

*Electricity Network Innovation Competition Full Submission*  
**Supplementary Answer Form**

**Project: Charge: Rfuelling Tomorrow's Electrified Transport**

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally: ☐

Project code	SPMV1	Question Number	19
Question date	21/08/18	Answer date	23/08/18
Submission section question relates to		N/A	
Topic	a) Low carbon/environment and net financial benefits		
Question	The cost reduction claimed for Method 1 (Table 1, p.17) appears high if all that will be saved is the cost of abortive connection design work and the cost of the reinforcement that will be delayed. Can you please show how it was calculated?		
Notes on question			
Answer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Table 1 on page 17 shows an illustrative example of benefits of the project. It does not contain any figures.</li><li>• The figures provided in Section 3.4 are a summary of the business case, which is explained further in Appendix A4, 13.1.</li><li>• Method 1 benefits are associated with avoided unnecessary reinforcement through targeted signposting of network capacity the network only. This is a benefit of £51m in present value terms across GB. It is not associated with abortive design work or delayed reinforcement.</li></ul>		
Attachments	n/a		