ofgem

CMOL research

February 2018

Liam Higgins Senior Research Executive

Al Gleed Research Director

3 Pavilion Lane, Strines, Stockport, Cheshire, SK6 7GH

+44 (0)1663 767 857 djsresearch.co.uk





ofgem

Debrief structure



Contents

Background, methodology 1 2 **Reaction to the concept Perceptions of** 3 the messenger 4 **Customer journey** Conclusions 5

Background, methodology

Background

In Summer 2017 Ofgem carried out the Cheaper Market Offers Letter (CMOL) trial. The trial tested a prompt to encourage engagement in the energy market, specifically targeting customers on Standard Variable Tariffs (SVTs) for over a year.

Ofgem collaborated with two energy suppliers for the trial, that we refer to here as 'Supplier A' and 'Supplier B'.

The prompt tested was a single, standalone letter detailing three cheaper tariffs from across the market that the customer could switch to (excluding tariffs from their own supplier).

Around 138,000 customers were in the trial sample. One third of the sample received a letter from Ofgem, one third from their supplier, and one third received no letter.

This debrief reports the findings from followup qualitative interviews conducted with some of the customers who received letters in the trial.



Research objectives

Overarching objective To understand impact of the letter, and why action was or wasn't taken as a result of receiving the letter

Specific objectives:

To understand reactions to the general concept of the letter, and being informed of alternative suppliers

To understand customers' experiences of receiving the letter, and why customers reacted in the way they did



To understand how the letter was perceived in terms of the sender and content



To gain insight into consequences of the trial, and the impact of the letter on switching behaviour

Methodology

We adopted a semi-structured, qualitative approach to gain deep insight into reactions to the letter, and to understand why certain actions were/weren't taken whilst also being able to reach a good cross section of customer types.

> Topic guide developed by DJS Research in partnership with Ofgem

Quotas agreed with Ofgem, to ensure mix of customers included

Quotas all dictated based on information provided in the sample, with a handful of people (who switched before the letter) who were re-classified (raised to Ofgem)

Supplier A sample provided by Supplier A, and recruitment commenced on August 8th

Both roughly 7-8 weeks after the letters were sent

Supplier B sample provided by Supplier B, & recruitment commenced on August 22nd

91

semistructured, qualitative interviews

> Interviews lasted 20-40 minutes

All conducted by experienced qualitative interviewers

All customer data securely supplied by the suppliers Participants were all made aware that Ofgem was the sponsor

Fieldwork

carried out

during

August &

September

2017

Custom	er brea	ier A	n (1)	ier B trial	91 semi- structured, qualitative interviews
	Supplier A branded	Ofgem branded	Supplier B branded	Ofgem branded	
1- 3 year tenure*	12	11	15	13	
3+ year tenure	12	10	14	4	
Switched externally	10	5	14	11	
Switched internally**	9	7	4	1	
Did nothing, but recall the letter	5	9	11	5	An additional, and maximum, of 4 did not recall the letter

*Tenure - refers to length of time on a standard default tariff (SVT) **Switched internally - refers to switches to an internal tariff with the existing supplier

7

Customer breakdown (2)

	Supplier A		Supplier A Supplier B	
	Ofgem letter	Supplier A letter	Ofgem letter	Supplier B letter
1-3 years switched internally	4	4	0	3
1-3 years switched externally	2	6	10	9 (PSR included)
1-3 years did nothing	5	2	3	3
3+ years switched internally	3	5	1	1
3+ years switched externally	3	4	1	5
3+ did nothing	4	3	2	8
Total	21	24	17	29

1

PSR customer interviewed

A note on sampling

This was **qualitative** research with an emphasis on **understanding** rather than **measuring**.

However, the relatively high number of interviews (for a qualitative study) and inclusion of some structured questions does mean that we can provide some indicative measurement. This needs to be interpreted carefully:

- 1. Any figures provided should be considered as indicative rather than statistically robust.
- It should be remembered that the sample is not necessarily 'representative'. The *quota sampling* approach means that we specifically selected participants that fitted agreed criteria (as outlined on P7 and P8); in particular, we capped the number of interviews with people who did not remember receiving the letter.

Demographic breakdown

Region	
North West	6
North East	5
Yorkshire and Humberside	5
East Midlands	4
West Midlands	6
East of England	3
London	7
South East	26
South West	15
Scotland	9
Wales	5

Age	
25 - 34	10
35 - 44	13
45 - 54	21
55 - 64	10
65 and over	35
*Prefer not to say - 2	

Gender		
Male	55	
Female 35		
*Prefer not to say - 1		

We also, where highlighted in the sample, aimed to speak with Supplier B customers who switched to a small supplier (3) vs large supplier (7) – although this was unknown for many

Although we attempted to target those who had **only switched one fuel source**, sample for this group was low and we

> achieved 1 interview

Ofgem segments

Ofgem has previously carried out quantitative research to develop six consumer segments that vary based on their levels of engagement in the energy market, the nature of their behaviour, and the specific barriers and enablers to involvement.

Using a series of attitudinal and behavioural questions, we were able to identify what segment participants in the CMOL interviews fell into. In this report we refer to the segments where relevant; the six segments are summarised below.



Happy Shoppers enjoy shopping around in all markets, motivated by finding ways to save money. They are confident, trusting, engaged with the energy market and positive about switching.



Savvy Searchers are highly confident and engaged across all markets, and broadly positive about energy switching. However, they are sceptical about the role of PCWs, often using more than one site to compare. Ultimately they are confident they are on the right deal.



Market Sceptics have very low levels of trust in energy companies, and a lack of confidence engaging with the energy market. This contrasts with their relatively high levels of engagement in other markets, and average levels of general confidence and self-efficacy.



Anxious Avoiders have very low self-efficacy and lack confidence in shopping around generally and specifically in energy: reflected in low levels of engagement across all markets. They are far less likely to spend time researching purchases or finding ways to save money.





Hassle Haters are confident in their ability to engage in the market, and broadly trusting of suppliers. They are deterred, however, by the perceived time, hassle and risks involved. They feel they are on a good deal despite their lack of engagement, but might be tempted by added-value services.

Contented Conformers are broadly happy with the status quo, trusting their supplier. They are nervous of change, worried by the risks of switching, unknown suppliers and overwhelmed by choice. They are the least confident engaging with the energy market and least motivated by saving money or added-value services.



Views on the approach

A welcome approach

The reaction to the letter is predominantly positive; it is seen as **helpful**, **informative and honest** in a market clouded with suspicion and cynicism.

"I think it's good as it's open and transparent; you feel that even if you still want to stay with your supplier, it would be an informed choice." (received Supplier A CMOL letter, switched internally)





General recall

The message landed

Many can clearly remember the overall message of the letter:

- Possible savings (the real 'hook' & call to action)
- Possible deals
- Messenger/sender

"It informed me that I could get a cheaper rate from another provider and it also specified how much I could save." (received Supplier A CMOL letter, switched externally)



Certain areas of confusion amongst a select few...

A few incorrectly felt that their current supplier was included

Very few

mistakenly

recalled the

messenger

Some mistakenly recalled the number of providers on the letter

"I have issues with trust and believing everything I see and hear. It probably is a believable letter, but it's just me." -(received Ofgem letter, no action taken)



Perception of context

Unclear <u>context</u>

Although the content and message of the letter is seen to be extremely clear, the **contextual elements behind why individuals** were sent the letter are not so clear.

"It was all a bit confusing at first as I did not understand why they sent it, but the letter itself was easy to understand." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched internally)

"It was clear the message was that there's cheaper tariffs, but without the contextual stuff behind it as just a one-off letter, I was a bit sceptical." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, no action taken)

An element of surprise

For some who received a supplier-branded letter, it came as a surprise and drew questions on the purpose and motive of the letter

A government scheme

Most recognise that the activity is government-driven, regardless of the messenger:

- News regarding the big 6 overcharging customers
- Government request that Ofgem should create more competition
- A handful of respondents held the assumption that, legally, customers must be informed if they are overpaying





Perception of context

Unclear context

Although the letter alluded to the fact that Ofgem had driven the communication due to the customer being able to save money, there was **little detail** in terms of **context** other than that.

"I don't know why we were sent the letter. I was vaguely aware that it was a standard tariff, but I've been with them so long..." (received Supplier A CMOL letter, no action taken)

"I could not understand why Supplier B would send out a letter pointing out that I could get a cheaper tariff from somewhere else." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched internally)

Contextual information

To ensure customers feel they have an appropriate level of context, the letter could include information on...



Views on the content

Outlining the process

Although the content and message of the letter is seen to be extremely clear, the process and contact points for actions following receipt of the letter could be clearer for some.



Contact information

- Some consumers were unable to recall whether the letter included relevant contact information for either the sender or the deals
- Having contact details for Ofgem is an important factor to include
- A handful couldn't recall the contact details for deals in the letter, so this could be made more visible and clear

Switching process

Although not raised as a key issue, **outlining what's involved in the process** should someone wish to take up a deal in the letter **would be a sensible addition to encourage** greater switching behaviour

"... I don't remember seeing a telephone number for if I wanted to call them up and talk things through. It was left up to me to take the next steps. The information was great but it needed to provide more direction on what my next steps and options could be." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

"The letter was fine as it was. But I do think a secondary letter or some kind of nudge would be really useful; something that would show you what you needed to do next. I keep meaning to do it [switch] but I haven't taken action yet; so another touch point would be useful." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

Views on the content

Education on the process

Outlining the process, and educating consumers on what might be involved when switching energy supplier may help increase switching behaviour by providing a more transparent view of the market.



A more transparent view on the switching process

How long will it take to switch suppliers? Will there be any extra fees or charges when switching supplier?

How long are these offers available for?

"I wasn't expecting to see Supplier A on the letter, it could be market sensitive information and any tariffs of the individual customer, and how long the offer is available for could be an issue." (received Ofgem letter, switched internally)

"I wasn't too sure about Eon, I have read a bit about changing tariff, that it can be a nightmare." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

"I didn't know how easy that it would be or how hard it would be but I would definitely have done it if Supplier A hadn't of come near." -(received Ofgem letter, switched internally)

Views on the content

The importance of savings

The level of saving is the main element of the letter which drew consumers in. Although other specific elements played important roles in drawing emotive reactions, **the level of saving is of primary importance**.

"I think the percentage that I could be saving really stood out. Personally it came at a time when I felt that enough was enough, I had been paying too much, for too long and I had to do something about it." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)



ntions of

Perceptions of the messenger

Understanding customers

Supplier A

Supplier A appears to have more positive perceptions of **service** which has caused **more active loyalty and satisfaction amongst customers.**

Customer case study:

- Male
- Age 65 and over
- Hassle hater
- South East

Switched internally

5 & a half years with Supplier A

The loyal customer

I'm going on my past experience and my past experience is that **Supplier A have always been fair and upfront** with me, so I felt they were being fair and upright in front of me this time, which proved to be correct.

I suppose yes I did [believe I could save money] in a way but generally I just couldn't be bothered, I'm 81 going on 82 years of age and I'm at the age when I don't need all this type of thing and as I say **Supplier A have been fair to me all this time** so **why should I change?**

They were very fair and upfront when I first went to them, the chap that came to see me told me he could save me X pounds and sure enough he did save me X pounds so **I've got no reason to not trust them.**

Yes I've got a loyalty to them (Supplier A), yes, and I've been with other companies in my few years of life and yeah so far I must admit the one I'm with have been very good.

Supplier B

Supplier B customers are generally longstanding customers who have **less trust in the energy market as a whole**

"I had been feeling very annoyed with the way they have treated me over the past five years." -(received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched externally)

A handful of Supplier B customers have had very poor experiences

Insight into the typical profile...



"I was not happy with Supplier B, the tariff I was on was sold to me by a person who came to the door, they told me it was the cheapest tariff I could get." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally) "It is like being with BT, you end up staying with them, as it is what you have always done." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched externally)

"They should have acted earlier to help us." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched externally)

Understanding customers

Supplier B

Supplier B customers are generally long-standing customers who have **less trust in the energy market as a whole.**

Customer case study:

- Female
- Age 65 and over
- Market sceptic
- South West

"I'm so miserable and worn out with my recent experience I thought well it might seem better today but I'm probably going to end up in a similar situation in six or nine months time, so I'm staying put."

Switched internally

10 Years with Supplier B

The 'Market Sceptic'

Well bearing in mind my experience I'd say I **distrust them completely**.

I think it was two or three years ago, I **received a bill from Supplier B for the period January to March for £1800** - this is a very brief potted version – I went through poor service saying it was impossible for one human being in a house to rack up a bill of that enormity for a period of three months. ...The whole thing is a very protracted, **emotionally exhausting experience** that went on I think for about nine months.

I was absolutely emotionally exhausted by the end of it all and was in the fortunate position of being able to pay it, I still think something was wrong but I couldn't prove it. So I paid it.

I came to the conclusion they're all bloody crooks, excuse my language, and if I change company I'm probably going to have to go through the whole thing again so I thought better the devil I know.

Understanding customers

Supplier A

Supplier A appear to have more positive perceptions of service which has caused more active loyalty and satisfaction amongst customers.

"Gives me a bit more confidence in Supplier A as a supplier." -(received Supplier A CMOL letter, switched internally)



"It puts them in a better light, they have done what they have beer told to by Ofgem, but they could have said no and got a fine." -(received Supplier A CMOL letter, switched internally)

Appropriateness of suppliers' contact

Treated with a degree of scepticism

We see very few differences between suppliers in terms of appropriateness, and although some feel this action reinforces trust, displays transparency and is the duty of the supplier – the **overriding feeling is one of scepticism around their motives.**



Perception of Ofgem

18

have

some

limited

understanding

ofgem

Out of 38 Ofgem branded letters...

Insight into awareness....

Consumers generally have **top level**, **positive awareness** of Ofgem as an organisation. This is through a number of media channels.

have little or no understanding

16 have a good understanding "They are an organisation that look over the energy companies impartially." (received Ofgem letter, switched internally)

"I don't know

all the details,

but I hear bits

on the news."

(received Ofgem

letter, no action

taken)

"They oversee the energy supply situation, but I don't know a great deal more really." - (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

"They're often in the news because they're regulating, or fighting against individual energy suppliers... So they're obviously trying to defend the consumer's interest." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

> "I am not sure of who they are. I know they are something to do with energy and they are funded by the government." -(received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

Appropriateness of Ofgem's contact

A trusted sender, with a good reputation

Even though awareness levels of Ofgem vary, **Ofgem generally appears as the most suitable, credible and trusted sender**.

Key doubts over Ofgem as a sender relate to data protection and their ability to hold account information.



"You hear their name mentioned in news broadcast on the topic of utility companies and the big six having their customers over a barrel because the customers aren't switching enough; Ofgem are often mentioned in these broadcasts but they don't seem to be effective in getting the utility companies to lower their prices. I felt as though this was a better way to go about it." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

Some consumers **query whether Ofgem should be contacting them directly.**

However, the **majority view Ofgem as an appropriate sender.**

32 of 38 feel it appropriate to come from Ofgem

Customer journey Reaction



Immediate reactions

Generally consumers appear to open all mail, especially when it's from energy suppliers – direct mail is also less common these days.

For those receiving an Ofgem branded letter, the inclusion of Ofgem is a key reason why the letter held importance.

The savings and cheaper deals mainly helped grab attention.





What sparked interest?



What else drew attention?

Media attention on switching Being addressed to them

Little direct mail anymore

Immediate reactions

Although a number rang a new supplier included in the letter, consumers tended not to take the information at face value, and wanted to make a more informed **decision** for themselves through calling their current supplier or researching online.

Due to the **unique nature of the communication**, many read the letter once they opened it.

Three main actions then followed...



Few differences by supplier/ messenger

very few had doubts over the authenticity of the letter, some wanted to confirm authenticity of the deals

Put to one side

A common action;

those who took action re-visited the letter after a few days, those who took no action often still plan to re-visit it (but will they?).

The most common action immediately after opening the letter is to put the letter to one side, this can be for a number of reasons...

"That it was not top priority, I just got the gist of it, skimmed it and put it to one side. They put on one or two other suppliers that I had never heard of. I had too much essential admin to do; I just didn't see it as urgent." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)



Rang the supplier

Undertaken by many, particularly those who took action

For reasons including **trust**, **loyalty and simplicity** (or 'risk aversion'), some consumers wanted to call **their supplier** initially before taking any further action.

"I read it in full 3 times, over a couple of days. I discussed it with my partner, on whether we should change in light of being loyal to Supplier A, or do you take this chance to make the decision to change, so I rang cheapest tariff company and had a long conversation with them. I was given information, I went into everything deeply and thought about it and contacted Supplier A they couldn't match it so I called the other supplier and switched."

(received Supplier A CMOL letter, switched externally)



All three drivers then often led to the supplier, particularly Supplier A, either **matching the deal or offering a cheaper tariff**.

> "When I got the letter I read it quickly, then when I had spare time, I read it in full then called my suppliers and told them about it. I wanted them to offer me something similar." (received Ofgem letter, switched internally)

Research online

FeW differences by supplier/ messenger

Undertaken by most, even those who took no action.

Scepticism of the market leads to consumers wanting complete control over their actions.

"I went onto a price comparison website with my usage information from the letter. I am pretty long in the tooth, I can make my own decisions, evidence based, and appreciate the process." (received Supplier A CMOL letter, switched internally)

"It went in the bin, I take these decisions myself." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, no action taken)



The power of comparison sites

As consumers value their own research, comparison sites and other online sources (such as Which?), play a vital role in switching behaviour.

Customer journey Action
Actions taken Did nothing

Those who did nothing *may* still take action, but for a number of reasons **do not have a sense of urgency** around switching.



Rationale

Why didn't this audience take action?

- Personal circumstances/ lack of time
- Ongoing research for unknown suppliers; may still switch; procrastination
- **Saving** not large enough/scepticism over the overall saving being worthwhile

- Satisfaction with current supplier – Supplier A in particular
- Misinformation/ scepticism towards the market; 'Big 6'

affect – suppliers are all the same; many think suppliers will raise prices at some point anyway; switching a risk



$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

Actions taken

Did nothing: example comments

"Yeah it was a little bit less energy on my part as well. You get a bit snow-blind to tariffs changing so, I'm always reticent to change

unless it's something we've decided to do personally within our lives, or what our circumstances are. But we'd do it." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, no action taken)

"I am confident about switching but I am not sure about the process of it at the moment because [new supplier] said they would send me a letter saying that I have got it for 12 months and they never kind of confirm when I spoke to them yesterday is how much money they are going to take out of my bank account." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, no action taken) "The only reason I haven't switched yet is down to a lack of time. I went on holiday soon after I got it and I only came back yesterday. So I haven't really had the time to look into the different suppliers." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

"I might end up switching to one of the ones listed but I need more information on them. I want to check that the two other companies being suggested are the best two companies out there. I am going to go online and look at all the best deals, not just the ones in the letter. I like to do my own research first before I make a decision about anything." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

"We were moving house and I planned to sort it later. However we were switched to the company who already supply the house we moved to." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, no action taken)

Insight into inertia

Inertia, underpinned by scepticism and perceived risk

Inertia is common within the energy market, and appears to be underpinned by supplier experiences and a lack of awareness about the switching process (including perceived risk that switching will involve hassle, service issues or unexpected cost).

What is the profile?	What underpins the profile?	
Awareness of a standard tariff	Some negative experiences of suppliers (Big 6)	
Mixed awareness		
Awareness of better deals out there	Prices being increased in the background of a `good deal' And hidden costs	
	Perceived effort & cost in switching for little return	
An open sense of inertia		
	Length of End of phone calls contract costs	

"It's probably down to a bit of laziness on my part and the fact that I don't think I would save that much overall." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, no action taken) "I thought it was really good information. Everybody should be told about the different tariffs and energy companies as people are too lazy to find out. And some people are afraid to change companies as cheaper does not always mean good or better." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

Overcoming inertia

A nudge towards switching during busy lives

The letter helped towards re-emphasising the importance of switching.

"I have had a bad experience with switching in the past and I really need to think about whether the level of saving is enough to warrant the hassle of switching." (received Supplier A CMOL letter, no action taken)

"It's something that I've meant to do, but my own inertia has prevented me from doing so. But the letter has acted like a spur and I intend to switch soon." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

How can inertia be overcome?

Although there is an element of inertia around switching activity, the lack of switching behaviour does appear to be driven by the lack of differentiators in the market, and the perceived effort and cost/risk associated with switching supplier.



Switched internally

Switching tariff and not supplier is typically driven around ease (and risk avoidance), satisfaction and loyalty.

Rationale

Ease/simplicity

 Lovaltv/trust – particularly with Supplier A

 Length of time with supplier – particularly Supplier B, again

a sense of a 'Market sceptics' scenario

 Level of saving from deals/research not worth the switch

"I don't want to spend

my time phoning

"Our current supplier undercut the deals on the letter and they switched us on to a lower tariff. I didn't want to leave the current supplier, I am happy with the service, when they come to read call them up." (received Ofgem letter, switched internally)



Switched internally: example comments

"But I do support Supplier A so I stayed with them because I don't like moving."

"Well I suppose when I get my car insurance renewals and things like that, it is always more than what you have paid the previous year so I always go and compare it on the website and then I get in touch with my supplier and say look I can just get it with this price and then they normally come somewhere near. I was pretty confident that is what they would do, I just find it easier than changing the details across."

(received Ofgem letter, switched internally)

"My husband would have wanted to move to a cheaper supplier, even though I feel loyalty to Supplier A, so if they hadn't matched the offers on the letter we would have moved. The level of saving would have been the reason we moved." (received Ofgem letter, switched internally) "As I say, I'm so miserable and worn out with my recent experience I thought well it might seem better today but I'm probably going to end up in a similar situation [inaccurate billing] in six or nine months time, so I'm staying put.

I felt very, very vulnerable having gone through what I'd gone through and I did not want to make any changes that might have ended up worse." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched internally)

"I would consider it but if they didn't come nearer then I would probably change to one of them."

"To be honest I have never changed suppliers before I have only ever changed when I have moved house and that is when I went with Supplier A because that is the one that it was with to start with. I didn't know how easy [switching] would be or how hard that it would be but I would definitely have done it if Supplier A hadn't of come near." (received Ofgem letter, switched internally)

Switched externally

Those who switched supplier did so mainly due to the level of saving.

However, many were generally already thinking of switching (or had an underlying feeling they should), and **the letter played a key role in nudging them along**

"The letter was a catalyst, if I had been happy with the current supplier, I may have gone to them to see if they had been able to save me some money, it would not have to be the same amount that the letter said that I could save. It is not the amount that was the only reason for wanting to switch it was also the feeling of not getting the service." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

Rationale

Why did this audience take this action?

- Level of saving
- Nudged to speed up the switching process for some

"I chose one of the deals in the letter as it was a big difference compared to what I was paying. It was much cheaper. I think that it was the cheapest one in the letter." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally) customers appear more inclined to stay with Supplier A even if they offered less of a saving

Supplier A

Particularly Supplier A customers, but also Supplier B customers, gave their supplier a chance to match the deals before switching

 Savvy
 Hassle
 Happy

 searchers
 Haters
 Happy

Switched externally: example comments

"The level of saving was a factor and also we felt it was time for a change. We were thinking about doing it for ages without anything about it." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

"The level of saving is a major factor. It is not a service industry, I am not bothered who provides it as long as I get my gas and electricity." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

"The reason I switched was because I didn't want to stay with Supplier B after I read that they were charging me over £100 more than the other companies in the letter. The letter had better deals and I just decided to go with the cheapest. Also my dad had been at me to switch as he had got himself a really good deal." (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

"I had already decided to switch supplier before we received this letter so I had already been on comparison websites to look at other suppliers. I felt happier with the company I went with - who I found on the comparison site - than the other suppliers that were in the letter." (received Supplier B letter, switched externally)

"I only looked at one supplier and their deal it was the cheapest, I don't remember the other suppliers as I didn't read about them. If it had been a supplier that I had not heard of it wouldn't be a problem. If it had been a supplier that I had heard of it wouldn't make any difference. It would be the price that is the most important. I switched to the cheapest deal in the letter."

(received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

Taking up deals in the letter

The level of saving and simplicity of switching are the main drivers behind taking up deals in the letter; control and loyalty are the key factors behind not taking up those deals

"We just felt it was the right time. And because it was all laid out for us in black and white, it made it easy to do." - (received Ofgem letter, switched externally)

Although many consumers **did their own research on deals, a number did end up with suppliers/similar deals included in the letter**



Due to the perceived ease (low risk) when a current supplier deal is included, this can positively impact on internal switching behaviour.

Deals on offer...

The effect of the offers

The choice of suppliers included within the letter also plays a major role in the emotive response, but consumers tend to react differently towards certain suppliers.

"I straight away thought, I've never heard of them so I'm not interested in them." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

Big suppliers Brand reputation Increased visibility Brand reputation Less price competitive **Negative word** of mouth "I didn't want to change." I prefer to deal with a big company like Supplier B as I have other products with them." (received Supplier B CMOL letter, switched internally)

Small suppliers



Grab attention

Less perceived differentiators within the energy market can work in smaller supplier's favour

News regarding smaller energy companies entering the market helps to reassure certain people



Need to be researched



"I didn't recognise any of the names, which is why I was a little bit suspicious." (received Ofgem letter, no action taken)

Ofgem Case study: did nothing... CMOL Supplier A 6 vrs **Profile:** female, 65+, The 'procrastinator' with hassle hater, Scotland Supplier Α Perception Reaction Impact Positive Ofgem have a good reputation • A nudge in the right Informative/helpful Never trust anything completely direction/reminder • A nudge to switch An involved switching process • Life got in the way "I completely understood the "I never trust anything letter, fairly clear, explaining I completely, I think that you "A £160 per year saving is large could and should look at other and was enough for me to go and have to take some energy suppliers. It was helpful." check it out. But not got round to responsibility and go and doing it yet. I opened and read it look around and check things out yourself. I went and and immediately checked the "I thought it was because I was suppliers and made notes on the checked all the suppliers on in a certain age bracket, I did letter and then the summer took their own websites, you can't know about being on a standard over." trust everything completely, tariff. I had been on a cheaper you need to take some tariff but that had only been for things on your own 12 months and then I had gone shoulders. Ofgem have a on to the standard tariff." very good track record for being fair." "It didn't give hints on how to do "They have a good it, but it was nudge for me to go reputation, it would be and do it myself, that I Ofgem that should should consider checking

send them."

out other suppliers."

Case study: switched internally

Profile: male, 45-54, hassle hater, Scotland

Reaction

- Understanding of the message
- Informative
- Official

"That if I shop around I might get cheaper prices, with prices of other companies. It was official, with a Supplier B logo, and mv details on it. I was quite surprised with the letter, what it was saying."

"It was right, formal and informative. Supplier B came forward to me to say I could save money by switching."

"On the day I put it to one side, and then at the weekend I read the letter and then went online, the tariff was more expensive for that company, on their website."

The 'risk avoider'

Perception

- Understanding of the context
- Informative
- Official
- Scepticism of small companies

"It is an obligation, new rules from the government for the clients to shop around. I am on a standard tariff, I did know that I could save money if I switched."

"I was not sure who they were or how big they were, which is an issue. I haven't seen their vans with the company names around here, so will they be able to provide a good service, if I have a problem with the boiler or pipes will I wait a day for them to come out like Supplier B or would it be a week? It was strange that they didn't have their tariff on there."

"If they want to be open and transparent, they should send it. If it is one of the other companies, I would be careful, sometimes they under sell the correct charges. It is more expensive than they said. I would rather receive this information from Supplier B."

"I didn't want to change. I prefer to deal with a big company, like Supplier B as I have other products with them. Household insurances for pipes and the boiler."

Supplier B CMOL

> Supplier B 7 yrs with Supplier

Loyalty to Supplier B

B

Impact

Case study: switched externally

Profile: female, 35 – 44, market sceptic, South West

Reaction

- Understanding of the message
- Surprise at the savings

"It was from Supplier B. It said that I can be on a cheaper tariff by moving onto another supplier and gave examples of other suppliers and their tariffs."

"The thing that really stood out I suppose was the level of savings they were talking about. I wasn't aware of the level of savings I could make."

"I don't know why I was sent the letter but I remember being curious that it was from Supplier B and immediately thought that someone had made them send it to me. It was relevant to me as it had been at the back of my mind that I ought to move supplier. I also know that there's been a lot in media about it [switching] and this also prompted me to do something about it. So I was happy to receive it." The `successfully nudged!'

Perception

- Aware of being able to save
- Supplier B/Ofgem both relevant senders
- · Poor experience of Supplier B

"I think it was appropriate to come from Supplier B or Ofgem. If it came from a competitor, it would look like junk mail so it's better if it comes from your current supplier."

"I knew at the back of my mind that I was paying more than I should so the information in the letter wasn't too hard to believe. I was not surprised that there was not a deal from Supplier B because there was a lot of stuff about their lack of competitiveness in the media."

"I was quite happy to move from Supplier B anyway. Recently I'd had problems with their website not processing my reductions." • Already considering but acted as a nudge to switch

Impact

Supplier B

Had switched before

Supplier

B CMOL

1-3 vrs

with

Supplier B

"Well I was convinced it was genuine and I didn't see the need to call Supplier B. I put the letter on a pile and added it to my to-do list. About 2 or 3 weeks later I went onto a comparison website and looked for the best deal for me. I had already thought about moving to another supplier before I got the letter so getting the letter reminded me to do it."

"I have switched before. I used to be with Supplier A then I switched to Supplier B. So I just went online to one of the sites that compare tariffs for you. I did notice that some of the offers in the letter had changed or they were no longer available."

"I liked the idea of Bristol Energy as I got discounts for being local and I liked the fact that they also direct some of their profits back into the community. That's the reason I picked them over the ones in the letter."



	Reaction	Perception	Impact	
	Surprise at the communication	Trust in Ofgem	A nudge to explore the market	
2	Surprise at savings	Loyalty to Supplier A	A nudge towards switching	
	Positivity towards the concept	Distrust in the market	Switching	
		Scepticism towards the switching process (prices, time, risk)	Greater awareness of suppliers	
	Clarity of the message		Awareness of savings	
5	Lack of clarity about the context (why me?)		Continued inertia/ procrastination	
	Scepticism of supplier motives		Distrust in the market – better the devil you know?	

The concept

Reaction to the letters is predominantly positive and consumers feel that **this should be a regular activity**, with very few suggestions about improving the clarity of the letter.

Should the letters be sent again, **providing clearer detail around the contextual background** on *why* certain customers were sent the letter would aid buy-in for the activity, and possibly encourage greater switching activity.

One addition to the letter, or in some other form of communication which would be particularly beneficial towards switching behaviour, is to **provide more education and transparency on the switching process**, **emphasising ease and providing reassurance about (lack of) risk**.

Price and **savings are the main elements which will grab attention** and act as a call to action (most effective when saving $\sim \pm 150$ +).

Perceptions of the messenger

Perceptions of suppliers vary by individuals significantly, although there appears to be **more active trust and loyalty towards Supplier A based on positive service experiences**.

Perceptions and **loyalty towards Supplier B appear to be strongly influenced by length of tenure and distrust in the market as a whole ('better the devil you know')**, rather than through positive service experiences – a handful of customers outline very negative experiences.

Perceptions of Ofgem are positive on the whole, with many feeling **strong trust towards Ofgem as an organisation**.

Interestingly, both suppliers and Ofgem are mostly viewed to be appropriate senders, but there is some cynicism about motives when the messenger is a supplier (Ofgem endorsement provides reassurance).

Differences between the two supplier trials

In terms of actions following the letter, **there are limited differences;** customers appear to be equally as likely to call their supplier or research online between both trials, with **most actions appearing to be driven by experience with the supplier and attitudes to the market.**

When the current supplier is included, it can present an easier and lower risk option, **but many will contact the current supplier regardless** of whether they are included in the letter.

Impact on switching behaviour

The energy market causes strong inertia, distrust and negative perceptions, with many consumers happy to stay with their current supplier rather than 'risk' switching.

For some, unknown suppliers are treated with strong scepticism, but others are happy to explore new suppliers as a result of distrust towards the 'big 6', or to make a considerable saving

For a lot of people, the letter acted as a nudge rather than a realisation – with the exception of saving levels, which do cause surprise.

Busy lives, distrust towards the process and negative perceptions of the market reduce the urgency of switching and lead to procrastination

Key learnings

Concept well received, letter clear and succinct Direct mail more novel and effective than it used to be

Level of saving the key hook and call to action

Context of why individuals selected may reassure some – also present as a 'norm' ('other customers have saved x...')?

More direction on next steps useful, make it easy (but not 'automatic') but also position option of 'taking control' through own research

Useful nudge, particularly to those with an inkling they are on an expensive tariff

'Market sceptics' on longest tariffs are sceptical & risk averse, inclusion of own supplier may increase likelihood to switch (tariff)

The 'put to one side' procrastination issue is a barrier – include 'act now' messaging for a more immediate response? Sender doesn't have major impact on credibility of letter, but Ofgem 'endorsement' is important

If you have any questions, please contact...

Report prepared by:

Liam Higgins, Senior Research Executive lhiggins@djsresearch.com

Alasdair Gleed, Research Director agleed@djsresearch.com

Pavilion Lane, Strines, Stockport, Cheshire, SK6 7GH

+44 (0)1663 767 857 djsresearch.co.uk

For more information, visit our UK or International websites: <u>http://etudesmarketingangleterre.fr/</u> <u>http://ricercadimercatoinghilterra.it/</u>



