People's Energy responses to:

switching_gsop_phase_2_policy_consultation_september_2019_final_version_

Question 1: Do you agree with our assessment that the likely costs and logistical difficulties of implementing
an allocation of compensation on a case by case basis would be likely to outweigh the benefits? If not, why
not?Yes we agree with this.

Question 2: Do you agree that gaining suppliers only should bear responsibility for making compensation payments under Guaranteed Standard A? If not, why not? Yes we agree with this.

Question 3: Do you agree that measuring Guaranteed Standard A from the receipt of sufficient information to ensure that a contract has been entered into by the customer and to identify the relevant meter points to which the switch relates allows enough opportunity for a gaining supplier to effectively validate the switch? If not, why not? Yes we agree with this. As we approach faster switching we should be capable of switching in a shorter period of time in any case

Question 4: Do you agree that gaining suppliers will be able to measure when sufficient information is received for the purposes of reporting on Guaranteed Standard A? If not, why not?

Yes. I can't see why not.

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed exceptions and exemptions which we have applied to Guaranteed Standard A? If not, why not? Yes. These appear to be fair.

Question 6: Are there any other reasons for failing to complete a switch within 21 days which could warrant an exemption from paying compensation under Guaranteed Standard A? No.

Question 7: Do you agree that suppliers implementing the Debt Assignment Protocol should not be exempt from making compensation payments if they fail to complete a switch within 21 days? If not, why not? N/A. currently we do not deal with PPMs

Question 8: Do you agree with our proposal that responsibility for compensation under Guaranteed Standard C should be borne by gaining suppliers only? If not, why not? Yes we agree with this

Question 9: Do you agree that the trigger for making a compensation payment under Guaranteed Standard C should be the agreement between suppliers that a switch was undertaken with no valid contract in place? If not, why not? Yes. We agree with this

Question 10: Do you agree with the proposed exceptions and exemptions which we have applied to Guaranteed Standard C? If not, why not? Yes. We agree with this.

Question 11: Are there other reasons under which a supplier should be exempted from making a compensation payment under Guaranteed Standard C?

Not that I am aware of.

Question 12: Do you agree that responsibility for compensation for issuing a final bill after six weeks should be borne by losing suppliers only under Guaranteed Standard E? If not, why not? Yes.

Question 13: Do you agree with the proposed exceptions and exemptions which we have applied to Guaranteed Standard E? If not, why not? Yes.

Question 14: Are there any other reasons for failing to issue a final bill within six weeks which warrant an exemption from paying compensation under Guaranteed Standard E?

Not that I am currently aware off.

Question 15: Do you agree with our assessment that it would not be proportionate to implement an open-ended requirement to pay compensation for enduring issues of detriment? If not, why not? Yes we agree with this.

Question 16: Would changing reporting requirements to allow Ofgem to collect data on the time taken to issue final bills or repay credit balances present a significant additional cost when compared with the current requirements?

No. It certainly wouldn't be a problem for us.