

Switching Compensation Phase 2 Working Group

Session 3





Introductions

- Agreement of minutes from Workgroup 2
- Decision and Action Log

Identifying causes of and responsibility for detriment

- Causes of delays to switches
- Causes of delays to final bills
- Causes of erroneous transfers

Alerting suppliers of their responsibility for detriment

Next meeting

- Timing and agenda for next meeting
- Request for material ahead of next meeting

Any other business



Causes of and responsibility for detriment – discussion



- Do you agree with the root causes of detriment identified in this and the previous sessions?
- Have we omitted any causes of detriment?
- Do you agree with the allocation of responsibility in each area?
- How should we deal with responsibility for detriment which is not covered by any of these categories?





		Reason for delay	Root cause	Responsible party
Data mismatch		Lockout	Customer signs up with multiple suppliers	Valid delay – covered by exemption from GSOP
		Pending Withdrawal	Customer activity	Valid delay – covered by exemption from GSOP
		Pending Pre-Move (customer gives advance warning of them moving home) – one respondent indicates this is 75% of cases	Customer activity	Valid delay – covered by exemption from GSOP
	ata mismatc	industry rejection.	More information and validation required with the customer. Losing or gaining supplier fails to validate data in time.	Missing data – gaining supplier? Invalid data – losing supplier?
		•	Further information is required from the customer to validate.	Exempt if customer data is demonstrably incorrect and appropriate controls exist. If controls inappropriate – gaining supplier.
		Incorrect Industry data rejection - Combination of Disconnected MPANs, Extinct rejections etc	(Failure to verify) industry data?	Losing supplier
		Other - Pending Security Deposit/Secure Terms/MPxN etc.	Waiting on further information/customer contact to progress the sale.	Gaining supplier, unless information has been requested and not provided
Objection	ction	Objection	Customer is in debt with a previous supplier	Valid delay if exemption is unresolved.
	Opje	Failure to move flow after an objection is resolved	Failure of losing supplier to reinstate flow	Losing supplier





	Reason for delay in issuance	Root cause	Responsible party
iá	Missing opening meter reads	Quality of reads from MOPs and data from DCs	Gaining supplier
eads/dat	Missing Closing meter reads (D86)	Quality of reads from MOPs and data from DCs	Losing supplier
Missing reads/data	Dispute between agreed reads, insufficient time to work between agreed reads process	Quality of reads from MOPs and data from DCs	Both suppliers
2	Missing, invalid data or industry rejection	Uncorrected errors in industry data?	Losing supplier
	Inability/omission by old supplier to validate reads	Old supplier error	Losing supplier
or	Inability by old supplier to initiate missing reads process until 30 WD after new start date	Industry processes – old supplier is locked until 30 WD(?)	Losing supplier
Process error	Failure by old supplier to initiate missing reads process	Old supplier error	Losing supplier
Pro	Failure by new supplier to respond following initiation of missing reads process; inability of old supplier to contact new supplier	New supplier error	Gaining supplier
			6





	Reason for erroneous switch	Root cause	
sanes	Incorrect address selected at sign up, either by customer or gaining supplier	Unclear onboarding process Lack of checks/control at signup	'Gaining' supplier
(Address) data issues	Incorrect address in customer database	Failure of GT/DNO to manage database Failure of existing supplier to resolve database error Wrong data from meter installers/data providers	'Losing' supplier
	Incorrect submission by supplier	Submission of incorrect details	'Gaining' supplier
Supplier fraud	Customer switched without consent	Misleading/fraudulent sales process	'Gaining' supplier
J.	Failed withdrawal	Withdrawal process incorrectly applied	'Gaining' supplier
Process error	Late notification of cancellation	Supplier fails to notify cancellation in time	'Gaining' supplier
Proce	'Technical issues'	Electralink: "Where the ET process is used by Suppliers to correct a technical problem whilst at the same time enhancing customer service."	Either/both suppliers
aused	Late cancellation (after cooling off period)	Customer desire to return – these will be excluded from GS as a valid contract exists	To be covered by an exclusion
Customer caused	Customer Service Returner	Customer desire to return – these will be excluded from GS as a valid contract exists	To be covered by an exclusion
Cus	Forgery – customer driven	Fraudulent activity	To be covered by an exclusion



Alerting suppliers of their responsibility for detriment – discussion



We need to build a mechanism to:

- Provide evidence to identify the cause of detriment on a case-by-case basis for each issues;
- Communicate this evidence to the party responsible;
- Resolve disputes quickly; and
- Enable the identified party to pay compensation to the consumer.

Questions for suppliers:

- What does a mechanism that would allocate responsibility (and compensation payments) on a case-by-case basis look like?
- How can we build it?
 - What existing industry resources might help us to achieve this?
- Do any alternative solutions exist?



Framework: Questions to ask for each Guaranteed Standard

What are the causes of the issues underlying the Guaranteed Standard? Where in the process does this underlying cause occur? Who ('Gaining' or 'Losing' supplier, or other) is responsible for this issue? Can we use an existing dataset to demonstrate responsibility for individual occurrences of this issue? Can we build a process to demonstrate responsibility for individual occurrences of this issue? Can we develop a mechanism to make payments to customers quickly			A: Delayed switches	C: Erroneous Switches	E: Delays to final bills
Where in the process does this underlying cause occur? Who ('Gaining' or 'Losing' supplier, or other) is responsible for this issue? Can we use an existing dataset to demonstrate responsibility for individual occurrences of this issue? Can we build a process to demonstrate responsibility for the underlying cause on a case-by-case basis? Can we develop a mechanism to make payments to customers quickly	1		Session 1	Session 1	Session 1
Who ('Gaining' or 'Losing' supplier, or other) is responsible for this issue? Can we use an existing dataset to demonstrate responsibility for individual occurrences of this issue? Can we build a process to demonstrate responsibility for the underlying cause on a case-by-case basis? Can we develop a mechanism to make payments to customers quickly	2		Session 2/3	Session 2/3	Session 2/3
Session 3 Can we use an existing dataset to demonstrate responsibility for individual occurrences of this issue? Can we build a process to demonstrate responsibility for the underlying cause on a case-by-case basis? Can we develop a mechanism to make payments to customers quickly	3		Session 2/3	Session 2/3	Session 2/3
demonstrate responsibility for individual occurrences of this issue? Can we build a process to demonstrate responsibility for the underlying cause on a case-by-case basis? Can we develop a mechanism to make payments to customers quickly	-	•	Session 3	Session 3	Session 3
responsibility for the underlying cause on a case-by-case basis? Can we develop a mechanism to make payments to customers quickly	4	demonstrate responsibility for			
make payments to customers quickly	5	responsibility for the underlying cause			
and reconcile between suppliers?	6				



Next Steps and Next Meeting



- Are there any omissions from our causes of detriment?
- Do you agree with our assessment of responsible parties?
- What does a mechanism that would allocate responsibility (and compensation payments) on a case-by-case basis look like?

We would be grateful for written responses to be submitted ahead of the next meeting.



- 1. The next planned session of the working group will be on 25 March 2019 from 10am
 - 1. This is relatively close do we need more time to move the work forward?
 - 2. Subsequent meeting 25 March at 10am
- 2. Ofgem will circulate minutes for today's meeting by **Friday 15 March**.
- 3. Group members should submit analysis, data and suggestions to Ofgem by 18 March 2019



Our core purpose is to ensure that all consumers can get good value and service from the energy market. In support of this we favour market solutions where practical, incentive regulation for monopolies and an approach that seeks to enable innovation and beneficial change whilst protecting consumers.

We will ensure that Ofgem will operate as an efficient organisation, driven by skilled and empowered staff, that will act quickly, predictably and effectively in the consumer interest, based on independent and transparent insight into consumers' experiences and the operation of energy systems and markets.




Average switching time: 15-16 calendar days


Each request is independent

No dependency between requests

Losing supplier has approx. 1 week to register objection







Dashed line is optional flow of data



