

# Switching Programme Change Request Form

# Part A – For the requestor to fill in

## Change Requestor's Details

Name: Andy Boojers (on behalf of OFGEM)

Organisation: Smart DCC (on behalf of OFGEM)

Email address: andy.boojers@smartdcc.co.uk

Telephone number: 07855 277 841

Please note that by default we will include the name and organisation of the Change Requestor in Switching Programme's published Change Log. If you do not wish to be identified please tick this box  $\Box$ 

## Change Title

Additional data elements provided in Registration Event Notifications provided to Shippers

## Change Summary

Additional information has been highlighted as being required by shippers when a registration event occurs.

The following changes to Registration Event Notification going out to Shipper(s) [**RECM\_SN\_CSS02350** – **Registration Event Notification**] are proposed.

- 1. Supply Meter Point Reference Number
- 2. Registration Identifier
- 3. Registration Event Type
- 4. Registration Event Effective From Date
- 5. Domestic Premises Indicator
- 6. Shipper Role/MPID

Change in Shipper]

- 7. Shipper Role/MPID
- is Change in Shipper]
- 8. Supplier Role/MPID

- -- [Mandatory]
- -- [Mandatory]
- -- [Mandatory]
- -- [Mandatory, if Event Type is Change in Shipper]
- -- [Mandatory]
- -- Losing Shippers ID [Mandatory, if Event Type is
- -- Gaining Shippers ID [Mandatory, if Event Type
- -- [Mandatory, if Event Type is Change in Shipper]

#### **Justification for Change**

This proposed change was identified during the industry review of the CSS Physical Interface Design and has been reflected in that product. This change is required to update the logical design to align to this.

The proposed changes ensure that Shippers will receive the full set of information they require based on the registration event occurring.

Change considerations & viewpoint		
Priority assessment for Change Request	High	
A Must; the final deliverable will not work without this change	This change will ensure that the logical design is updated to reflect decisions made during the translation to the physical messaging model	
Base reason for Change	Information requirements identified during physical interface design need to be reflected in the logical design.	
Design - Additional requirements/functionality being addedd to the programme's scope		
Rating of Change implementation	Low	
MEDIUM - Significant consequences requiring redesign or rework; Significant cost impact ; Significant impact to schedule		
"Do nothing" implications	'Do nothing' will result in a misalignment between the CSS Physical Interface Specification and the Logical Design Model	
Potential stakeholders affected by the Change	Shippers OFGEM DCC	
Alternative sought to reduce negative impact	N/A	
Identify any risks to the implementation of the Change	None identified	
Specialists and/or stakeholders consulted	Xoserve OFGEM DCC CSS Provider	

### Programme Products affected by proposed change

D-4.1.3 E2E Data Architecture and Data Governance

Please submit this completed form to the Ofgem Switching Programme PMO Team (<u>SwitchingPMO@ofgem.gov.uk</u>) with the subject as the Change Request number and title.

## Part B – For Ofgem Use Only

Change request No.	CR-E50	Date CR submitted	19/09/2019
Change request status:	Approved	Current CR version:	v1.0
Change Window:	Window 32	Version date:	20/09/2019

Change Advisory Team (CAT) Lead:Name and organisation: Jenny Boothe		
Contact details:	Email address: <u>Jenny.Boothe@ofgem.gov.uk</u>	
PMO Lead:	d: Name: Matthew Finlay	
Contact details:	Email address: <u>Matthew.Finlay@ofgem.gov.uk</u>	

#### Inital assessment/Triage

**Design & Data Impact and resource input required for IA?** Yes

Implementation Impact (including impacts to industry readiness, procurement timelines and the Programme Plan) and resource input required for IA?

Yes

Alignment Impact and resource input required for IA? No

Commercial/Procurement Impact and resource input required for IA?

No

Regulatory Impact and resource input required for IA?

No

Security Impact and resource input required for IA?

No

**Confirm Programme Products impacted by the change request?** No

Major or Minor Change?	Minor
Change Process Route	Urgent
Change Window	32
To be submitted to the Design Forum on:	N/A
Approval Authority:	Design Authority
Target Change Decision Date:	20/09/2019
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Impact Assessment	
The changes are minor and for completeness only.	
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Impact Assessment – Industry cost	
No industry cost identified.	
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Impact Assessment – Resource Effort	
FTE effort of DCC:	
@0.5 days to update the Messaging Model	
@0.5 days Quality Assurance	
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Impact Assessment – Programme	
No impacts identified.	
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Design	Description	RAG Status & Summary
Principle		
Impact on Cons	sumers	
1 Reliability for	All switches should occur at the time agreed	Green
customers	between the customer and their new supplier.	
	The new arrangements should facilitate complete	No Impact
	and accurate communication and billing with	
	customers. Any errors in the switching process	
	should be minimised and where they do occur,	
	the issue should be resolved quickly and with the minimum of effort from the customer. The	
	customer should be alerted in a timely manner if	
	any issues arise that will impact on their	
	switching experience.	
2 Speed for customers	Customers should be able to choose when they switch. The arrangements should enable fast	Green
customers	switching, consistent with protecting and	No Impact
	empowering customers currently and as their	
	expectations evolve.	
3 Customer Coverage	Any differences in customer access to a quick, easy and reliable switching process should be	Green
coverage	minimised and justified against the other Design	No Impact
	Principles.	
4 Switching Experience	Customers should be able to have confidence in the switching process. The process should meet	Green
Lyperience	or exceed expectations, be simple and intuitive	No Impact
	for customers and encourage engagement in the	
	market. Once a customer has chosen a new	
	supplier, the switching process should require the	
	minimum of effort from the customer. The	
	customer should be informed of the progress of	
	the switch in a timely manner.	
Impact on Mark		
5 Competition	The new supply point register and switching	Green
	arrangements should support and promote	
	effective competition between market	No Impact
	participants. Where possible, processes should be harmonised between the gas and electricity	
	markets and the success of the switching process	
	should not be dependent on the incumbent	
	supplier or its agents.	
6 Design – simplicity	The new supply point register and arrangements should be as simple as possible.	Green
Simplicity	should be as simple as possible.	No Impact
7 Design –	The end-to-end solution should be technically	Green
robustness	robust and integrate efficiently with other related	
	systems. It should be clearly documented, with	The proposal ensures the physical design
	effective governance. The new arrangements	accounts for existing constraints and
	should proactively identify and resolve	considerations that must be accounted for i
	impediments to meeting consumers' and industry requirements. These arrangements should be	terms of the E2E design.
	secure and protect the privacy of personal data.	
8 Design –	The new arrangements should be capable of	Green
flexibility	efficiently adapting to future requirements and	No. Trans at
	accommodating the needs of new business	No Impact
	models.	
		·
9 Solution	The new arrangements should be designed and	
9 Solution cost/benefit	implemented so as to maximise the net benefits for customers.	

10 Implementation	The plan for delivery should be robust, and provide a high degree of confidence, taking into account risks and issues. It should have clear and	Green No Impact
	appropriate allocation of roles and responsibilities and effective governance.	No Impact

Architectural Principle	Description	RAG Status & Summary	
1 Secure by default & design	All risks documented & managed to with tolerance defined by the organisation or		
	by the Senior Risk Owner	No Impact	
2 Future Proof Design	Common design approaches will better designs to support future developments		
3 Standards Adoption	e.g. A mechanism for achieving non-rep Adopt appropriate standards for produc services or processes.		
Adoption	e.g. ISO/IEC 11179 for data definition	No Impact	
4 One Architecture	One single definitive architecture prevai		
		No Impact	
5 Data is an asset	Data is an asset that has value to the e and is managed accordingly	lterprise Green	
		No Impact	
6 Data is shared & accessible	Users have access to the data necessar perform their duties; therefore, data is	hared	
	across enterprise functions and departn		
7 Common vocabulary &	Data is defined consistently throughout enterprise, the definitions being undersi		
data definitions	and available to all users.	No Impact	
8 Requirements-	Only in response to business needs are to applications and technology made.	changes Green	
based change	E.g. only industry arrangements affectin switching will be impacted.	g No Impact	
9 Quality Characteristics	Maintain a comprehensive set of quality characteristics by which to gauge the	Green	
	completeness of requirements for Applic and Services.	ations No Impact	
Checked for Co	mpleteness (Name & Role)	Date	
Matthew Finlay 19/		19/09/2019	

#### Impact Assessment – Data cleansing / migration

Changes to the logical model will be reflected in the physical design and aligned with any required data migration design(s).

Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Impact Assessment – Programme Plan		
None. The changes are minor and for completeness only.		
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date	
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019	

Impact Assessment – Security		
None identified.		
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date	
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019	

#### **Programme Recommendation**

This Change Request is a result of the development of the CSS Interface Specification. As the subject of this Cr was discussed in the development of the CSS IS this CR is a reflection of that discussion. In essence it is an alignment CR to ensure the Logical design adequately reflects the Physical Design. This change is not significant as its impact is minimal. The recommendation is to approve this CR.

Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Change Request Decision	
Approved.	
Change Approved	Yes
Checked for Completeness (Name & Role)	Date
Matthew Finlay	19/09/2019

Next Steps				
Change request is to be implemented by updated the impacted Programme Products.				
If Change Request is approved:-	Role	Date		
Products updates to be completed by:	DCC			
Ofgem review dates:	Ofgem			
Product approval to be completed by:	Ofgem			

Orange – Ofgem to complete