

Annex 1 – Open letter consultation on the Incentive of Connections Engagement

- 1.1. We would like to hear the views of interested parties in relation to any of the issues set out in our open consultation letter.
- 1.2. We would especially welcome responses to the specific questions in our consultation, which are replicated below.
- 1.3. If you have any questions on this document please contact:

James Veaney
Head of Connections and Constraint Management
Ofgem, 9 Millbank, London, SW1P 3GE
020 7901 1861
Connections@Ofgem.gov.uk
- 1.4. **Responses should be sent, preferably by e-mail by 27 July 2017 to the address above.**
- 1.5. Unless marked confidential, all responses will be published by placing them in Ofgem's library and on its website www.ofgem.gov.uk. Respondents may request that their response is kept confidential. Ofgem shall respect this request, subject to any obligations to disclose information, for example, under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.
- 1.6. Respondents who wish to have their responses kept confidential should clearly mark the document/s to that effect and include the reasons for confidentiality. Respondents are asked to put any confidential material in the appendices to their responses.
- 1.7. Next steps: We will consider the responses to this consultation and these will be used alongside other evidence for our assessment of the ICE plans.
- 1.8. Each of the questions asked by this consultation is set out in the template below.
- 1.9. Please ensure that you **indicate the DNO group or specific licence area** to which your experiences relate.
- 1.10. Please ensure that you indicate clearly the type of connection you generally require.
- 1.11. When considering your responses to these questions, please consider your experiences, the actions that the DNO has undertaken or committed to undertake, and the actions that you consider it could reasonably undertake.

Response template – Incentive on Connections Engagement June 2017

Question	Response																																		
About you and your work																																			
1. What is the name of your company?	NHS Dufries & Galloway Health Board																																		
2. Which DNO's ICE submission is your response related to (see Annex 2 for DNO map)? Please indicate clearly in your response to the questions below whether your comments refer to the DNO's plans as a whole, or to one of the DNO's licence areas. If you wish to provide a response to the ICE submission of more than one DNO, please use a separate template for each DNO.	SPEN Energy Networks DNO 2																																		
3. What type of connection do you generally require? And for each type of connection, how many connection applications, including total MVA (Mega Volt Ampere) of connections have you made in the past year? Please note that we will assess storage within the relevant metered generation market segment.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Type of connection</th><th>Total number of connections</th><th>Total MVA of connections</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Metered Demand Connections</td><td>Low Voltage (LV) Work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>High Voltage (HV) Work</td><td>2</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr> <td>HV and Extra High Voltage (EHV) Work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>EHV work and above</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Metered Distributed Generation (DG)</td><td>LV work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>HV and EHV work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Unmetered Connections</td><td>Local Authority (LA) work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Private finance initiatives (PFI) Work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Other work</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Type of connection		Total number of connections	Total MVA of connections	Metered Demand Connections	Low Voltage (LV) Work			High Voltage (HV) Work	2	5	HV and Extra High Voltage (EHV) Work			EHV work and above			Metered Distributed Generation (DG)	LV work			HV and EHV work			Unmetered Connections	Local Authority (LA) work			Private finance initiatives (PFI) Work			Other work		
Type of connection		Total number of connections	Total MVA of connections																																
Metered Demand Connections	Low Voltage (LV) Work																																		
	High Voltage (HV) Work	2	5																																
	HV and Extra High Voltage (EHV) Work																																		
	EHV work and above																																		
Metered Distributed Generation (DG)	LV work																																		
	HV and EHV work																																		
Unmetered Connections	Local Authority (LA) work																																		
	Private finance initiatives (PFI) Work																																		
	Other work																																		

Consultation questions

Section 1: Looking Back report 2016-17

We want your views on how well the DNOs have performed over the last year

1. Are you satisfied that the DNO had a comprehensive and robust strategy for engaging with connections stakeholders? Do you consider that the DNO implemented its strategy? If not, are you satisfied that the DNO has provided a reasonable and well-justified explanation?	Yes regular meetings set up with SPEN where engagement produced practical solutions to meet our needs in line with the project build.
2. Are you satisfied that the DNO had a comprehensive work plan of activities (with associated delivery dates) to meet the requirements of its connections stakeholders? Do you consider that the DNO delivered its work plan? If not, are you satisfied that the DNO has provided a reasonable and well-justified explanation?	Full strategy and programme of works were agreed and followed. There was slippage due to the construction timescales of our build which SPEN worked around.
3. Do you consider that the DNO's work plan provided relevant outputs (eg key performance indicators, targets etc.)? Are you satisfied that the DNO has delivered these outputs? If not, do you view the reasons provided to be reasonable and well justified?	<p>Yes. Plan was fully developed between ourselves and both agreed to key deadlines.</p> <p>As we speak the project is at its final comisionsing which is in line with the plan.</p>
4. Do you agree that the DNO's strategy, activities and outputs have taken into account ongoing feedback from a broad and inclusive range of connections stakeholders? If not, has the DNO provided reasonable justification?	Yes. Regular progress meetings held

The following two questions refer to the specific areas we discuss in our letter – meeting the needs of all types of connections customers, and connecting when the networks are constrained.

5. Do you consider that the DNO's activities and outputs over the past year were	Yes. This type of connection was new to us and our sub contractors was also new to us, however with the ongoing communication between all parties
--	---

adequate in meeting the needs of all types of connection customers, including the particular needs of newer types of connection customers?	there was a satisfactory resolutions and partnership working.
6. Do you consider that the DNO's activities and outputs over the past year were adequate in meeting the needs of customers looking to connect when the networks are constrained?	Yes, part of our connection involves a CHP plant and standby generation and we have satisfactorily agree how we can implement and short term planning. Short term parralelleing arrangement was agreed. Our ongoing relationship with SPEN will lead to us being able to generate if required and as capacity becomes available.
<p align="center">Section 2: Looking Forward plans 2017-18</p>	
<p>We want your views on what the DNOs aim to achieve in the coming year</p>	
7. Are you satisfied that the DNO has a comprehensive and robust strategy for engaging with connection stakeholders and facilitating joint discussions where appropriate?	<p>I have worked with SPEN for the last 20 years and have a really good relationship with the local team in Dumfries. This has continued through this project.</p> <p>My own background is mechanical and I appreciate the advice and guidance given over a number of year and on variety issues.</p>
8. Do you agree that the DNO has a comprehensive work plan of activities (with associated delivery dates) that will meet the requirements of its connection stakeholders? If not, has the DNO provided reasonable and well-justified reasons? What other activities should the DNOs do?	<p>At this time we are at the point of the final commissioning of the new hospital supply which is in line with timescales.</p> <p>The project did stall briefly between design and construction when we were tying up all the legal arrangements. Arrangements for gaining a servitude over the land used to run the supply to our site were all prepared however SPENs external lawyers caused a delay in dealing with this which has a significant cost impact to the project.</p>
9. Do you consider that the DNO has set relevant outputs that it will deliver during the regulatory year (eg key performance indicators, targets, etc.)?	Yes

10. Would you agree that the DNO's proposed strategy, activities and outputs have been informed and endorsed by a broad and inclusive range of connection stakeholders? If they have not been endorsed, has the DNO provided robust evidence that it has pursued this?	Yes. Our project was tailored to our needs and we believe this is the same for other connection customers.
The following two questions refer to the specific areas we discuss in our letter – meeting the needs of all types of connections customers, and connecting when the networks are constrained.	
11. Do you consider that the DNO's planned activities and outputs will be sufficient to meet the needs of all types of connection customers, including the particular needs of newer types of connection customers? What other activities should the DNO undertake in this area?	Yes – SPEN can meet all types of connections. Particular impressed with the delivery engineer and his ability to work with our contractors and implement this new technology. Common agreement was reached between all parties.
12. Do you consider that the DNO's planned activities and outputs will be sufficient to meet the needs of customers looking to connect when the networks are constrained? What other activities should the DNO undertake in this area?	Yes - Networks are constrained in this area. We hope that with the additional works planned in the next few years will enable us to generate.

Annex 2 - Map showing DNO licensee areas¹

Electricity Distribution



¹ Image from Electricity Networks Association (ENA) – note the ICE only applies to distribution networks operating in GB