clearer or plans are more mature, including asset works and IT; removing £2.7bn as a result of lack of sufficient engineering justification for the volume of work, in particular assets work; and removing £2.1bn as a result of applying cost efficiency.	submitted costs to uncertainty mechanisms for when needs are clearer or plans are more mature, including asset works and IT; removing at least £2.72.5bn as a result of lack of sufficient engineering justification for the volume of work, in particular assets work; and removing £2.12.4bn as a result of applying cost efficiency and other factors.
NGET Annex	Page 35, para 2.76	Sentence doesn't correctly interpret NGET's proposal. 'this would result in biodiversity enhancement to around 2,420 hectares of NGET's sites'	Replace with 'this would result in environmental value enhancement across NGET's sites, totalling around 2,800 hectares'.
NGET Annex	Page 35, consultation position table	Incorrect application of clawback approach.	Replace the 'proposed approach to allowance clawback' consultation position with 'pro-rata return of reward for natural capital improvements of less than 10%'.
SGN Annex		Redactions as requested by SGN	

Document	Page/ Paragraph reference	Error	Correction
NGET Annex	Paragraph 3.65	Second bullet replaced: Second part from 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2026 of £556m will be part of RIIO-ET2 baseline allowances with relevant PCDs.	For the second part from 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2026, at this stage, we are unable to quantify the RIIO-ET2 efficient allowance associated with the work that will start in RIIO-ET1, due to the way in which information has been submitted in the BPDTs and EJPs by NGET for NLRE capex. However, this amount is part of the total RIIO-ET2 baseline allowance for NLRE and will be subject to the relevant NLRE PCDs.