

Deleted: <object><object>

Deleted: Promoting choice and value

Deleted: for all gas and electricity customers

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Governance Document v. 3.0

Publication date: 11 May 2017

Contact: [Tom Mackenzie](#)

Deleted: Judith Ross

Team: [RIIO Networks](#)

Deleted: 28 July 2015

Tel: 020 7901 7406

Deleted: Innovation

Email: Thomas.Mackenzie@ofgem.gov.uk

Deleted: 3263 2782

Deleted: Judith.ross

Overview:

This document is version 3.0 of the Gas Network Innovation Competition (NIC) Governance Document referred to in the NIC Licence Condition.

Deleted: 2.1

One of the key innovation proposals for the RIIO (Revenue = Incentives + Innovation + Outputs) model for price controls was the introduction of a NIC for gas and electricity. The purpose of the NIC is to encourage Network Licensees to innovate to address issues associated with the move to a low carbon economy and deliver wider environmental benefits to customers.

Deleted: address issues that can

This Governance Document sets out the regulation, governance and administration of the Gas NIC. Network Licensees are required by the NIC Licence Condition to comply with this document as if it formed part of the licence.

Deleted: Section A sets out guidance for the competition. Section B sets out the requirements that a Network licensee must adhere to once funding is awarded.

We have attempted to make this document accessible and informative to parties other than the Network Licensees, especially those who may be looking to partner with Network Licensees to try out new technological, operational or commercial arrangements.

It is the responsibility of each Network Licensee to understand the provisions of its licence, this Governance Document and how those provisions apply to it.

Deleted: them

Deleted: /Ofgem E-Serve

Context

Innovation is a key element of the new RIIO (Revenue = Incentives + Innovation + Outputs) model for price controls. The RIIO model was introduced for gas distribution companies (RIIO-GD1) and electricity and gas transmission companies (RIIO-T1) from 1 April 2013. It was introduced for electricity distribution companies (RIIO-ED1) from 1 April 2015.

Deleted:),

Deleted: and

One of the key innovation proposals was the introduction of a Network Innovation Competition (NIC) for gas and electricity. The purpose of the NIC is to encourage Network Licensees to innovate to: (i) address issues associated with the move to the low carbon economy; and (ii) deliver wider environmental benefits to customers.

Deleted: addresses issues that can

This document sets out the regulation, governance and administration of the Gas NIC. This document is issued by the Authority under Part E (The NIC Governance Document) of the NIC Licence Condition and in accordance with Part F (Procedure for Issuing and Revising the NIC Governance Document) of the NIC Licence Condition. This document may be revised and reissued in accordance with Part F of the NIC Licence Condition.

Deleted: Issuing

Deleted: document

Deleted: E

This is version 3.0 of the NIC Governance Document. This version supersedes all previous versions of the NIC Governance Document. In certain areas, separate provision has been made in relation to specified categories of Projects. In all other cases, the Governance Document applies in the same manner to all Projects. It does not apply retrospectively and does not impose new, or alter the existing, rights and obligations of Network Licensees or of Ofgem as they existed prior to the coming into force of this Governance Document.

Network Licensees are required by the NIC Licence Condition to comply with this document as if it formed part of the licence. However, we have attempted to make this document accessible and informative to parties other than the Network Licensees, especially those who may be looking to partner with Network Licensees to try out new technological, operational or commercial arrangements.

This document is subordinate to the NIC Licence Condition (Special Condition 2F for National Grid Gas Plc (NTS) and 1I for the Distribution Networks of the Gas Transporters Licence). Consequently, this document will not change any definitions or obligations contained within the Gas Transporters Licence applicable to each of the Network Licensees and, in the event of any inconsistency between the NIC Licence Condition and this document, the NIC Licence Condition will take precedence. This document takes precedence over the Project Directions, where this document explicitly states that it takes precedence or where the context so requires.

Deleted: Special

Deleted: ,

Deleted: .



Associated documents

Gas Act 1986

| Gas Transporters Licence for National Grid [Gas Plc \(NTS\)](#) – Special Condition 2F

Deleted: Transmission (NGGT)

| Gas Transporters Licence for Distribution [Networks](#) (DNs) – Special Condition 1I

Deleted: Network Operators

Gas Transporters Licence for IGTs – Special Condition 2

|

Contents

1. Introduction	6
The Gas NIC	7
Differences between the NIC and the NIA	7
The Gas NIC Governance Document	8
Technology Readiness Levels	8
Compliance	8
2. Collaboration and Learning Portals	10
Collaboration Portal	10
Requirements	10
Additional information	11
Facilitating access for Non-Network Licensees	11
Knowledge Transfer Portal	11
Requirements	12
Additional information	12
3. Annual competitive process	14
Introduction	14
NIC Funding Mechanism	14
Funding Bid Preparation Costs (for Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018)	15
4. Initial Screening Process	16
Introduction	16
Eligibility Requirements	17
ISP criteria	18
Screening process	20
Screening Submission	22
Publication	25
Project changes	25
5. Full Submission Process	27
Eligible submissions	27
Project ownership	27
Full Submission process	27
Full Submission Pro-forma and appendices	28
Derogations and requests to change regulatory arrangements	29
Customer impacts	30
Projects involving interruptions to customers' supply	30
Funding request	30
Request for Bid Preparation Costs (for Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018)	32
Project Deliverables	33
Evaluation Criteria	35
Award notification	42
6. Implementation requirements overview	44
7. Funding Direction	44
8. Project implementation	47
Compliance	47

Deleted: 7

Deleted: Learning

Deleted: SECTION A: Process for running the Gas NIC 12¶

Deleted: customers

Deleted: . 32¶
Successful Delivery Reward

Deleted: SECTION B:

Deleted: 44¶
6. Introduction

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Project Bank Account	47
Customer protection <u>requirements</u>	48
Reporting requirements: <u>Project Progress Report (PPR)</u>	49
<u>Amendment to Project Directions issued in Formula Year 2016/2017 or before</u>	51
Project changes	51
<u>Reporting requirements: Close Down Report</u>	54
Halting a Project	55
Applications for Contingency Funding <u>(for Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017)</u>	56
<u>Successful Delivery Reward (for Projects where a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017)</u>	57
<u>Process</u>	57
<u>Assessment</u>	58
Disallowed Expenditure <u>and Halted Project Revenues</u>	59
<u>Returned Project Revenues</u>	59
Project audits	61
Annual conference requirements	61
9. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)	63
Scope	63
Ownership and transfer of ownership of IPR	64
Licensing of Background IPR	64
Licensing of Foreground IPR	64
Right to protect IPR	65
10. Royalties	67
Appendix 1 – Definitions	69
Appendix 2 - <u>Guidance on contents of the Close Down Report</u>	80
Appendix 3 – <u>Guidance Notes for Successful Delivery Reward Application</u>	83

Deleted: Engagement Plan 48¶
Data

Deleted: . 49¶

Deleted: 8

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward 57¶

Deleted: Appendices 68¶

Deleted: Glossary 80

1. Introduction

Chapter summary

This chapter sets out the purpose and objectives of the Network Innovation Competition (NIC).

1.1. Innovation is a key element of the RIIO (Revenue = Incentives + Innovation + Outputs) model for price controls. The RIIO framework provides strong incentives to innovate as part of normal business. However, certain Research, Development and Demonstration projects are speculative in nature and yield uncertain commercial returns. In addition, where benefits are linked to the decarbonisation of the network, it may be difficult to commercialise the respective carbon and/or environmental benefits and shareholders may be unwilling to speculatively fund such projects.

Deleted: new

1.2. Over time, we expect the incentives within the RIIO framework to encourage Network Licensees to innovate as part of business as usual. In the meantime, we have also introduced a time-limited innovation stimulus package within the RIIO framework, to provide an additional incentive to kick-start a cultural change where Network Licensees establish the ethos, internal structures and third party contacts to facilitate innovation as part of business as usual.

Deleted:

Deleted: that

1.3. The innovation stimulus¹ consists of three measures:

- A Network Innovation Allowance (NIA) – to fund smaller innovation projects that can deliver benefits to customers as part of a RIIO-Network Licensees' price control settlement;
- A Network Innovation Competition (NIC) – an annual competition to fund selected flagship innovative Projects that could deliver low carbon and environmental benefits to customers; and
- An Innovation Roll-out Mechanism (IRM) – to fund the roll-out of proven innovations which will contribute to the development in Great Britain (GB) of a low carbon energy sector or broader environmental benefits.

Deleted: Projects

Deleted: Licensees

1.4. The NIA and NIC are successors to the Low Carbon Networks (LCN) Fund. The NIA and NIC broadly replicate the structure of the LCN Fund² which consisted of a first tier allowance and a second tier annual competition for funding. The scope of the NIC is broader than the second tier of the LCN Fund as it includes Development as well as Demonstration Projects. In addition to the LCN Fund first tier, the NIA

¹ Further information on the high level policy of the Innovation Stimulus can be found in the RIIO-T1/GD1 [March Strategy Document and the RIIO-ED1 Decision on Strategy](#).

² More information on the LCN Fund is available [here](#).

builds upon elements of the Innovation Funding Incentive that was in place under previous price controls.

Deleted: (IFI)

The Gas NIC

1.5. The Gas NIC is open to Network Licensees who wish to bid for funding for innovative Projects which could deliver carbon or environmental benefits for gas customers and that would not be funded by the Network Licensee without additional funding being made available.

1.6. The Gas NIC began in April 2013 and will run annually until March 2021. We committed to making £20m⁴ available each year until March 2021 as part of the RIIO-T1 and RIIO-GD1 price controls. These amounts will be raised from transmission customers. To bid for funding, all transmission and distribution network licensees compete against one another in a single gas competition.

Deleted: from April 2013 -

Deleted: and a maximum of £18m³ will be

Deleted: the purposes of the

Deleted:

1.7. We expect Network Licensees to collaborate with each other and with Non-Network Licensee on Projects. Network Licensees can collaborate with each other and Non-Network Licensees on Gas NIC projects as Project Partners. Project Partners are able to contribute External Funding to a Project but are eligible to lead bids for funding only through a Network Licensee.

Deleted: <#>A further £2m will be set aside each year in gas for the Successful Delivery Reward Network Licensees may apply for this once they have successfully completed their Project. Further details of this reward can be found in chapter 5.¶

<#>For the Purposes of this Governance Document, a Network Licensee is the holder of a Gas Transporters Licence, ie the NTS Owner, a Gas Distribution Network Operator (GDN) or an Independent Gas Transporter (IGT).¶

1.8. All gas customers fund Gas NIC Projects. Therefore a key feature of the NIC is the requirement that learning gained through Projects is disseminated in order that customers gain a significant return on their funding through the broad roll-out of successful Projects and the subsequent delivery of network savings and/or carbon and environmental benefits. Even where Projects are deemed unsuccessful, Network Licensees will gain valuable knowledge that could result in future network savings.

Deleted: <#>For the purpose of this Governance Document the term Non-Network Licensees includes suppliers, or shippers.

Deleted: <#>⁵

Differences between the NIC and the NIA

Deleted: <#>We have introduced the NIC Licence Condition to enable the creation of the Gas NIC.¶

1.9. The NIA is a set annual allowance that each RIIO-Network Licensee will receive to fund small-scale innovative projects as part of its price control settlement. The NIA will fund smaller scale Research, Development and Demonstration Projects and can cover all types of innovation, including commercial, technological and operational. Unlike the NIC, the NIA is not focussed solely on innovative projects with potential low carbon and environmental benefits.

Deleted: their

Deleted: A RIIO-Network Licensee is a Network Licensee that is regulated under the RIIO price control framework.

Deleted: Projects

1.10. Compared to the NIA, the NIC is focussed on funding larger scale, more complex, innovative Projects, however there is no restriction on the size of Projects, (subject to the amount of funding which is available in the relevant Formula Year). NIC Funding is awarded through an annual competitive process. All types of innovation including commercial, operational and technical innovation are eligible for

Deleted: we expect

Deleted: to be

Deleted: . NIC Funding will be

⁴ Funding levels remain constant in nominal terms, ie no adjustment for inflation.

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

NIC funding so long as the Project has the potential to deliver low carbon and/or environmental benefits to gas customers.

1.11. The scope of Network Licensee involvement in the NIC is also broader than in the NIA. The NIA is only available to RIIO-Network Licensees as part of their price control settlement, whereas Non-RIIO Network Licensees may lead bids for funding under the NIC.

1.12. For the purpose of this Governance Document, Network Licensees include RIIO-Network Licensees who are licensees regulated under the RIIO price control framework and Non-RIIO Network Licensees who are not regulated under the RIIO price control framework (ie IGTs).

1.13. The regulation, governance and administration of the NIA is set out in the NIA Governance Document.

The Gas NIC Governance Document

1.14. This document is the Gas NIC Governance Document and sets out the regulation, governance and administration of the Gas NIC.

1.15. This document is divided into two sections. Section A sets out the annual competitive process that Network Licensees should follow to submit Project bids to Ofgem for funding. Section B sets out the Project implementation requirements if a Network Licensee is successful in winning NIC Funding.

1.16. In this document we use the terms 'Ofgem', 'the Authority', 'we', 'us' and 'our' interchangeably. Ofgem is the Office of the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority. The Authority is the governing body for Ofgem, consisting of non-executive and executive members.

1.17. Defined terms are capitalised throughout the text, with the definitions set out in Appendix 1.

Technology Readiness Levels

1.18. Technology Readiness Level (TRL) 1 – 3 (Research activities) and 9 (proven activities) will not be eligible for funding from the NIC. The term Technology Readiness Level is defined in Appendix 1.

Compliance

1.19. Network Licensees are required by the NIC Licence Condition to comply with this document as if it formed part of their licence.

Deleted: Licensees

Deleted: for

Deleted: Ofgem

Deleted: the Authority

Deleted: <#>Throughout this document we use the terms Project, Method, Problem, Solution and Dissemination, defined as follows: ¶
<#>**Problem:** means the issue that needs to be resolved in order to facilitate the low carbon future or deliver wider environmental benefits; ¶
<#>¶
<#>**Method:** means the proposed way of investigating or solving the Problem. This may be done by one or both of the following⁶:¶
<#>¶
<#>Development (TRL 4-6)⁷ which means activity focussed on generating and testing Solutions to the Problem; and¶
<#>¶

Moved down [1]: <#>Demonstration (TRL 7-8) which means activity focussed on generating and testing Solutions on the network and then taking it to a stage where they can be transferred to business as usual.

Deleted: <#> ¶
<#>¶
<#>**Project:** means the Development or Demonstration being proposed or undertaken; ¶
<#>¶
<#>**Solution:** means the outcome if the Problem is solved; ¶
<#>¶
<#>**Dissemination:** means the activity undertaken to share learning from a Project.¶



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

1.20. The NIC Licence Condition and this NIC Governance ~~Document~~ in no way ~~relieve~~ affected parties, including Network Licensees and Project Partners, from their responsibility to ensure ongoing compliance with their licence obligations ~~and with~~ legislation including competition, ~~data protection~~, environment and consumer protection laws.

Deleted: document

Deleted: relieves

Deleted: or

NIC Review

1.21. Ofgem may from time to time, following consultation with Network Licensees and other interested parties, revise this NIC Governance Document in accordance with the NIC Licence Condition.

Deleted: <#>Ofgem will undertake a review of the Gas NIC after it has been in operation for at least two years. It is possible that, following this review, we will make changes to aspects of the NIC operations and governance, and amend the Governance Document to facilitate these changes. ¶

2. Collaboration and Learning Portals

Chapter summary

This chapter sets out that Network Licensees should collaborate with a range of parties to develop and facilitate Projects including maintaining Collaboration and Learning Portals as well as issuing a call for ideas from Non-Network Licensees.

The requirements in this chapter apply to a Network Licensee which has the NIC Licence Condition in its licence and which has applied to the NIC for funding. These Network Licensees must comply with the requirements of this chapter unless the Authority has given its consent in writing for the relevant Network Licensee not to comply.

Collaboration Portal

2.1. We expect Network Licensees to collaborate with each other and with Project Partners on many of the Projects supported by the NIC. Network Licensees are likely to have to work closely with other parties in the gas supply chain to explore what technological or commercial arrangements best address changes in network use and what role they can play in facilitating low carbon and/or wider environmental benefits. Similarly, Network Licensees may benefit from the technologies used and lessons learned in other industries, including the telecoms and information technology sectors, in which case partnerships with technology providers and others outside the energy industry will be valuable. Other parties, such as local authorities and universities may be carrying out pilot studies, and these could offer opportunities for Network Licensees to get a better understanding of how to respond to these studies.

2.2. Since the NIA Governance Document and the NIC Governance Document were first published on 1 February 2013, Network Licensees have established an online Collaboration Portal⁹ through which Non-Network Licensees can bring forward ideas to the Network Licensees for NIC Projects/NIA projects.

Requirements

2.3. Network Licensees must work collaboratively with holders of electricity transmission and s and with each other to maintain the Collaboration Portal, or develop an equivalent portal (if more effective or cost-efficient than the existing portal), for the NIC and NIA so that the following content is available:

- Links to the Ofgem website, to direct potential collaborators to the NIC Governance Document;
- Screening Submissions and Full Submission Pro-formas;

⁹ <http://www.niccollaborationportal.org/>

Deleted: Projects supported by the NIC. Network Licensees should work collaboratively to maintain a

Deleted: Portal

Deleted: this purpose at all times

Deleted: For

Deleted: purposes of the LCN Fund,⁹ established as part of Distribution Price Control Review 5 (DPCR5), DNOs

Deleted: area on the website of the Energy Networks Association (ENA)

Deleted: external parties

Deleted: DNOs

Deleted: LCN Fund

Deleted:¹⁰

Deleted: <#>The requirements in this chapter apply to a Network Licensee who has the NIC Licence Condition in their licence and who has applied to the NIC for funding. These Network Licensees must comply with the requirements of this chapter unless otherwise consented to by the Authority.¹¹

Deleted: DNOs, Electricity TOs

Deleted: develop this

Deleted: to incorporate the NIC and NIA

Deleted: ENA

Deleted:)

Deleted: by 1 October 2013

Deleted: , as well as submitted

Deleted: in each year

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

- A function which enables potential Project Partners to record their name, contact details and a brief summary of their idea, proposal or service, the output of which is available to all Network Licensees; and
- A contact point for each Network Licensee to which potential Project Partners can submit Project ideas.

Deleted: for

Deleted: to

2.4. Network Licensees must work collaboratively with each other to ensure the Collaboration Portal is up to date. In addition, Network Licensees should annually review this portal and make appropriate changes to improve its accessibility and content. We expect this review to be informed by consultation with interested parties on the quality of information provided and the usability of the Collaboration Portal.

Deleted: ,

Deleted: interested parties'

Additional information

2.5. The provision of the Collaboration Portal is not intended to provide any constraint on, or specify, the mechanism through which the Network Licensee will select Project Partners. The portal should not be used as the sole basis upon which an award of a contract for services provided by a Project Partner should be based. It is for the Network Licensee to ensure that its actions, in this regard, comply with any applicable procurement rules.

Facilitating access for Non-Network Licensees

Deleted: Learning

2.6. RIIO Network Licensees intending to make an application for NIC funding in a Formula Year must first issue a call to Non-Network Licensees for Project proposals to be taken forward in the same or in the following Formula Year. The RIIO Network Licensee may submit up to two applications for NIC funding in each Formula Year for Projects to be implemented in partnership with a Non-Network Licensee.

2.7. A Network Licensee may issue an annual call to Non-Network Licensees either individually, collectively with other Network Licensees or through organisations such as the Energy Networks Association (ENA) or the Energy Innovation Centre (EIC). When issuing the call and assessing submissions Network Licensees should follow industry best practice. However, it should be made clear that Non-Network Licensees wishing to submit a NIC proposal to a RIIO Network Licensee are not required to do so in connection with the call (ie they can separately approach one or more Network Licensees with a proposal). In the event of a collective call (whether through an organisation such as the ENA, EIC or otherwise), it should be made clear to Non-Network Licensees that they may respond to as many or as few RIIO Network Licensees as they choose.

2.8. Following each call, RIIO Network Licensees must provide feedback to Non-Network Licensees which made submissions, explaining why the submission will or will not be progressed. The feedback must also be provided to Ofgem upon request.

Knowledge Transfer Portal

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

2.9. Facilitating knowledge transfer is one of the key principles of the NIC. Ultimately, customers are funding the relevant work and it is a requirement of the NIC that the learning generated, be disseminated as effectively as possible to ensure that all Network Licensees, and therefore all customers, are able to benefit from the Projects.

Deleted: this

Deleted: we want

Deleted: to

2.10. Through the Smart Grid Forum¹¹, Network Licensees have established a portal to share learning from innovative Projects. This Learning Portal is an area on the ENA website through which external parties can access the learning generated as a result of innovative Projects.

Deleted: DECC/Ofgem

Deleted: Grids

Deleted: , DNOs are establishing

Deleted: portal will be

Requirements

2.11. We require Network Licensees to work collaboratively (both together and with electricity network licensees) to develop and maintain the Learning Portal so that the following content is available:

Deleted: Licensee

Deleted: this website into a

- A link to the Screening Submission and Full Submission Pro-formas published on Ofgem's website;
- The Project Progress Report (PPR) for each NIC Project; and
- The Close Down Report for each Project.

Deleted: documents

Deleted: six monthly

Deleted: Reports

2.12. Network Licensees must ensure that the latest PPR has been published, all previous PPRs are accessible and links on the portal are up to date at all times.

Deleted: <#>Links to the Customer Engagement Plan for each NIC Project, if applicable;¹² ¶

Deleted: <#> (once a Project has finished).

Additional information

2.13. The provision of this Learning Portal is not intended to provide any constraint on, or specify the Dissemination mechanisms of the Network Licensee. The portal should not be used as the sole means of Dissemination.

2.14. The requirements of this chapter could be fulfilled by creating a single web address which encompasses the Collaboration Portal and the Learning Portal or separate addresses for each portal.

2.15. A Network Licensee who submits Projects to the NIC will be expected to contribute to the efficiently incurred costs associated with implementing and maintaining the portal through the ENA.

Deleted: Network Licensees may use a proportion of their Bid Preparation Costs for this purpose.

Deleted: ¶

SECTION A:

Moved down [2]: Process

Deleted: for running the Gas NIC¶

3. Annual competitive process 13¶

Introduction . 13¶

NIC Funding Mechanism 13¶

Funding Bid Preparation Costs . 14¶

4. Initial Screening Process 15¶

Introduction . 15¶

Eligibility Requirements 16¶

ISP criteria . 16¶

Screening process 19¶

Screening Submission . 21¶

Publication 23¶

Deleted: changes . 23

Sharing Project data

¹¹ The Smart Grid Forum was established by Ofgem and the (former) Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC). The functions of DECC have since been merged with the functions of the (former) Department for Business, Innovation and Skills to form a new Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy.

2.16. Network Licensees must make clear how any network or consumption data they gather in the course of a Project can be requested by interested parties. From 30 September 2017, they must have in place a publicly available data sharing policy setting out the terms on which data will be provided. Ofgem expects Network Licensees to share network and consumption data if the party requesting it can demonstrate it is in consumers' interests to do so (subject to anonymisation and/or redaction for reasons of commercial confidentiality or other sensitivity).

Deleted: 5. Full Submission Process 25¶
Eligible submissions . 25¶
Project ownership 25¶
Full Submission process . 25¶
Full Submission Pro-forma and appendices 26¶
Derogations and requests to change regulatory arrangements . 27¶
Customer impacts 28¶
Projects involving interruptions to customers supply . 28¶
Funding request 28¶
Request for Bid Preparation Costs . 30¶
Successful Delivery Reward 30¶
Evaluation Criteria . 33¶
Award notification 40¶

3. Annual competitive process

Chapter summary

We run an annual competition for funding innovative gas Projects. This chapter sets out an introduction to the two stage competitive process and outlines the mechanism for funding Projects.

Deleted: will

Introduction

3.1. Projects submitted by Network Licensees compete to obtain funding from the NIC. Ofgem holds an annual call for submissions and allocates funding across Projects which have the potential to deliver carbon or environmental benefits and represent good value for money for customers.

Deleted: will

Deleted: will hold

Deleted: will allocate up to £18m in each of the eight years from 2013/14 to 2020/21

3.2. Funding is awarded following a two stage competitive process. Projects must first pass through an Initial Screening Process (ISP) to demonstrate they are eligible to be considered for funding. Each Formula Year, a Network Licensee Group can submit up to four Projects at the ISP stage. Any or all of those Projects which pass the ISP stage can then be developed into Full Submissions by the Network Licensee Group. However, a maximum of two of those Full Submissions may result from the Network Licensee Group's own proposals; the remainder must have resulted from Non-Network Licensee proposals (whether in connection with the call for ideas required under paragraphs 2.6 to 2.8 or otherwise). In relation to the Non-Network Licensee proposals, the Network Licensee must: (i) provide evidence of the source of the idea; and (ii) confirm its intention to implement the proposed Project in partnership with the Non-Network Licensee which submitted it.

Deleted: will be

Deleted: can submit up to five Projects to ISP and can develop up to two Projects which have passed through ISP into Full Submissions as a Funding Licensee. A Funding Licensee is a Network Licensee who applies for funding from the Gas NIC and is the main point of contact for the Project.

3.3. Each year we expect the ISP deadline to be in April and the Full Submission deadline to be in July or in August. Ofgem will notify Network Licensees of the exact dates at least two Calendar Months in advance of each deadline. They will also be published on Ofgem's website.

3.4. Full Submissions are evaluated against a set of criteria – Evaluation Criteria – by an Expert Panel. The Expert Panel makes a recommendation to the Authority on which Projects should be awarded funding. The Authority considers the recommendation made by the Expert Panel and decides which Projects will be funded.

Deleted: will be

Deleted: will make

Deleted: will decide

3.5. The maximum lifetime funding that a Project may apply for (and receive) from the Gas NIC is £20m.

Deleted: 18m

NIC Funding Mechanism

3.6. The general principles and allowed revenues associated with the NIC Funding Mechanism are set out in the NIC Licence Condition. Each year, the Authority will specify the total value of funding awarded for that Formula Year. This value will be the aggregate of the Approved Amounts by the Authority for individual Projects

under the NIC for the year and will cover the approved costs for the life of the Projects. We will annualise any multi-year Project costs and allow them to be expensed in the first year of the Project.

3.7. This funding will be recovered through National Transmission System Transportation (NTS) Charges and transferred to the Network Licensee(s) implementing the winning Project(s). The Authority reserves the right to disallow, in accordance with the provisions set out in the NIC Licence Condition, any funding awarded (or part thereof), which has not been spent in accordance with the provisions of this Governance Document or relevant Project Direction. Further details of the procedures relating to Halted Project Revenues and Disallowed Expenditure are set out in chapter 8 of this document and Part C of the NIC Licence Condition.

Deleted:)

Deleted: (as defined in the NIC Licence Condition)

Funding Bid Preparation Costs (for Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018)

3.8. Paragraphs 3.9 to 3.13 only apply to Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018. For RIIO Network Licensees, any Bid Preparation Costs for a Project which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018 must be recovered during that Formula Year. For Non-RIIO Network Licensees, any Full Submission seeking Bid Preparation Costs for a Project which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018 must be made during that Formula Year. A Network Licensee Group can use up to a maximum of £175,000 or 5 per cent of the Outstanding Funding Required, whichever is smaller, in any Formula Year to cover expenditure it incurs in submitting all its bids to the NIC. A Network Licensee Group can only recover its Bid Preparation Costs if its proposed Project passes the ISP stage of the competition and is eligible to be developed into a Full Submission.

Deleted: their

3.9. A Network Licensee Group consisting of RIIO Network Licensees may use a proportion of its NIA, up to the £175,000 or 5 per cent of the Outstanding Funding Required, whichever is the smaller amount, in any Formula Year to recover its Eligible NIC Bid Preparation Costs for that year. The NIA Licence Condition and NIA Governance Document set out the mechanism for recovering these costs.

Deleted: -

Deleted: their

Deleted: their

Deleted: condition

3.10. A Network Licensee Group consisting of Non-RIIO Network Licensees will be required to outline in its Full Submission in 2017, the total Bid Preparation costs for its Project up to the £175k cap or 5 per cent of the Outstanding Funding requested, whichever is smaller.

Deleted: their

Deleted: their

Deleted:

3.11. Where a RIIO Network Licensee and a Non-RIIO Network Licensee have the same Ultimate Controller the combined cap on bid costs will be £175,000 or 5 per cent of the Outstanding Funding Required, whichever is smaller.

Deleted: For the avoidance of doubt, where

3.12. For both Non-RIIO Network Licensees and RIIO Network Licensees, we reserve the right to audit Bid Preparation Costs.

4. Initial Screening Process

Chapter summary

We run an annual competition for funding innovative gas Projects. This chapter sets out the details of the first stage – the Initial Screening Process (ISP) – of the NIC competitive process.

Deleted: will

Introduction

4.1. All proposed Gas NIC Projects must pass the ISP before they are eligible to be developed into Full Submissions to be evaluated by the Expert Panel and considered by the Authority for funding.

4.2. The purpose of the ISP is to reduce the risk of Network Licensees spending time and money developing ineligible Projects by providing an early indication of which Projects may become eligible for funding. The fact that a Project has passed the ISP is without prejudice to any future decisions we may make in relation to the Project (including whether funding should be granted) and does not affect the requirements with which the Project has to comply including all relevant legislation, licence requirements and the Governance Document.

Deleted: are eligible for funding.

4.3. Furthermore, the ISP provides Network Licensees and Ofgem with an early view of the Projects which Network Licensees are developing in a given year and are considering for Full Submission. It will provide an indication of the funding which will be requested and the Project Partners or External Funders who intend to invest (ie commit time, resources and/or funding) in the Project. This information will be useful in informing Network Licensees' decisions in relation to which Projects to progress to Full Submission, and will also help Ofgem avoid any conflict of interest amongst members of the Expert Panel or any external consultants used by Ofgem to review Full Submissions. The ISP also provides an opportunity for Network Licensees to identify opportunities to collaborate on Projects with each other and with other potential Project Partners.

Deleted: over

4.4. If Network Licensees collaborate on a NIC Project, they must appoint a Funding Licensee who will act as the single point of contact with Ofgem. The Funding Licensee is the Network Licensee that applies for funding from the Gas NIC in respect of the Project. References to Network Licensee or Network Licensee Group in this chapter refer to the Funding Licensee where there is Network Licensee collaboration.

Deleted: section

Deleted: this

4.5. Those Projects which do not meet the Eligibility Requirements will be screened out and not permitted to proceed to Full Submission. ▼

Deleted: Network Licensees will not be able to recover Bid Preparation Costs for Projects which do not pass the ISP.

4.6. Ofgem will announce a Screening Submission¹³ deadline (see paragraph 3.3) by which Network Licensees must submit a summary proposal – the Screening Submission – of any Project they wish to be considered for NIC funding that year. A Network Licensee Group ~~may~~ submit ~~up to four~~ Screening Submissions to Ofgem for consideration in any ~~Formula Year~~ in ~~its~~ capacity as Funding Licensee. ~~A maximum of two Screening Submissions may result from the Network Licensee Group's own proposals; the remainder must have resulted from Non-Network Licensee proposals (whether in connection with the call for ideas required under paragraphs 2.6 to 2.8 or otherwise). In relation to the Non-Network Licensee proposals, the Network Licensee must: (i) provide evidence of the source of the idea; and (ii) confirm its intention to implement the proposed Project in partnership with the Non-Network Licensee which submitted it.~~

Deleted: will not be permitted to

Deleted: more than five

Deleted: given year

Deleted: their

4.7. Ofgem will assess Screening Submissions against the Eligibility Requirements and notify the Funding Licensee where a Project fails to meet them. This means that Projects are deemed to have passed ISP unless the Funding Licensee is informed otherwise.

Eligibility Requirements

4.8. In order to pass the ISP, a Project must meet the Eligibility Requirements. ~~The Eligibility Requirements comprise the specific~~ requirements of paragraph 4.9. below and each of the four ISP criteria detailed in paragraphs 4.10 to 4.20 below. Once a Project proposal has passed the ISP, it can be developed into a Full Submission to be evaluated by the Expert Panel and the Authority.

Deleted: Under the

Deleted: Requirements, a NIC Project must meet the

Deleted: 8

Deleted: 9

Deleted: 19

Deleted: in order to pass the ISP

Specific requirements

4.9. A NIC Project must have the potential to have a Direct Impact on a Network Licensee's network or the operations of the GB System Operator and involve the Development or Demonstration of at least one of the following:

- A specific piece of new (ie unproven in GB) equipment (including control and/or communications systems and/or software);
- A specific novel arrangement or application of existing gas transmission and/or distribution equipment (including control and communications systems software);
- A specific novel operational practice directly related to the operation of the gas transportation system; or
- A specific novel commercial arrangement.

Deleted:

¹³ The Network Licensee's submission for the ISP.

ISP criteria

4.10. In addition to meeting one or more of the requirements set out in paragraph 4.9 in order to pass ISP, a Network Licensee must also demonstrate in its completed Screening Submission that the Project meets all the following ISP criteria.

Deleted: 8

a) Accelerates the development of a low carbon energy sector and/or delivers environmental benefits while having the potential to deliver net financial benefits to existing and/or future network customers

4.11. The Network Licensee will need to identify how the proposed Project Solution has the potential to accelerate the development of the low carbon energy sector in GB and/or deliver wider environmental benefits to GB customers. In addition, the Network Licensee will need to demonstrate that the Project Solution has the potential to deliver net financial benefits to existing and/or future customers.

Deleted: Customers

4.12. To demonstrate compliance with this criterion, the Network Licensee will be required to provide the following information in its ISP submission:

Deleted: their

- i. How the proposed Project will make a contribution to the Government’s current strategy for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, as set out in the document entitled “the Carbon Plan” (or its successor) published by DECC, (now known as BEIS¹⁵). In particular the Network Licensee should outline:
 - What aspects of the Carbon Plan (or its successor) the Solution facilitates;
 - The contribution the roll-out of the Method across GB can have in facilitating these aspects of the Carbon Plan, (or its successor); and
 - How the roll-out of the proposed Method across GB will deliver the Solution more quickly than the current most efficient Method in use in GB; and/or
- ii. How the proposed Project could deliver environmental benefits to customers; and
- iii. The expected financial benefits the Project could deliver to customers.

Deleted: ¹⁴”

Deleted: .

Deleted: carbon plan

Deleted: ;

b) Delivers value for money for gas customers

¹⁵ The Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy, which merged the functions of the former Department of Energy and Climate Change and the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills.

4.13. The Gas NIC will be focussed on Projects which can derive benefits and resulting learning that can be attributed to or are applicable to the gas transportation system versus elsewhere, taking into account the level of funding requested.

4.14. We also expect Network Licensees to collaborate with each other and with Non-Network Licensees on many of the Projects supported by the NIC. Such collaboration can enhance the benefits, efficiency and learning delivered from Projects.

Deleted: Licensee parties

4.15. To demonstrate compliance with this criterion the Network Licensee will be expected to provide the following information in its ISP submission:

Deleted: their

- i. What the potential Direct Impact of a Project on a Network Licensee's gas network or on the operations of the GB System Operator is;
- ii. Justification that the scale/cost of the Project is appropriate in relation to the learning that is expected to be captured;
- iii. The processes that will be employed to ensure that the Project is delivered at a competitive cost;
- iv. The expected proportion of the benefits which will accrue to the gas transportation system as opposed to other parts of the energy supply chain; and
- v. The internal systems, procedures and processes used by the Network Licensee to identify Project Participants and Project ideas.

Deleted: is

c) Creates knowledge that can be shared across energy networks in GB, or create opportunities for roll-out across a significant proportion of GB networks

Deleted: Great Britain (

Deleted:)

4.16. A Project must demonstrate that it can generate knowledge that does not exist before the Project is implemented. It is also a requirement that the learning from the Project (whether successful or not) is disseminated amongst other Network Licensees.

4.17. To demonstrate compliance with this criterion, the Network Licensee will be required to provide the following information in its ISP submission:

Deleted: their

- i. What new knowledge is intended to be generated from completing the Project;
- ii. What methodology will be used to capture results from the Project and how the Project's results will be disseminated to other Network Licensees; and

- iii. Whether the Network Licensee wishes to conform to the default Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) arrangements as set out in chapter 9. If the Network Licensee wishes to deviate from the default IPR arrangements it must outline the proposed alternative arrangements, justify why the arrangements are more suitable than the default arrangements and justify how the new arrangements will deliver value for money for customers.

Deleted: IPR

d) Is innovative (ie not business as usual) and has an unproven business case where the innovation risk warrants a limited Development or Demonstration Project to demonstrate its effectiveness

Deleted: ¶

4.18. The NIC is specifically targeted at innovative Projects that a Network Licensee would not perform in its normal course of business because the specific commercial, technical, operational or regulatory risks associated with the Project are so large that shareholders would not speculatively fund them.

Deleted: will

4.19. The Network Licensee must demonstrate that the Project it would like to fund is innovative, untested at the scale and circumstance in which the Network Licensee wishes it to be deployed and that new learning will result from the Project. This will prevent widespread deployment of proven technologies or practices using NIC Funding.

Deleted: they

Deleted: funding

4.20. To demonstrate compliance with this criterion the Network Licensee will be expected to provide the following information in its ISP submission:

Deleted: their

- i. Why the Project is innovative and has not been tried before;
- ii. Why the Network Licensee will not fund such a Project as part of its business as usual activities; and
- iii. Why the Project can only be undertaken with the support of the NIC, including reference to the specific risks (eg commercial, technical, operational or regulatory) associated with the Project.

Deleted: their

Screening process

4.21. In order for a NIC Project to pass the ISP it must conform to the process set out below in paragraphs 4.22 to 4.33.

4.22. Network Licensees must submit their Screening Submissions by the specific Screening Submission date set by Ofgem, – see paragraph 3.3 in relation to the ISP deadline.

Deleted: Submission

Deleted: . This date may alter from year

Deleted: year but will be communicated to Network Licensees at least two Calendar Months in advance of

4.23. Network Licensees should submit their Screening Submissions via a secure online portal or via secure email, or through any other means notified to the Network

Deleted: for Screening Submissions. It will also be published on Ofgem's website

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Licenses by Ofgem. Ofgem will provide details of the relevant arrangements one Calendar Month prior to the Screening Submission date.

4.24. Screening Submissions must be submitted using the Screening Submission Pro-forma provided by Ofgem. This Pro-forma limits the length of the Screening Submission. Network Licensees are not permitted to submit any appendices or supplementary information alongside their Screening Submissions. The information which we will require in the Screening Submission Pro-forma is set out in Table 4.1, below.

Deleted: Submission

Deleted: .

4.25. In relation to a Cross-Industry Project, Network Licensees must submit a joint Screening Submission stating what funding they are requesting from which competition. The level of funding requested from each competition should be commensurate with the expected benefits for customers in the relevant sector.

4.26. Ofgem will confirm receipt of the Screening Submission one Working Day following the successful upload of a Screening Submission to the secure online portal or receipt via secure email, or via email.

Deleted: submission

4.27. Where Ofgem deems that any necessary information is missing within the Screening Submission, Network Licensees will have two Working Days in which to provide this information following any request made by Ofgem. If the missing information is not provided within these two Working Days then the Project will be deemed to have failed the ISP.

Deleted: .

4.28. The Project will be deemed to have passed the ISP unless, within twenty Working Days of the Screening Submission date, Ofgem has sent to the Network Licensee written notification saying that its Screening Submission has failed to meet the Eligibility Requirements. This notification will set out Ofgem's reasons. Ofgem will publish a list of Projects which have passed the ISP twenty Working Days following the Screening Submission date.

Deleted: their

Deleted: outline

Deleted: in full

4.29. If, during the twenty Working Day evaluation period following the date of the ISP submission, Ofgem considers that additional information will be needed to assess whether the Screening Submission has met the Eligibility Requirements then the Authority may extend the ISP deadline by twenty Working Days, or any part thereof, from when Ofgem receives the additional information. The purpose of any extension is for Ofgem to confirm whether the Screening Submission has met the Eligibility Requirements.

Deleted: eligibility criteria

4.30. All notification letters will be first sent to Network Licensees (via email) and will then be published on Ofgem's website. Publication of the Screening Submissions is described in the publication section below - paragraph 4.35.

Deleted: and in the post

4.31. The Authority may provide separate feedback on some Projects which have passed the ISP where it considers that the Network Licensee will need to provide greater clarity on aspects of the Project for Full Submission.

Deleted: feels

4.32. A Project must be submitted for the ISP in the Formula Year in which the Network Licensee intends to develop it into a Full Submission. This includes Projects which have passed the ISP in previous Formula Years but were not awarded funding. This ensures that Ofgem, Network Licensees and wider interested parties have full visibility of the Projects which could be competing for funding through the submission of a Full Submission.

Deleted: as part

Screening Submission

Deleted:Page Break.....
¶

4.33. The table below sets out the information which a Network Licensee will be required to provide in a completed Screening Submission Pro-Forma.

Deleted: Below is

4.34. In the table below, for the purposes of Cross-Industry Projects, references to 'customers' include both gas and electricity customers.

Table 4.1

Pro-forma category	Information required
Project <u>title</u>	
Funding Licensee	The Funding Licensee for the Project.
Network Licensee <u>Project Partners</u>	Where there is collaboration between Network Licensees, the Funding Licensee's <u>partner(s)</u> should be identified here.
<u>Will the Project be implemented in partnership with a Non-Network Licensee?</u>	<u>Yes/No.</u> <u>If yes, confirm the identity of the Non-Network Licensee.</u>
<u>Cross-Industry Project?</u>	<u>Yes/No.</u>
Estimated Project <u>funding</u>	The Funding Licensee must provide an approximate figure of the total cost of the Project and the amount of funding from the Gas NIC (<u>and the Electricity NIC in relation to a Cross-Industry Project</u>) for which <u>it considers it</u> will apply, in the Full Submission. The Network Licensee should also indicate if the Project is requesting funding from the <u>NIA</u> . <u>In relation to Projects which passed the ISP in or before Relevant Year 2017/2018, a Network Licensee Group (consisting of Non-RIIO Network Licensees) which intends to request recovery of Bid Preparation Costs in its Full Submission must provide details</u>

Deleted: Title

Deleted: Partner

Deleted: Funding

Deleted: they consider they

Deleted: for

Deleted: Electricity NIC, the NIA or the second tier of the LCN Fund as well

Deleted: A

Deleted: who expects

Deleted: their

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

	and evidence to support its approximate Bid Preparation Costs ¹⁶ .
Funding commentary	The Funding Licensee should provide a commentary on the accuracy of its funding estimate and state any potential for phasing the Project.
Project start date and end date	The start and end date for the Project.
Network Licensee area (or where the licensee does not operate in a specific area the geographic location(s) of the Project)	The Network Licensee area(s) and/or geographic area(s) in which the Project will take place.
Problem(s)	The Funding Licensee must provide a narrative which explains the Problem(s) which the Project is seeking to address.
Method(s)	The Funding Licensee should describe the Method(s) which <u>it will develop or demonstrate</u> . It must also outline how the Method(s) could solve the Problem. The type(s) of Method(s) should be identified where possible e.g. technical or commercial.
Specific requirements	The Funding Licensee must identify how its Project meets the requirements detailed in paragraph 4.9. A Project must meet at least one specific requirement in order to pass the ISP.
<u>Technology Readiness Level at start</u>	<u>TRL number (4 to 8)</u>
<u>Technology Readiness Level at completion</u>	<u>TRL number (4 to 8)</u>
Accelerates the development of a low carbon energy sector and/or delivers wider environmental benefits	The Funding Licensee must demonstrate how the Solution could make a contribution to the Carbon Plan <u>(or its successor)</u> and/or has wider environmental benefits for its customers. <u>In relation to Cross-Industry Projects, any differential impact on gas and electricity customers should be described.</u>
Delivers net financial benefits to existing and/or future customers	The Funding Licensee must demonstrate how the Method(s) <u>that it will develop or demonstrate</u> has the potential to deliver the Solution at a lower cost than the most efficient method currently in use on the GB gas transportation system. <u>In relation to Cross-Industry Projects, any differential impact on gas and electricity customers should be described.</u>

Deleted: are being Demonstrated

Deleted: Developed

Deleted: 8

Deleted: they are Developing

Deleted: Demonstrating

¹⁶ Paragraph 3.8 describes when Bid Preparation Costs may be requested.

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

<p>Provides value for money for gas customers</p>	<p>The Funding Licensee must demonstrate how the Method(s) it will develop or demonstrate has a potential Direct Impact on the operation of its transportation system or on the operations of the GB System Operator. It must also set out how is will deliver value for money for customers. <u>In relation to Cross-Industry Projects, any differential impact on gas and electricity customers should be described.</u></p>
<p>Demonstrates the Project is innovative and generates knowledge that can be shared amongst all Network Licensees</p>	<p>The Funding Licensee must explain the learning which it expects the Project to deliver. It must also outline why the Project is innovative and would not happen as part of business as usual. If the Funding Licensee wishes to deviate from the default requirement for IPR then it must demonstrate that the IPR arrangements will deliver value for money for customers. <u>In relation to Cross-Industry Projects, any differential impact on gas and electricity customers should be described.</u></p>
<p>Project Partners and External Funding</p>	<p>The Funding Licensee should provide a description of the internal systems, procedures and processes used by the Funding Licensee to identify Project Participants and Project ideas. The Funding Licensees should also include details of any Project Partners, External Funders or Non-Network Licensees who will be actively involved in the Project and are prepared to devote time, resources and/or funding to the Project. A summary of any existing or planned contractual arrangements with these parties in relation to the Project should also be detailed at this stage if in place. If the Funding Licensee has not identified any specific Project Partners, it should provide details of the type of Project Partners it wishes to attract to the Project.</p>
<p>Derogations or exemptions</p>	<p>The Funding Licensee should outline if it <u>considers</u> that the Project will require any derogations, exemptions or changes from/to the regulatory arrangements.</p>

Deleted: Provide

Deleted: is Developing

Deleted: Demonstrating

Deleted: it has

Deleted: they consider

Customer impact	The Funding Licensee should outline any planned interaction with Relevant Customers or Relevant Customers' premises as part of the Project, and any other impacts (such as amended contractual or charging arrangements, or supply interruptions). <u>In relation to Cross-Industry Projects, any differential impact on gas and electricity customers should be described.</u>
<u>Data access details</u>	<u>The Funding Licensee should describe how any network or consumption data gathered in the course of a Project can be requested by interested parties. This requirement may be met by including a link to the publicly available data sharing policy which is required by paragraph 2.16.</u>

Publication

4.35. Following the ISP deadline, Ofgem will publish the Screening Submissions in full. This is to provide full transparency of the Projects being developed and enable Network Licensees and other potential Project Partners to identify where there are opportunities to collaborate. A Network Licensee must clearly identify any information contained in the Screening Submission that it does not consent to being published in full. It must demonstrate that it (or its Project Partners) will face commercial harm from its disclosure.

Deleted: Submission(s)

Deleted: and that the information is considered eligible for exemption under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Act 2004

Project changes

4.36. The purpose of the ISP is to prevent Network Licensees from incurring costs in developing Projects which would not be eligible to receive funding through the NIC and to identify Projects which may be eligible to receive such funding. A further objective of the ISP is to provide an early indication of the Projects being developed and to allow both Network Licensees and Ofgem to plan accordingly. If Network Licensees could change their Projects substantially between the ISP and Full Submission, then the purpose of the ISP would be defeated.

Deleted: are

Deleted: can

Deleted: will

4.37. Once a submission has passed ISP, a Network Licensee must not alter the high level Solution(s) it wishes to facilitate in order to solve the Problem(s) or the Method(s) which it will use to deliver the Solution(s).

4.38. The methodology used to capture learning from the Project should remain largely unaltered and the Full Submission should expand on (rather than materially alter) the methodological details provided within the Screening Submission and/or provide new ideas on how learning from the Project could be disseminated. Where a

Deleted: to

Deleted: Furthermore, where



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

NIC Project deviates from the default requirements for the IPR and has been deemed to meet the Eligibility Requirements, the IPR arrangements for that Project can only be altered ahead of a Full Submission in the following circumstances: (i) where the Network Licensee wishes to revert to the default IPR arrangements; or (ii) where additional Project Partners join the Project between the ISP and Full Submission, the IPR arrangements may be updated for these Project Participants. This should be clearly stated in the Full Submission.

Deleted: if

4.39. If, subsequent to the evaluation period following the ISP deadline, two or more Network Licensees decide to merge Projects or to combine elements of Projects which have passed the ISP, they must notify Ofgem, in writing as early as possible and at least ten Working Days prior to the Full Submission date.

Deleted: ,

5. Full Submission Process

Chapter summary

We run an annual competition for funding innovative gas Projects. This chapter sets out the process for Full Submission of NIC Projects. We also set out how these Projects will be evaluated and how funding will be awarded.

Deleted: will

Eligible submissions

5.1. A Project which has failed the ISP may not be submitted by a Network Licensee for Full Submission - see paragraphs 4.27 to 4.32 for further information about the screening process. For each Project submitted at Full Submission, the Network Licensee must demonstrate that it has complied with the requirements set out in this chapter.

Deleted: A Network Licensee may not submit a Project for Full Submission if Ofgem has provided written confirmation that the Project has not met the Eligibility Requirements within twenty Working Days of the Screening Submission deadline (or another date thereafter if Ofgem has extended the deadline in accordance with paragraph 4.27). Further, for

5.2. In any Formula Year, a Network Licensee Group may submit up to four Projects which have passed the ISP for Full Submission in its capacity as Funding Licensee. A maximum of two Projects may result from the Network Licensee Group's own proposals; the remainder must have resulted from Non-Network Licensee proposals (whether in connection with the call for ideas required under paragraphs 2.6 to 2.8 or otherwise). In relation to the Non-Network Licensee proposals, the Network Licensee must: (i) provide evidence of the source of the idea; and (ii) confirm its intention to implement the proposed Project in partnership with the Non-Network Licensee which submitted it. There is no restriction on the number of Full Submissions in which a Network Licensee Group can participate in collaboration with other Network Licensees where the Network Licensee is not the Funding Licensee.

Deleted: rules on Project changes

Deleted: 4

Deleted: A Network Licensee Group may submit a maximum of two Projects for Full Submission in any given year in the capacity of Funding Licensee.

Project ownership

5.3. If a Network Licensee decides to collaborate with another Network Licensee on a Gas NIC Project, they must appoint a Funding Licensee who will act as a single point of contact with Ofgem. The Funding Licensee will be the Network Licensee that applies for funding in respect of a Project and submits the Full Submission. Further, the Funding Licensee will be the recipient of any Gas NIC funding for that Project and will be responsible for ensuring that the Project, including any work undertaken by the Project Partners, adheres to this Governance Document, the NIC Licence Condition, and any subsequent Project Direction for the Project.

Deleted:

Full Submission process

5.4. For Ofgem to consider a Project for funding, a Network Licensee must submit a Full Submission by the Full Submission date set by Ofgem. see paragraph 3.3.

Deleted: it to be considered by

Deleted: . This date may alter from year to year but will be communicated to Network Licensees at the latest twenty Working Days following the ISP deadline.

5.5. A Network Licensee must:

- submit the Full Submission (consisting of the Full Submission Pro-forma and Full Submission appendices) in its original format and also in a single PDF file which is formatted to print out the whole submission on A4 pages¹⁷.
- provide eight ring bound copies of the Full Submission to Ofgem within two Working Days of the Full Submission date.

5.6. Any Full Submission submitted electronically after 17:00 on the Full Submission date will not be considered for funding.

5.7. A Network Licensee should submit Full Submissions via a secure online portal into the relevant folder for that Network Licensee (or via secure email, or any other means notified to the Network Licensees by Ofgem. Ofgem will provide details of the relevant arrangements one Calendar Month prior to the Screening Submission date). If this portal is unavailable, Ofgem may permit the Network Licensee to submit its Full Submission by email. As part of granting this permission, Ofgem will notify the Network Licensee of the email address it must use for the submission.

5.8. Ofgem will acknowledge receipt of the Full Submission one Working Day following the date of its successful submission.

5.9. While Full Submissions will be published after the notification of the NIC Funding award (see paragraph 5.63), following submission Ofgem may publish, and may provide to third parties, summary information on the Projects submitted. This could include the Project title, a high level summary of the Project and who the Funding Licensee and Project Partners are.

Full Submission Pro-forma and appendices

5.10. The Network Licensees will be required to submit information in the Full Submission including, but not limited to, the items listed below:

- The Funding Licensee;
- Project description and related information;
- Total Project Costs, External Funding, Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution, Direct Benefits and the NIC Funding Request;

¹⁷ If required the Full Submission costs spreadsheet may be submitted in a separate file and formatted to print in A3.

Deleted: ensure that

Deleted: are submitted

Deleted: their

Deleted: formats

Deleted: provide

Deleted: . The Network Licensee should also

Deleted: its

Deleted: working days

Deleted: The Network Licensee is responsible for ensuring that all required information is provided within its Full Submission.

Deleted: award notification,

Deleted: ;

Deleted: , ¶
Requested threshold for the funding of cost over-runs¹⁸ if different to the default; ¶
Requested protection on Direct Benefits¹⁹, if different to the default

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

- Customer impact of Project implementation;
- Project management structure and related information;
- Derogations/exemptions that the Project would/may require;
- Proposed Project Deliverables for the Project;
- Data access details (see paragraph 2.16);
- Explanation and evidence of how the Method, Project or Solution performs against the Evaluation Criteria; and
- The key learning outcomes which the Project aims to deliver.

5.11. The length of the Full Submission Pro-forma (including appendices) should be no more than 100 pages²¹. For any Project that a Network Licensee wishes to submit for consideration for NIC Funding, it must complete a Full Submission Pro-forma, as provided by Ofgem, at least two Calendar Months before the Full Submission deadline. Ofgem will issue guidance for completing the Full Submission alongside the Full Submission Pro-forma which will specify the details required within each of the categories, and rules/advice on completion of the pro-forma and content of appendices.

5.12. The Network Licensee must clearly identify any information contained in the Full Submission that it does not consent to being published in full. It must demonstrate that it (or one or more of its Project Participants), will face commercial harm from its disclosure. The Network Licensee must provide Ofgem with a non-confidential version which may be published by Ofgem.

Derogations and requests to change regulatory arrangements

5.13. If a Network Licensee considers that there is any possibility that it will require a derogation or exemption, either as a known requirement or as a contingency, from any of the regulatory arrangements then it must state this in the Full Submission. It must also provide, as part of its Full Submission, the evidence that it would put forward as part of its subsequent formal request to seek a derogation or exemption from those arrangements (should the Project be awarded funding), as well as details as to how and when it would apply for such a derogation.

5.14. The evidence that should be submitted for potential derogation requests should follow the latest guidance on licence derogation requests, as published on the

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria (SDCR)

Deleted: ²⁰

Deleted: ;

Deleted: months

Deleted: detail

Deleted: Pro

Deleted:)

Deleted: and that the information is considered eligible for exemption under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Act 2004

Deleted: derogations

²¹ This does not include any spreadsheets that are submitted.

derogations page of the Ofgem website²². The Network Licensee must also provide the evidence for why the derogation or exemption is necessary and justified, and outline the likely impact on customers and/or other parties. It must also indicate whether, if the Method(s) being developed and/or demonstrated is proved successful, similar derogations or exemptions would be required in order to roll-out the Method(s). The Network Licensee must indicate where there would need to be changes to industry code arrangements in order to enable the roll-out. It will also need to set out whether it would withdraw the request for funding in the event that Ofgem would not support the change to the regulatory arrangements that it requested within its Full Submission.

Deleted: Developed

Deleted: Demonstrated

Customer impacts

5.15. A Network Licensee must outline in its Full Submission any interaction or engagement with a Relevant Customer or Relevant Customer's premises as part of the Project, or any impact the Project may have on a Relevant Customer (eg through charging or contractual arrangements or supply interruptions).

Deleted: Customers

Deleted: If the Project is selected for funding, the Network Licensee will have to set out further details of its proposed Customer engagement plan - which is detailed in chapter 8.

Deleted: customers

Projects involving interruptions to customers' supply

5.16. A Network Licensee must, in its Full Submission, detail the expected number and duration of any interruptions to customers' supply that will be required in order to implement the Project (i.e. planned interruptions), and the reason for these interruptions. It should also indicate if there is any risk of the Project causing unplanned interruptions, the potential number and duration and the potential cause of these interruptions.

Deleted:)

5.17. The Network Licensee must set out the steps it has undertaken to investigate alternative ways to implement the Project which could reduce or avoid the need for customer interruptions. The Network Licensee must set out the alternatives it has investigated and provide a summary of why these alternatives were rejected.

Funding request

5.18. In the annual call for Projects, the maximum amount of Gas NIC Funding that a Network Licensee can request as part of a Full Submission is £20m. Ofgem has no obligation to make Gas NIC Funding awards totalling this amount in any year, and the difference between the maximum amount and the amount awarded will not be carried over for the remaining years of the scheme.

Deleted: 18m

5.19. To calculate the level of funding the Network Licensee wishes to request from the Gas NIC for a Project as part of the Full Submission (the Gas NIC Funding Request), the Network Licensee must determine the following:

²² <http://www.ofgem.gov.uk/Networks/Tech/TechStandds/Derogtns/Pages/Derogtns.aspx>

- **Total Project Costs:** These are the forecast costs the Network Licensee expects will be required to undertake the Project.
- **Initial Net Funding Required:** This is the Total Project Costs minus any funding from Project Partners, External Funders and the Network Licensee which is in excess of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution.
- **Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution:** Equal to 10 per cent of the Initial Net Funding Required.
- **Outstanding Funding Required:** Initial Net Funding Required minus the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution.
- **NIC Funding Request:** The Outstanding Funding Required, including a deduction for the bank account interest that the Network Licensee will earn on the balance of funding over the duration of the Project²³, and
- **Bid Preparation Costs (only for Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018):** A Network Licensee Group (consisting of Non-RIIO Network Licensees) applying for Bid Preparation Costs in Formula Year 2017/2018 should provide detailed evidence of the amount of Bid Preparation Costs it has incurred up to £175,000 or 5 per cent of Outstanding Funding required. Paragraph 5.26 describes when Bid Preparation Costs may be applied for in a Full Submission.

Deleted: .

Deleted: .

Deleted: .

Deleted: Licensees

Deleted: .

Deleted: .

Deleted: :

Deleted: they have

Deleted: %

5.20. The Network Licensee's Funding Request is automatically calculated within the Full Submission Spreadsheet which Ofgem will provide two Calendar Months prior to the Full Submission deadline. The bank interest shall be calculated at mid-year using the Bank of England base rate plus 0.5 percentage points on 30 June of the year in which the Full Submission is made.

Deleted: months

Deleted: midyear

Deleted: 30th

Adjustments and assumptions

5.21. The Network Licensee should clearly identify the assumptions that underlie the way in which the costs, External Funding and hence the Outstanding Funding Required, have been assumed to be phased throughout the duration of the Project.

5.22. In addition, the following adjustments and assumptions should be made when determining the value of the terms defined in paragraph 5.19:

Deleted: 18

- **Direct Benefits:** The Network Licensee may choose to use Direct Benefits to cover all or part of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution. Direct Benefits are benefits of the Project accruing to the Network Licensee during Project implementation, and comprise expenditure included in the Network Licensee's business plan submission for RIIO-T1 or GD1 that will be saved

Deleted: Implementation

²³ The costs and Direct Benefits of the NIC Project will arise over the duration of the Project, whereas the NIC funding will be provided to the Licensee over the course of the Formula Year following the award of funding to the Project.

through undertaking the Project. If Direct Benefits do not exceed the value of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution, then the Initial Net Funding Required less the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution is the Outstanding Funding Required. If Direct Benefits exceed the value of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution, then the Initial Net Funding Required less the Direct Benefits is the Outstanding Funding Required. The Network Licensee has to transfer funding equal to the amount of the Direct Benefits identified in the Full Submission into the Project Bank Account at the time the Direct Benefits are realised (the Project Bank Account is described in paragraphs 8.2 – 8.9).

Deleted: Licensee

Deleted: Licensee

Deleted: supply

Deleted: paragraph

Deleted: -

- **Reliability penalties:** Where a Network Licensee wishes to request protection from penalties associated with planned interruptions under its reliability outputs, it must include the value of these penalties in its NIC Funding Request. The Network Licensee will not be exempted from any resultant penalties incurred through interruptions, but will have received funding to cover these forecast costs associated with planned interruptions. A full justification for any such protection must be provided.
- **Retail Price Index (RPI) forecast:** In forecasting the Project costs, the Network Licensee should use the RPI forecast that Ofgem will provide at least two Calendar Months prior to the date Ofgem has set for receipt of Full Submissions.

Request for Bid Preparation Costs (for Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018)

5.23. Paragraphs 5.24 – 5.27 apply only to Projects which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018. For RIIO Network Licensees, any Bid Preparation Costs for a Project which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018 must be recovered by the Network Licensee during that Formula Year. For Non-RIIO Network Licensees, any Full Submission seeking Bid Preparation Costs for a Project which passed the ISP in or before Formula Year 2017/2018 must be submitted by the Network Licensee during that Formula Year.

5.24. Network Licensees may recover costs incurred for bidding in the NIC up to a cap of £175,000 or 5 per cent of Outstanding Funding Required per Network Licensee Group, whichever is smaller, in any Formula Year subject to passing ISP.

Deleted: %

5.25. RIIO-Network Licensees may spend a proportion of the NIA up to the cap on Bid Preparation Costs. Non-RIIO Network Licensees must request in their Full Submission the amount of Bid Preparation Costs they wish to recover. They will then receive this through the annual Funding Direction (see chapter 7 for further details).

5.26. Bid Preparation Costs include costs associated with submitting a bid or number of bids to the Gas NIC in any given year and any reasonable costs associated with the Collaboration and Learning Portals or with the NIC annual conference.

5.27. The Authority reserves the right to audit a request for Bid Preparation Costs. The audit may be undertaken by Ofgem or by a third party appointed at the discretion of Ofgem.

Project Deliverables

5.28. A Network Licensee must set out the Project Deliverables that it proposes for its Project as part of its Full Submission.

5.29. There should be no more than ten Project Deliverables for each Project. The Network Licensee must propose the percentage of Project funding which should be assigned to each Project Deliverable. The proposed percentage must be proportionate to the Project Deliverable. Where a Project Deliverable is not delivered at the end of the Project we will consider whether funding should be returned to customers using the Funding Return Mechanism (see paragraphs 8.66 – 8.69). If the Network Licensee is deemed by Ofgem to be at fault for the non-delivery of the Project Deliverable, Ofgem may decide to return the funding associated with the Project Deliverable in question.

5.30. It is the proportion of funding assigned to a Project Deliverable in the Project Direction that Ofgem may decide to return. It is not necessarily the specific amount allocated to each Project Deliverable in the Project budget set out in the Project Direction, because that may be amended.

5.31. The Expert Panel and Ofgem may suggest changes to the Project Deliverables proposed within the Full Submission as part of their consideration of the Full Submission. The Network Licensee does not have to accept these changes, but if it does then the revised Project Deliverables will be deemed to be part of the Full Submission. The Expert Panel and the Authority will consider the quality of the Project Deliverables as part of the Evaluation Criteria (see paragraphs 5.41 – 5.62).

Evaluation process

5.32. Ofgem will appoint an Expert Panel that will provide a recommendation to the Authority as to which Projects should receive funding. The Authority will make the decision in relation to which Projects should be awarded funding and will take into account the recommendation of the Expert Panel in awarding funding from the Gas NIC.

5.33. Ofgem may appoint consultants to assist the Expert Panel or the Authority as appropriate.

5.34. Any materials / documents produced by the consultants, together with the Full Submissions (including any written clarifications), will be made available to the Expert Panel and to the Authority. This will form part of the evidence base that the Expert Panel will use to make its recommendation and the Authority may use to make its decision.

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward¶
A Successful Delivery Reward will be available to Network Licensees to incentivise efficient delivery of Projects. A Successful Delivery Reward will only be made if there is sufficient evidence that the NIC Project has been well managed (including any change requests) and has achieved the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria. No NIC Project that puts in a request to recover cost overruns will be eligible to receive a Successful Delivery Reward.¶
£2m will be set aside each year for the Successful Delivery Reward for all Network Licensees. The maximum Successful Delivery Reward that can be awarded to a Network Licensee for successfully completing a Project is the amount equal to the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution²⁴. ¶
The Successful Delivery Reward Criteria will be Project specific.

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria must comply with the following principles in that they

Deleted: :

Moved down [3]: <#>Linked to meeting identified targets for the outputs that will be expected to be delivered through the Project;

Deleted: <#> ¶

Moved down [4]: <#>Linked to meeting identified Project milestones on at least an annual basis;

Deleted: <#> ¶

Moved down [5]: <#>Linked to achieving the proposals it puts forward for the generation of new knowledge to be shared amongst all Network Licensees; and

Deleted: <#> ¶
<#>SMART - specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time bound. ¶

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

Deleted: Submissions

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

Deleted: their

Deleted: .

Deleted: The Authority

Deleted: review the Full Submissions and otherwise

Deleted: The consultants will be required to have relevant expertise, with expertise of gas transportation system. The consultants will conclude their review with the production of a report (or reports) which will, inter alia:

Deleted: <#>Seek to place the information provided for each Project on a comparable basis (so far as ...

Deleted: <#>Ofgem will circulate a draft version of the report(s) produced by the consultants to Network ...

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

5.35. For each Full Submission that complies with the requirements of paragraphs 5.4 - 5.12, the Expert Panel will have a meeting or meetings, to discuss that Project with the Funding Licensee and the Project team, ~~(when considering a Cross-Industry Project, there will be a joint meeting of the gas and electricity Expert Panels)~~. The length of the meeting(s) will be set in advance and each Project will receive the same time allocation. The Funding Licensee will be provided with at least ten Working Days' notice of the date of this meeting(s).

Deleted: -

Deleted: 11

Deleted: .

5.36. In advance of the meeting(s) the Expert Panel may (at its discretion), provide the Network Licensee with a list of questions that it intends to ask at the meeting. It may then ask further questions during the meeting. These questions may include asking the Network Licensee whether it will consider changing its proposed ~~Project Deliverables~~. The Network Licensee may submit written responses to these questions, or choose to answer them orally at the meeting(s). ~~To the extent that an answer either clarifies or changes something contained within the Full Submission, revised text for the relevant section of the Full Submission must be submitted in writing to Ofgem no more than two Working Days after the date of the meeting, or as otherwise agreed with Ofgem. This will be deemed to be part of the Full Submission and the requirements as regards confidentiality will apply.~~

Deleted:)

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria.

Deleted: However, to

Deleted:

Deleted: then

5.37. Ofgem may also ask the Network Licensee questions about a Project. The Network Licensee may submit written responses to these questions, or choose to answer them orally if the Authority offers to have a meeting. However, to the extent that an answer either clarifies or changes something contained within the Full Submission, this must be submitted in writing to Ofgem within two Working Days of the date of the meeting, or as otherwise agreed with Ofgem. This will then be deemed to be part of the Full Submission and the requirements as regards confidentiality will apply.

5.38. The Network Licensee must highlight if any of its responses to either requests for clarification from Ofgem (or its consultants), or to questions from the Expert Panel, alter part of ~~its~~ Full Submission. The Network Licensees must state which sections of the Full Submission its responses alter.

Deleted: their

5.39. In addition, following their final meeting with the Expert Panel, Network Licensees will have three Working Days to notify Ofgem whether they wish to make any changes to their submission in light of questions raised by the Expert Panel or points raised in the report prepared by Ofgem's consultants. From the time the Network Licensee has made this notification to Ofgem, it will have no more than ten Working Days to resubmit its Full Submission. Any resubmission should not substantially change the underlying Problem, Method or Solution of the Project. Network Licensees should not amend the Full Submission except where it is necessary to provide information required as a result of the evaluation process or to correct factual errors in the original Full Submission.

5.40. Network Licensees must resubmit their Full ~~Submissions~~ via the secure online ~~portal~~ (or by other means described in paragraph 5.7) in a printable PDF format no more than 13 Working Days following their final meeting with the Expert Panel. The amendments or clarifications to the original Full Submission, resulting from requests for clarification, questions raised by the Expert Panel or points raised by Ofgem's

Deleted: Submission

Deleted: 6 of this section) portal

consultants, must be ~~set out either: (i) in an addendum, in which case the original Full Submission should not be amended; or (ii) in a track-changes version of the Full Submission which is submitted alongside a clean version of the revised Full Submission.~~ This revised copy will be published on Ofgem's website following the end of the Full Submission process.

Deleted: clearly identified in an addendum.

Evaluation Criteria

5.41. This section sets out the Evaluation Criteria that will be used to evaluate the Projects put forward in the Full Submissions. The Expert Panel will use its judgement to recommend, and the Authority will use its judgement to decide ~~(having taken account of the Expert Panel's recommendation),~~ which Projects should receive funding, based on the different strengths and weaknesses of each Project across all the Evaluation Criteria. They may also consider the impact of the Project on the overall portfolio of NIC Projects ~~and NIA Projects~~ that have been awarded funding in the past, or are seeking funding as part of the same Full Submission process.

Deleted: ,

Deleted: , NIA and tier 1 and tier 2 LCN Fund

Deleted: either

5.42. ~~In relation to a Cross-Industry Project, Network Licensees~~ must submit ~~a joint submission~~ stating what funding ~~they are requesting~~ from which competition. ~~As set out in paragraph 5.35, there will be a joint meeting of the gas and electricity Expert Panels.~~ The level of funding requested from each competition should be commensurate with the expected benefits for customers in the relevant sector.

Deleted: industry Projects that wish to apply for funding from more than one competition (ie the Electricity NIC and the Gas NIC)

Deleted: bids separately to each competition

Deleted: has been requested

5.43. The Authority is not obliged to award NIC Funding even to those Projects that perform best against the criteria in a given year, if it deems them, on balance against the relevant criteria, to be of insufficient quality or to offer insufficient carbon or environmental benefits or to offer insufficient value for money for customers.

5.44. Although the Expert Panel and the Authority will consider the information provided by Network Licensees, including any quantitative estimates supplied in the Full Submission, they may also conduct their own research or assessment, which can be made available to the Network Licensee, and ~~will~~ conduct their own evaluation to allow consistent comparisons to be made between Full Submissions.

5.45. The Evaluation Criteria that will be taken into account in evaluating NIC Projects are set out below ~~in paragraphs 5.46 to 5.62.~~

Deleted: .

(a) Accelerates the development of a low carbon energy sector and/or delivers environmental benefits whilst having the potential to deliver net financial benefits to future and/or existing customers

5.46. The Network Licensee will need to demonstrate that the proposed Project Solution has the potential to accelerate the development of the low carbon energy sector, or deliver wider environmental benefits to customers, or deliver a combination of both. In addition, the Network Licensee will need to demonstrate that the Project has the potential to deliver net financial benefits to existing and/or future customers.

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

5.47. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the information outlined in paragraph 5.48 below.

Deleted: 47

5.48. If a Project has potential carbon benefits, information under parts (i) and (ii) below will be considered if applicable to the Project. A Project which has potential environmental benefits must provide the information under part (iii) below. All Projects must provide the information under part (iv) below.

Deleted: part

Deleted: below will be considered and part

i. How the Project could make a contribution to the Government's current strategy for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, as set out in the document entitled "the Carbon Plan" published by DECC (now known as BEIS), or its successor, in particular:

- What aspects of the Carbon Plan (or its successor) the Solution facilitates;
- The contribution the roll-out of the Method across GB can play in facilitating these aspects of the Carbon plan (or its successor); and
- How the roll-out of the proposed Method across GB will deliver the Solution more quickly than the current most efficient method in use in GB.

Deleted: ;

ii. If applicable to the Project, the network capacity²⁵ released by each separate Method:

- The Network Licensee must outline how much quicker the Method(s) being trialled releases this capacity compared to the most efficient method currently in operation on the GB gas transportation system. The Network Licensee must set out the potential for replication of the Method(s) across GB in terms of the number of sites, or the percentage of the GB transportation system where the Method could be rolled out;
- The Network Licensee must use these to calculate the capacity the Method(s) will release across GB and how quickly this capacity could be released compared to the most efficient Method currently in operation on the GB transportation system;
- In assessing the most efficient Method currently in use on the GB Transmission System, Network Licensees should look at the minimum cost scheme under which they would deliver the Solution (at the scale being tested within the Project). We also expect that they take into account lower cost methods which other Network Licensees have deployed successfully on their network which could deliver the Solution (at the scale being tested in the Project);

Deleted: .

Deleted: .

Deleted:).

²⁵ The more quickly the capacity can be released, the greater the size of that capacity (based on a GB roll-out) and the greater the financial benefit of the Project, the higher the Project will be ranked against this criterion, taking into account the level of funding requested.

- Consideration may also be given to a qualitative account of carbon benefits which are not captured in this analysis; ~~and~~
- If the Network Licensee considers that the capacity calculation is not applicable to the Project, it must provide a qualitative explanation in place of the calculation, including a justification for why the calculation is not applicable.

Deleted: .

iii. The proposed environmental benefits the Project can deliver to customers:

- The Network Licensee should justify and provide references for all assumptions used to calculate the proposed environmental benefits of the Project; ~~and~~
- If the Network Licensee considers that the calculation of environmental benefits is not applicable to the Project it must provide a qualitative explanation in place of the calculation including a justification for why the calculation is not applicable.

Deleted: .

iv. The expected financial benefit the Project could deliver to customers:

- A Network Licensee must detail the financial benefit by first estimating the costs of delivering the Solution(s) (at the scale being tested within the Project), through the most efficient method currently in use on the GB transportation system - the Base Case Costs. Network Licensees must then compare the Base Case Costs to the costs of replicating the Method, once it has been proven successful, at the scale being tested in the Project - the Method Costs. The difference between the Base Case Cost and the Method Costs is the financial benefit of the Project. Where a Licensee is looking to test more than one Method it should outline the financial benefit of each separate Method.

Deleted:)

(b) Provides value for money to gas customers

5.49. The Gas NIC will be focussed on Projects which can derive benefits and resulting learning that can be attributed to or ~~is~~ applicable to the transportation system, ~~taking into account the level of funding requested.~~

Deleted: are

Deleted: versus elsewhere

5.50. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the following information:

- i. How the Project has a potential Direct Impact on the Network Licensee's network or on the operations of the GB System Operator;
- ii. Justification that the scale/cost of the Project is appropriate in relation to the learning that is expected to be captured;
- iii. The processes that have been employed to ensure that the Project is delivered at a competitive cost;

- iv. What expected proportion of the potential benefits will accrue to the gas network as opposed to other parts of the energy supply chain, and what assumptions have been used to derive the proportion of expected benefits;
- v. How Project Partners have been identified and selected including details of the process that has been followed and the rationale for selecting Project Participants and ideas for the Projects; and
- vi. The costs associated with protection from reliability or availability incentives and the proportion of these costs compared to the proposed benefits of the Project.

5.51. This criterion does not confine Projects to those that only impact on the GB transportation system. It does not favour a Project focussed solely on a Network Licensee activity, rather than one that focuses on third parties' activities whose actions may have an impact on the operation of the Network Licensee's network.

Deleted: that

5.52. The better the value for money of the components of the Project, the better the Project will be considered to have performed against this criterion. In addition, as part of the evaluation process, consideration will be given to the impact the proposed Project may have on other parties, including the Network Licensee's current and future customers.

Deleted: higher

Deleted: ranked

(c) Generates knowledge that can be shared amongst all relevant Network Licensees

5.53. One of the main purposes of the NIC is to generate and share knowledge from Projects.

5.54. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the following aspects:

- i. The level of incremental learning expected to be provided by the Project
- ii. The applicability of the new learning related to the planning development and operation of an efficient transportation system to the other Network Licensees;
- iii. The plans to disseminate learning from the Project, both to Network Licensees and to other interested parties, with credit being given to innovative plans, tools and techniques which enable learning to be shared openly and easily with other Network Licensees;
- iv. The robustness of the methodology to capture the results from the Project and disseminate the learning to other Network Licensees; and
- v. The treatment of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR):
 - A Network Licensee must outline how it intends to conform to the default arrangements for IPR set out in chapter 9.

- If the Network Licensee wishes to deviate from the default arrangements it must demonstrate how any Network Licensee can reproduce the outcomes of the Project. This must take into account any potential constraints or costs caused by the alternative arrangements. The Network Licensee must justify how these alternative arrangements will be able to deliver value for money for customers.
- The Network Licensee must also set out its approach to agree fair and reasonable terms for the future use of any Background IPR and Commercial Products needed for other Network Licensees, who wish to reproduce the Project outcomes. This should demonstrate how the approach delivers value for money for customers.

(d) Is innovative (ie not business as usual) and has an unproven business case where the innovation risk warrants a limited Development or Demonstration Project to demonstrate its effectiveness

5.55. The NIC is specifically targeted at innovative Projects that a Network Licensee would not undertake in its normal course of business because the specific commercial, technical, operational or regulatory risks associated with the Project are so large that shareholders would not speculatively fund them.

Deleted: perform

Deleted: will

5.56. The Network Licensee must demonstrate that the Project it would like to have funded is innovative, untested at the scale and circumstance in which the Network Licensee wishes it to be deployed and that new learning will result from the Project. This is to prevent the deployment of proven technologies or practices using funding from the NIC.

5.57. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the following aspects:

- i. Justification for why the Project is innovative and evidence it has not been tried before; and
- ii. Justification for why the Project can only be undertaken with the support of the NIC, including reference to the specific risks (eg commercial, technical, operational or regulatory) associated with the Project.

(e) Involvement of other Project Partners and External Funding

5.58. Collaboration between Network Licensees and other parties in the energy supply chain is a central objective of the NIC. Further, we expect Network Licensees to seek alternative funding arrangements where these are available, either through alternative funding mechanisms or from other parties that will get value from being part of the Project. Further, the Network Licensee itself could choose to contribute more funding than the its Compulsory Contribution.

Deleted: raise

Deleted: Network Licensee's

5.59. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the following aspects:

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

- i. Collaboration that is appropriate to the Project being undertaken will be looked on favourably. Consideration will also be given to whether the Project Partner is under different ownership from the Network Licensee, and whether the contractual arrangements (that have already been put in place with Project Partners or Project Participants), are robust, including the specific measures in place to procure the cooperation of collaborators to ensure compliance with the requirements of this Governance Document;
- ii. The systems or processes the Funding Licensee used to identify potential Project Partners including:
 - How the Network Licensee has made interested parties aware of the NIC and of the Collaboration Portal, and how the Network Licensee has utilised the Collaboration Portal to seek collaborators;
 - How the Network Licensee has actively sought out ideas for Projects; and
 - The processes the Network Licensee goes through to decide which of the available ideas it takes forward as NIC Projects;
- iii. Where a Project Partner is involved in identifying other Project Partners, we would expect the Network Licensee to outline the steps it has taken to ensure that the Project Partner has put in place systems or processes as set out in point (ii);
- iv. Evidence of reasonable attempts to collaborate or to obtain External Funding will be taken into account, even if it has not resulted in collaboration or funding from parties outside the ownership group of which the Network Licensee is part; and
- v. Accessing secure additional funding will be a positive factor: the higher the proportion of External Funding to requested NIC Funding the better. This may be from other External Funders, or from Project Partners which have an interest in the results of the Project, or from the Network Licensee contributing more funding than the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution. Indeed, where the benefits from the Project lie outside of the gas transportation system (as identified under criterion (b) above), we would expect collaborators to be involved and to provide funds commensurate with the benefits they could be expected to get from participating in the Project.

(f) Relevance and timing

5.60. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the appropriateness of the timing of the proposed Project. This is to reflect the fact that both knowledge and technology are expected to change over the duration of the NIC.

5.61. When evaluating how Projects perform against this criterion, consideration will be given to the following aspects:

Deleted:)

Deleted: .

Deleted: website

Deleted: Licensees have

Deleted: Licensees go

Deleted: they take

Deleted: .

Deleted: of;

Deleted: looked on favourably

Deleted: who

Deleted: Licensee

Deleted: Where

Deleted:)

Deleted: ¶

For the avoidance of doubt, there will be no option for the Network Licensee to seek to subsequently have this External Funding replaced by additional funding from the NIC. If a Project has already started, the Network Licensee may choose to apply for Contingency Funding subject to the provisions of paragraphs 8.35-8.42. ¶

Deleted: will both be

- i. Why the Problem the Network Licensee is looking to investigate or solve is relevant and warrants funding in the context of the current low carbon or environmental challenges the gas sector faces; and
- ii. How, if the Method proves successful, it would form part of the Network Licensee's future business planning and how it would impact on its business plan submissions in future price control reviews.

Deleted: their

(g) Demonstration of a robust methodology and that the Project is ready to implement

Deleted:

5.62. The Expert Panel and the Authority will need to have sufficient evidence that the Network Licensee can be reasonably expected to deliver the Project. When evaluating Projects against this criterion, consideration will be given to the following:

Deleted: be confident

- i. The detailed Project plan, with responsibilities clearly established and inter-dependencies identified;
- ii. The resources to deliver the Project, which should be of a sufficient size and quality to be reasonably expected to ensure its delivery;
- iii. Demonstration that the Project can be started in a timely manner;
- iv. The customer impact of Project implementation, including planned mitigations;
- v. That costs and benefits of the Project have been reasonably estimated. Any uncertainties in those estimates should be identified, together with any measures that the Network Licensee will employ to minimise the possibility of cost overruns or shortfalls in Direct Benefits;
- vi. The robustness of the proposed methodology on which the Project is based. For example, if the extrapolation of an outcome demonstrated through the Project is required to solve the Problem, the Network Licensee must clearly show that the approach to undertake such an extrapolation is both statistically and technically sound, reliable and verifiable;
- vii. The quality of the Project Deliverables proposed by the Network Licensee;
- viii. The proportion of funding the Network Licensee proposes to allocate to each Project Deliverable;
- ix. The verification of all of the information included in the proposal;
- x. The identification of appropriate risk mitigation processes, including:
 - a risk register;
 - risk management, mitigation and contingency plans; and
- xi. The processes that will be in place to identify circumstances where the most appropriate course of action will be to suspend the Project, pending permission from Ofgem that it can be halted.

Deleted: project

Deleted: ¶
The circumstances under which the Network Licensee would apply to the Authority to request Contingency Funding to cover cost overruns or shortfalls in Direct Benefits should be identified (as provided for under paragraphs 8.35 and 8.42). Credit will be given if limitations are placed on either the scale of protection or the circumstances under which it will be sought; ¶

Deleted: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

Award notification

5.63. The Authority will issue its decision about which, if any, Projects it intends to award funding to (subject to giving Project Directions), by 30 November in each Formula Year. In addition to publishing the Authority's decision, Ofgem will publish the report by the Expert Panel (excluding any confidential information, - see paragraph 5.12), setting out its recommendation to the Authority and all non-confidential information provided as part of the Full Submission process. v

Deleted: agreeing

Deleted: year

Deleted:)

Deleted: These will all be published on Ofgem's website.

5.64. If a Network Licensee wishes to receive further feedback on why a Project has not been awarded funding, then it must make the request in writing to Ofgem within 20 Working Days of the Authority issuing its decision.

5.65. Ofgem will send a draft Project Direction for each Project that has been provisionally awarded NIC Funding under paragraph 5.63, to the Funding Licensee. In advance of sending out a draft Project Direction, Ofgem may circulate wording for the Project Direction to Network Licensees shortly after issuing its decision. If the Network Licensee cannot accept the draft Project Direction (subject to any clarification and amendments as agreed in writing by Ofgem) within four Working Days of receipt of the draft, a Project Direction in respect of the Project will not be issued and the Project will not receive NIC Funding. Once a Project Direction has been issued by the Authority, and provided the Network Licensee complies with this Governance Document and with the Project Direction, the Project identified within it is deemed to be an Eligible NIC Project, as defined in the NIC Licence Condition.

Deleted: with

5.66. The Project Direction will:

- Set out the Project-specific conditions to which the Network Licensee is committing in accepting funding;
- Require the Network Licensee to undertake the Project in accordance with the commitments it has made in the Full Submission. Where appropriate, the Project Direction may therefore include extracts from the Full Submission or refer to specific sections of the Full Submission;
- Set out the Approved Amount for the Project, that will form part of the calculation contained in the Funding Direction issued by the Authority under chapter 7;
- Set out the Project budget that the Network Licensee must report against and how variances against the Project budget will be reported and approved/rejected; and
- Set out the mechanism for the Network Licensee receiving the Approved Amount as set out in the Funding Direction.

Deleted: that

Deleted: to

Deleted: direction

Deleted: Section Four of

5.67. The Project Direction is a direction issued by the Authority setting out the terms to be followed during Project implementation as a condition of its being funded. Once a Project Direction has been issued, the Network Licensee must comply with all of the terms within it (subject to amendment or revocation of the Project

Deleted: terms within it.



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Direction and the provisions concerning project changes set out in this Governance Document). Failure to do so means that Ofgem may determine all or part of the Approved Amount as received by the Network Licensee to be Disallowed Expenditure.

6. Implementation requirements overview

Chapter summary

This chapter provides an overview of chapters 6 to 10 of this Governance Document which cover the the Project implementation requirements.

6.1. Chapters 6 to 10 outline the Project implementation requirements to which a that Funding Licensee must adhere once a Project has been awarded NIC Funding and the Funding Licensee has accepted the Project Direction issued by the Authority. These requirements are designed to ensure customers, who have funded NIC Projects, are getting value for money from their investment.

6.2. Chapter 7 sets out the information that will be published in the Funding Direction and the process for issuing the Funding Direction each year.

6.3. Chapter 8 sets out the requirements to which a Network Licensee must adhere if it is awarded funding for a NIC Project including: bank account arrangements; customer protection; reporting obligations; project changes; and the requirement to organise an annual conference. It also describes the mechanisms by which additional funds may be provided to the Funding Licensee or a proportion of funds may be returned to customers by Ofgem.

6.4. Chapter 9 describes the default treatment of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) which Ofgem has created to facilitate knowledge transfer.

6.5. Chapter 10 sets out the treatment and calculation of royalties generated through a NIC Project.

Deleted: SECTION B:

Deleted: 6. Introduction 43¶

7. Funding Direction 44¶

8. Project implementation 46¶

Compliance 46¶

Project Bank Account 46¶

Customer Engagement Plan 47¶

Data protection 49¶

Reporting requirements 49¶

Project Progress Report (PPR) 49¶

Project changes 51¶

Close Down Report 52¶

Halting a Project 52¶

Applications for Contingency Funding 53¶

Successful Delivery Reward 55¶

Disallowed Expenditure 55¶

Project audits 56¶

Annual conference requirements 56¶

9. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) 57¶

Scope 57¶

Ownership and transfer of ownership of IPR 58¶

Licensing of Background IPR 58¶

Licensing of Foreground IPR 58¶

Right to protect IPR 59¶

10. Royalties 61¶

¶ Introduction¶

Deleted: introduction

Deleted:

Deleted: a Network

Deleted: should

Deleted: to

Deleted: a Project is successfully awarded NIC funding.¶
Once

Deleted: , the Funding Licensee must adhere to the Project Implementation requirements that are outlined in this section.¶

Deleted: <#>The Funding Licensee will be required to keep NIC Funding in a separate bank account (the Project Bank Account), unless alternative arrangements have been approved by Ofgem. The Funding Licensee will also provide Ofgem with a detailed report at least every six months which outlines the progress of the Project. The first report will be required six months after the Project Direction is issued. At the end of the Project the Funding Licensee is required to provide a Close Down Report that provides sufficient information for third parties to understand what has been learnt from the Project.¶
<#>Chapter 9 of this section sets out the default IPR conditions,

Deleted: information and process for issuing the NIC Funding Direction each year and the treatment of Royalties

Deleted: <#>For Projects that are awarded funding in the latter years of the RIIO-T1 and RIIO-GD1 price controls, we will consult on any necessary appropriate

7. Funding Direction

Chapter summary

This section sets out the information that will be published in the Funding Direction and the process for issuing the Funding Direction each year.

7.1. As set out in the NIC Licence Condition, Ofgem will issue a direction (the Funding Direction) that will:

- Set out the total amount that can be recovered from or returned to GB customers through NTS Charges to cover Gas NIC Funding in each year, termed 'NICF term' in the NIC Licence Condition;
- Identify the net amounts that must be transferred between the Transmission Owner and other Network Licensees; and
- Set out the manner in which, and the timescale over which, the net amounts will be transferred.

Deleted:

Deleted: Customers

Deleted: (

Deleted: term)

Deleted: The

7.2. Each Funding Direction will include any NIC Funding plus any Successful Delivery Reward and less any Funding Return. NIC funding includes any Approved Amounts.

Deleted: plus any Contingency Funding and, if applicable, any Eligible Bid Preparation Costs. Funding Return includes any Halted Project Revenues, Disallowed Expenditures and Returned Royalty Revenue that have not been included in a previous Funding Direction

7.3. Ofgem will usually issue the Funding Direction to each Network Licensee once a year, to allow sufficient time for the impact of NIC Funding to be reflected in NTS Charges, from 1 April of the following year. This will be after the Project Directions have been issued and usually in December of each year.

7.4. The Funding Direction will set out:

- i. The Approved Amounts that have not already been funded through previous Funding Directions for NIC Funding for each Network Licensee;
- ii. In relation to Projects where a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017 only, the Contingency Funding that has been awarded for each Network Licensee for the recovery of cost overruns and shortfalls in Direct Benefits;
- iii. In a Funding Direction issued in or before Formula Year 2017/2018 only, the Bid Preparation Costs that a Non-RIIO Network Licensee can recover;
- iv. In relation to Projects where a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017 only, any Successful Delivery Reward amounts that have not already been funded through previous Funding Directions for each Network Licensee;

Deleted: licensee

Deleted: Cost Overruns

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

- v. Halted Project Revenues, Disallowed Expenditure and Returned Project Revenues that have not already been recovered through previous Funding Directions for each Network Licensee;
 - vi. Returned Royalty Income to be returned to customers from each Network Licensee, as calculated in accordance with chapter 10;
 - vii. The calculation determining the Approved Amounts in (i); where applicable, the Contingency Funding in (ii); where applicable, the Bid Preparation Costs in (iii); where applicable, the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria in (iv); the Halted Project Revenues, Disallowed Expenditure and Returned Project Revenues in (v); and Returned NIC Royalties in (vi) above for each Network Licensee; and
 - viii. The amounts to be recovered by the NTS Owner on behalf of all Network Licensees through NTS charges and the amounts to be transferred to the NTS Owner from all Network Licensees to ensure that the Network Licensees receive the Approved Amounts in (i); where applicable, the Contingency Funding in (ii); where applicable, the Bid Preparation Costs in (iii); where applicable the Successful Delivery Reward amounts in (iv); and refund the Halted Project Revenues, Disallowed Expenditure and Returned Project Revenues in (v) and Returned Royalty Income in (vi).
- 7.5. Transfer of revenue between the NTS Owner and one or more Network Licensees, must be made monthly on an equal basis, for the entirety of the Formula Year, on the day of the month agreed by the Network Licensee making the transfer.
- 7.6. A Network Licensee that is due to receive funds under the terms of the Funding Direction is responsible for notifying the NTS Owner of the bank account details to which the transfers must be made. If a Network Licensee is required to return funding to the NTS Owner, the reverse applies.

Deleted: and
Deleted: Expenditures

Deleted: NIC Royalties

Deleted:),
Deleted:),
Deleted: Eligible
Deleted: Submission Funding
Deleted:),

Deleted: and
Deleted: Expenditures in (iv),
Deleted: v
Deleted:),
Deleted:),
Deleted: Eligible
Deleted: Submission Funding

Deleted:)
Deleted: and
Deleted: Expenditures in (iv
Deleted: NIC Royalties
Deleted: v

Deleted: ,

8. Project implementation

Chapter summary

The chapter sets out the requirements to which a Network Licensee must adhere if it is awarded funding for a NIC Project.

Deleted: to

Deleted: they are

Compliance

8.1. The Funding Licensee must ensure the Project, in its entirety, is undertaken in accordance with the NIC Licence Condition, this Governance Document and the relevant Project Direction. This includes ensuring compliance with the NIC Licence Condition, this Governance Document and the relevant Project Direction by its Project Partners.

Deleted: work undertaken

Project Bank Account

8.2. A Funding Licensee that is awarded NIC Funding must keep these funds in a separate bank account or where the Funding Licensee is unable to keep the funds in a separate bank account, it may keep the funds in a manner which meets the criteria set out in paragraphs 8.3 to 8.7 below.

Deleted: (the Project Bank Account), unless alternative arrangements have been approved by Ofgem

Arrangements where the Funding Licensee is unable to keep the NIC funds in a separate bank account

Deleted: Where a

Deleted: that

Deleted: awarded

Deleted: demonstrates to Ofgem that they are

8.3. Where the Funding Licensee is unable to keep the NIC funds in a separate bank account, it must provide a financial tracking and reporting system which is functionally equivalent to a separate bank account for a Project. This means it must hold any Approved Amounts, the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution and all other funding from the Network Licensee, Project Partners and External Funders that the Network Licensee has identified within its Full Submission as funding the Project in a memorandum account. The memorandum account must be capable of providing all the information that would be available from a separate bank account.

Deleted: Ofgem may approve that they use the alternative arrangements set out in paragraphs 8.4 to 8.6 below. If a separate account is used then sections 8.4 to 8.7 do not apply. ¶
Unless otherwise agreed by Ofgem, the Funding Licensee

8.4. The memorandum account must:

Deleted: This

- i. Show all transactions relating to (and only to) the Project;
- ii. Be capable of supplying a real time statement (of transactions and current balance) at any time;
- iii. Accrue expenditures when a payment is authorised (and subsequently reconciled with the actual bank account);
- iv. Accrue payments from the moment the receipt is advised to the bank (and then subsequently reconciled with the actual bank account);
- v. Calculate a daily total; and

- vi. Calculate interest on the daily total according to the rules applicable to the account within which the funds are actually held.

8.5. The Funding Licensee’s auditors must review the systems and processes that the Funding Licensee is proposing to use to conform to the requirements set out in this section and provide a signed statement to Ofgem that the systems and processes are fit for purpose, before the Project is initiated and any funds are spent.

8.6. The Funding Licensee’s auditors must provide an annual report to Ofgem to confirm that the Network Licensee is conforming to the requirements set out in this section.

8.7. The Funding Licensee must provide an audited schedule of all the memorandum account transactions, including interest (calculated according to paragraph 8.4(vi) above), as part of its Project Progress Reports (PPR) to Ofgem.

Use of the Project Bank Account

8.8. The Project Bank Account must be used for the sole purpose of holding any Approved Amounts, the Network Licensee’s Compulsory Contribution and all other funding from the Funding Licensee, Project Partners and External Funders that the Licensee has identified within its Full Submission as being used to fund the Project, unless otherwise agreed by Ofgem. This does not include funding for initiatives which are being implemented independently of the Project and which would have proceeded regardless of the Project being awarded funding. Deposits to the Project Bank Account from the different funding sources will be made no later than the start of the Formula Year in which the Funding Licensee identified they would be used in the Full Submission.

8.9. A Funding Licensee can only access funds from the Project Bank Account if it meets the requirements for use of these funds as set out in the NIC Licence Condition, this Governance Document and the Project Direction.

Customer protection requirements

8.10. The Funding Licensee (and/or its contractors) and its Project Partners must:

- Not visit a premises of any Relevant Customer for sales or marketing activities in connection with, in the context of, or otherwise under the guise of the Project; and
- Have regard to the implementation of the smart meter roll-out in the geographical area relevant to the Project to ensure that the Project does not impede the implementation of the roll-out in any way.

Deleted: sub point (vi) of

Deleted: detailed progress reports

Deleted: <#>For the remainder of this document, the term Project Bank Account applies to the separate bank account set out in paragraph 8.2 or, where Ofgem has given the Funding Licensee approval for use of alternative arrangements, the alternative arrangements set out in paragraphs 8.2 to 8.7 above. ¶

Deleted: For the avoidance of doubt this

Deleted: year that

Deleted: . A Funding Licensee can only access funds from the Project Bank Account if it meets the requirements for use of these funds as set out in the NIC Licence Condition, this Governance Document and the Project Direction

Deleted: **Customer Engagement Plan**¶

Where the Project involves any interaction with a Relevant Customer or a Relevant Customer’s premises, or any impact on or engagement with Relevant Customers (eg through charging or contractual arrangements or supply interruptions) the Funding Licensee must comply with the conditions set out in this section. ¶

The Funding Licensee must submit to Ofgem, at least two months prior to initiating any form of Customer engagement, a Customer Engagement Plan of how it, or any of its Project Partners, will engage with, or impact upon, Relevant Customers as part of the Project. The Funding Licensee and its Project Partners cannot initiate any form of customer engagement until the plan has been approved by the Authority and the Funding Licensee and its Project Partners must comply with the approved plan at all times. The customer Engagement Plan must include: ¶

a communications strategy which sets out inter alia: ¶
¶ any proposed interaction with a Relevant Customer or premises of a Relevant Customer or proposed interruption to the supply of any Relevant Customer (...)

Moved down [6]: ¶
Details of

Deleted: how any consents that may be required as part of the Project will be obtained.¶ (...)

Deleted: an

Deleted: connected

Deleted: <#> In addition, if the Funding Licensee or its Project Partners is installing any equipment at the Customer’s premises as part of the Project, the (...)

Moved down [7]: <#>¶
<#>**Data**

Deleted: <#>**protection** ¶
<#>Where the Project involves the collection of any personal data (as defined in the Data Protection Act (...)

Moved down [8]: <#>**Authority**

Deleted: <#>. The strategy must set out the following: ¶ (...)

Reporting requirements: Project Progress Report (PPR)

8.11. The Funding Licensee will be required to provide a detailed report, the Project Progress Report (PPR), which contains sufficient detail to allow Ofgem to evaluate the progress of the Project.

8.12. For Projects in respect of which the decision was taken to award funding in Formula Year 2017/2018 or later, a PPR should be provided no later than one year after the date of the Project Direction. For Projects in respect of which the decision was taken to award funding in Formula Year 2016/2017 or earlier, a PPR should be provided no later than one year after the date of the Project Direction or one year after the date of the last PPR provided in relation to the Project, whichever is earlier. Network Licensees may wish to combine the requirements of the annual PPR with reporting requirements under the NIA Governance Document.

8.13. The Funding Licensee should send a copy of the PPR to Ofgem and also make it available publicly, either on its website or on the Learning Portal (see chapter 2 for further details).

8.14. When sending the PPR to Ofgem, the Funding Licensee should clearly identify any information in the PPR that it does not consent to being published in full. This information must be included in a separate confidential annex to Ofgem. The Funding Licensee must demonstrate that it (or its Project Partners) will face commercial harm from disclosure of the information.

8.15. The following information should be provided in the PPR in the order listed below:

- **Executive summary:** This section should be able to stand alone and provide an account of the progress of the Project in the relevant period to all interested parties not involved in the Project. The Funding Licensee should describe the general progress of the Project, including details of any delays or problems encountered; any notable milestones or deliverables achieved in the relevant period; and details of any Dissemination activities carried out in the relevant period.
- **Project manager's report:** The Project manager's report should be a more detailed version of the executive summary. This section should describe the progress made in the reporting period. Any key issues, deliverables or events should be drawn out and described in detail, referring where necessary to other sections of the PPR. This section should also provide an outlook onto the next reporting period. It should describe any key issues or concerns which the Project manager considers will be a major challenge in the next reporting period.
- **Business case update:** The Funding Licensee should note any developments or events which might affect the benefits to be gained from the NIC Project. Where possible the Funding Licensee should quantify the changes these developments or events have made to the Project benefits compared to those outlined in the Full Submission proposal.

Deleted: ¶

During the Project, the Funding Licensee will be expected to comply with a number of reporting requirements outlined below.¶

Deleted: , at least every six months, (starting from six months after the date the Project Direction is issued), of

Deleted: publicly

Deleted: their

Deleted: If there is

Deleted: confidential

Deleted: , this

Deleted: , which

Deleted: not be published

Deleted: a picture

Deleted: as well as

Deleted: ;

Deleted: full submission

- **Progress against plan:** This section should summarise the progress of the Project in the previous year. It should describe any issues of note that were faced in the relevant reporting period, and how these issues were managed. Key achievements/notable events should be highlighted. The Funding Licensee should briefly describe key planned activities for the next reporting period. This should include any issues the Funding Licensee envisages facing in the next reporting period.
- **Progress against budget:** The Funding Licensee should report on expenditure against each line in the Project Budget, detailing where it is against where it expected to be at this stage in the Project. The Funding Licensee should explain any projected variance against each line total in excess of 5 per cent.
- **Project Bank Account:** The Funding Licensee should provide a bank statement or statements detailing the transactions of the separate bank account for the NIC funds over the relevant reporting period, or where the alternative arrangements described in paragraph 8.3 to 8.7 apply, an audited schedule of all the memorandum account transactions including interest as stipulated in the Project Direction.
- **Successful Delivery Reward Criteria:** In relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017, the Funding Licensee should provide a brief narrative against each of the SDRCs set out in its Project Direction. The narrative should describe progress towards the SDRCs and any challenges the Funding Licensee may face in the next reporting period.
- **Project Deliverables:** In relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or after Formula Year 2017/2018 only, the Funding Licensee should provide a brief narrative against each of the Project Deliverables set out in its Project Direction. The narrative should describe progress towards the Project Deliverables and any challenges the Funding Licensee may face in the next reporting period.
- **Data access details:** The Funding Licensee should describe the process by which interested parties can request and Network Licensees will make available network and consumption data gathered as a result of innovation projects. This requirement may be met by including a link to the publicly available data sharing policy which is required by paragraph 2.16.
- **Learning outcomes:** The Funding Licensee should briefly describe the main learning outcomes from the reporting period. It should update Ofgem on how it has disseminated the learning it generated as part of the Project over the last year.
- **IPR:** The Funding Licensee should report any relevant IPR that has been generated or registered during the reporting period along with details of who owns the IPR and any royalties which have resulted from it. The Funding Licensee must also report any relevant IPR that is forecast to be registered in the next reporting period.

Deleted: six month period.

Deleted: they are

Deleted: they

Deleted: Project Bank Account

Deleted: . Where the Funding Licensee has received an exemption from Ofgem regarding

Deleted: requirement

Deleted: establish a Project Bank Account it will provide

Deleted: SDRC: The

Deleted: their

Moved (insertion) [7]

Deleted: they

Deleted: six months

- **Risk management:** The Funding Licensee should report on the risks highlighted in the Full Submission Proforma, plus any other risks that have arisen in the relevant reporting period. The Funding Licensee should describe how it is managing the risks it has highlighted and how it is learning from the management of these risks.
- **Accuracy assurance statement:** The Funding Licensee should outline the steps it has taken to ensure that information contained in the report is accurate. In addition to these steps, we require a senior manager responsible for NIC Projects, of the Funding Licensee to sign off the PPR. This sign off must state that he/she confirms that processes in place and steps taken to prepare the PPR are sufficiently robust and that the information provided is accurate and complete.
- **Material change information:** In relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or after Formula Year 2017/2018 only, details of any Material Change which has occurred in the relevant reporting period.
- **Other:** Any other information the Funding Licensee wishes to include in the report which it considers will be of use to Ofgem in understanding the progress of the Project.

8.16. The Funding Licensee can provide more sections or information than that specified above. Such extra information should follow on from the sections we have set out.

Amendment to Project Directions issued in Formula Year 2016/2017 or before

8.17. In all Project Directions issued in Formula Year 2016/2017 or before, for the paragraph in the Schedule titled 'Amendment or Revocation' substitute:

"AMENDMENT OR REVOCATION

This Project Direction may be amended or revoked:

- i. in accordance with Chapter 8 of the Gas NIC Governance Document;
- ii. to reflect amendments to the Licence; or
- iii. at such other times as the Authority considers it reasonable."

Project changes

8.18. Paragraphs 8.19 to 8.34 describe how and when changes may be made to a Project. Different provision is made in relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017 and those in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or after Formula Year 2017/2018.

Deleted:

Deleted:

Moved down [9]: ¶

Other: Any other information the Funding Licensee wishes to include in the report which it considers will be of use to Ofgem in understanding the progress of the Project

Deleted: and performance against the SDRC.¶

Deleted: Senior Manager

Deleted:

Moved (insertion) [9]

Deleted: The Funding Licensee must inform Ofgem promptly in writing of any event or circumstance likely to affect the ability

Deleted: it to deliver the Project as set out in its Full Submission.

Project changes in relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Relevant Year 2016/2017

8.19. Paragraphs 8.20 to 8.25 apply to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Relevant Year 2016/2017.

8.20. Changes to the Project Direction will only be made where there has been a Material Change in circumstances. The term Material Change is defined in Appendix 1.

8.21. If a Funding Licensee considers that there has been a Material Change in circumstances, it must submit a request to Ofgem to change the Project Direction. This request should provide sufficient detail to allow Ofgem to decide whether the change would be appropriate in the circumstances, including whether it would be in the best interests of customers. Once Ofgem has enough information to make this decision, it will provide its response in writing to the Funding Licensee, including any revisions it has decided to make to the Project Direction.

8.22. Any change to the Project which is not a Material Change may be made by the Funding Licensee without notification to Ofgem or a change to the Project Direction subject to the overarching change restrictions in paragraphs 8.25 and 8.26 below.

8.23. A Material Change includes (but is not limited to) the following:

- A change which alone or together with other changes delays the Project end date by more than one year;
- A change which alone or together with other changes delays the achievement of one or more Successful Delivery Reward Criteria by more than one year;
- A change to any project learning which the Network Licensee is expected to deliver in compliance with the Project Direction;
- A change to the Project Partner(s) set out in the Project Direction (because an additional or replacement Project Partner is sought by the Network Licensee).

8.24. The following non-exhaustive list of examples do not constitute a Material Change:

- A change in the allocation of funds to the cost categories set out in the Project Direction, provided the change does not increase the overall Project budget (unless any increase is met by additional contributions from the Network Licensee or its Project Partners);
- An overspend in the Project budget set out in the Project Direction, provided the overspend is met by additional contributions from the Network Licensee or its Project Partners.

Deleted: material change in circumstance that requires a change to the Project Direction

Deleted: may

Deleted: for a change

Deleted: . This includes changes

Deleted: the end date of

Deleted: interest

Deleted: gas

Deleted: licensee

Deleted: accepted

8.25. In the event that the Project end date is delayed, the new Project end date must be no later than two years after the original Project end date.

8.26. In the event that the achievement of one or more Successful Delivery Reward Criteria is delayed by more than one year, the new date for achievement of the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria must be on or before the Project end date (whether the original end date or an end date delayed in line with paragraph 8.25 above).

Project changes in relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or after Relevant Year 2017/2018

8.27. Paragraphs 8.28 to 8.33 apply to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or after Relevant Year 2017/2018.

8.28. The Network Licensee must record and notify Ofgem of all Material Changes in the Project Progress Report (or Close Down Report if applicable) which falls due after the Material Change being made. It is not necessary to submit a request to Ofgem to change the Project Direction in respect of any change to the Project, subject to the overarching change restrictions set out in paragraphs 8.30 to 8.32.

Deleted: expects that changes to the Project Direction will only be made when there has been a material change in circumstance that requires a change to the Project Direction

8.29. A Material Change includes (but is not limited to) the following:

- A change which alone or together with other changes delays the Project end-date by more than one year;
- A change which alone or together with other changes delays the achievement of one or more Project Deliverables by more than one year;
- A change to any project learning which the Network Licensee is expected to deliver in compliance with the Project Direction;
- A change to the Project Partner(s) set out in the Project Direction (because an additional or replacement Project Partner is sought by the Network Licensee).

8.30. In the event that the Project end date is delayed, the new Project end date must be no later than two years after the original Project end date.

8.31. In the event that a Project Deliverable is delayed by more than one year, the new date for achievement of the Project Deliverable must be on or before the Project end date (whether the original end date or an end date delayed in line with paragraph 8.30 above).

8.32. A Project Deliverable may not be changed by the Network Licensee except in relation to the date of its delivery, as described in paragraph 8.31. Any other change to a Project Deliverable must be submitted to Ofgem as a change request.

8.33. A Material Change does not include the following non-exhaustive list of examples:

- A change in the allocation of funds to the cost categories set out in the Project Direction, provided the change does not increase the overall Project budget (unless any increase is met by additional contributions from the Network Licensee or its Project Partners);
- An overspend in the Project budget set out in the Project Direction, provided the overspend is met by additional contributions from the Network Licensee or its Project Partners.

Reporting requirements: Close Down Report

8.34. Network Licensees must provide a Close Down Report for each Project that has received NIC Funding. The Close Down Report must provide sufficient information for third parties to understand what has been learnt from the Project, including complying with the requirements of paragraph 9.13 of this document. If the Project has generated IPR that Ofgem has provided as part of the Project Direction, does not need to be shared, then this should be explained in the Close Down Report. The Close Down Report must provide sufficient information for other Network Licensees to determine whether the IPR would be of value.

Deleted: The Funding Licensee

Deleted: receives

Deleted: It needs to

Deleted: .

Deleted: agreed,

8.35. The Network Licensee must submit its Close Down Report to Ofgem within three Calendar Months of the Project end date set out in the Project Direction, (or an alternative Project end date which has been changed in accordance with paragraphs 8.19 – 8.32), or if a Project has been halted, within three Calendar Months of Ofgem approving the halting of the Project.

Deleted: Funding

Deleted: completion

Deleted: ,

8.36. As part of the Close Down Report, the Network Licensee must identify any unspent Approved Amounts and additional funding for the Project. This will be deemed to be Disallowed Expenditure.

Deleted: Funding

Deleted: or Contingency Funding

8.37. Subject to its obligations to generate new knowledge that can be shared among all Network Licensees, a Network Licensee can withhold information from the Close Down Report if Ofgem considers that it has demonstrated that it (or one or more of its Project Partners) will face commercial harm from disclosure.

Deleted: We have issued detailed

8.38. Detailed guidance on what should be included within this Close Down Report is in Appendix 2 to this document. In addition the Network Licensee must provide, for review, a draft of each Close Down Report to at least one other Network Licensee. The reviewing Network Licensee will need to review the draft Close Down Report before its publication. Evidence of robust peer review will be a key consideration in our decision of whether to accept the Close Down Report.

8.39. The reviewing Network Licensee should review the Close Down Report and evaluate whether the Close Down Report is clear and understandable and provides sufficient information to enable a Network Licensee, not closely involved in the

Deleted: will amend this in the future should it be necessary to do so.²⁷

Project, to effectively consider whether and how to implement the Project's learning in to its business as usual activities. Where the report provides sufficient information this should be confirmed in writing.

8.40. Where the reviewer provides this confirmation, the Network Licensee developing the Close Down Report should publish it alongside the final version of the report. Where the reviewer does not consider the Close Down Report meets these requirements, it should provide feedback on the areas for improvement. Before publishing the report the Network Licensee should update its Close Down Report to account for such feedback. It should also demonstrate how it has addressed the reviewing Network Licensee's comments.

8.41. In the event that Ofgem considers that a Close Down Report is of an unacceptable standard, it may ask the Network Licensee to resubmit a revised Close Down Report.

Deleted: Funding

Deleted: the

8.42. The Funding Licensees should make the Close Down Report publicly available on the Learning Portal or equivalent (see paragraphs 2.9 – 2.10).

Deleted: publically

Deleted: chapter

Halting a Project

8.43. A Funding Licensee may seek permission from Ofgem to halt a Project, for example because it has become clear that the Method is not viable or there are other reasons why it is not efficient, or it is otherwise not possible to continue with the Project. The Funding Licensee may suspend the Project from the time it puts in this request, pending a decision from Ofgem on the request to halt. Ofgem may also call for a Funding Licensee to stop a Project. From the time that either a Funding Licensee seeks permission to halt a Project, or in cases where Ofgem has called for a Project to be stopped, the Funding Licensee must not make any further withdrawals from the Project Bank Account unless:

- The funds have already been committed to the Project; or
- The Funding Licensee can demonstrate to Ofgem that the funds are required to be spent as part of the suspension of the Project.

Deleted: Funds

Deleted: incurred

8.44. As part of the process to evaluate whether a Project should be halted, either at the request of Ofgem or at the request of the Funding Licensee, the Funding Licensee will need to provide Ofgem with sufficient information to evaluate whether halting the Project will be appropriate in the circumstances, including whether it would be in the best interests of customers and identifying any costs it will incur and the actions required in halting the Project.

Deleted: interest

8.45. If Ofgem is satisfied that it is appropriate in the circumstances, including that it would be in the best interests of customers to halt the Project, then it will confirm in writing that it shall require that the Project be halted. Ofgem will also identify any funds that have been received by the Funding Licensee, which have not yet been spent; less funds already committed and less any costs that Ofgem has agreed can

Deleted:

Deleted: whether

Deleted: ,

be incurred to halt the Project. These funds will be deemed to be Halted Project Revenues and will be returned through a subsequent Funding Direction, as described in chapter 7.

8.46. A Project that is halted must comply with the other requirements of this Governance Document, including the requirement to provide a Close Down Report.

Deleted: still

8.47. If a Project is halted without Ofgem's permission, all Approved Amounts that the Funding Licensee has received for that Project will be deemed to be Disallowed Expenditure. The process for catagorising expenditure as Disallowed Expenditure is set out in paragraphs 8.66 – 8.69.

Deleted: 48 and

Deleted: 51

Applications for Contingency Funding (for Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017)

Deleted: ¶

We recognise that innovation and trialling involves an element

Deleted: risk in that the costs, benefits and impacts of the

8.48. Paragraphs 8.49 to 8.55 apply to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or before Relevant Year 2016/2017.

Deleted: are not fully understood. This is one of the main reasons why Network Licensees may not undertake these Projects under the normal regulatory framework. We therefore will

8.49. We previously said we may provide some protection for cost overruns and non-realisation of Direct Benefits, as set out below. Protection will not be provided for poor project management, and Network Licensees are required to employ all reasonable endeavours to deliver the Projects without recourse to Contingency Funding for cost overruns or shortfalls in Direct Benefits. Ofgem will decide whether it is appropriate and justified to provide additional funding in such circumstances.

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ¶

However, protection

Deleted: ,

Deleted: it is our expectation that

Deleted: will

Deleted: Project

8.50. A Funding Licensee may request Contingency Funding if it anticipates that the Project will cost more than it had forecast as part of its Full Submission. The maximum amount that can be requested as additional funding for cost overruns is capped at five per cent of the Approved Amount unless an alternative arrangement is set out within the Project Direction.

Deleted: For the avoidance of doubt, a Funding Licensee could choose to identify in its Full Submission that it will not to seek to recover any cost overruns and this will be included within its Project Direction.

8.51. If a Funding Licensee wishes to make an application to Ofgem for Contingency Funding to cover anticipated cost overruns, it must notify Ofgem of its intention at least three Calendar Months before it incurs any additional costs. It will provide Ofgem with sufficient information to allow Ofgem to evaluate the request. The Authority will decide whether it would be appropriate in the circumstances, including whether it would be in the best interests of customers to award Contingency Funding for that Project, the size of any award and any changes that may need to be made to the Project Direction before it would be awarded. The Authority may decide that no additional funding is warranted. In particular, the Authority is unlikely to be minded to provide additional funding for a Project where it has concerns about the quality of Project management that, in its opinion, outweigh any benefits expected to be generated by the provision of additional funding.

Deleted: interest

8.52. If a Funding Licensee applies to Ofgem for Contingency Funding for a cost overrun, it will not be eligible to receive the NIC Successful Delivery Reward for that Project. A Funding Licensee that reserves the option in its Full Submission to recover

Deleted: then

Deleted: Licence

cost overruns but does not apply for any Contingency Funding may still apply for the Successful Delivery Reward.

8.53. A Funding Licensee can also request Contingency Funding if it experiences Direct Benefits that were lower than it forecast in its Full Submission. The default position is that a Funding Licensee can apply for up to 50 per cent of any shortfall in Direct Benefits in excess of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution, unless an alternative arrangement is set out within the Project Direction.

8.54. If a Funding Licensee wishes to make an application for Contingency Funding to cover a decrease in Direct Benefits, it will provide Ofgem with sufficient information to allow Ofgem to evaluate the request. The Authority will decide whether it would be appropriate in the circumstances, including whether it would be in the best interests of customers to award Contingency Funding for that Project, the size of any award and any changes that may need to be made to the Project Direction before it would be awarded. The Authority may decide that no additional funding is warranted.

8.55. If Ofgem does allow Contingency Funding to be recovered, Ofgem will reissue the Project Direction, if required, and will notify the Funding Licensee of when it will issue a Funding Direction to allow recovery of the Contingency Funding. This process is set out in chapter 7.

Successful Delivery Reward (for Projects where a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017)

8.56. The NIC Successful Delivery Reward is designed to reward those Projects that are well managed and completed at least to the standard that could be expected given the information provided in the Full Submission. The Successful Delivery Reward Criteria against which the Projects will be judged are set out in the Project Directions.

Process

8.57. The Network Licensee can apply to Ofgem to receive a NIC Successful Delivery Reward for a NIC Project once its Close Down Report for that Project has been peer reviewed and published as described in paragraphs 8.38 – 8.40 above. Submissions for the Successful Delivery Reward must be made by 1 May each Formula Year to be considered during that year's assessment window. Ofgem will assess submissions in an annual assessment window between 1 May and 31 July.

8.58. The Network Licensee can enter any assessment window once the Project has concluded and the Close Down Report has been published. The last window will occur one year after the final NIC Project has concluded.

Deleted: interest

Deleted: which

Deleted: as described in chapter 5, paragraph 5.26-5.29.

Deleted: Project

Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted: be

8.59. Ofgem has issued guidance²⁸ on the application process to applicants in advance of the assessment. Ofgem may issue further guidance from time to time. Any new guidance will be issued two Calendar Months in advance of the assessment.

8.60. The Network Licensee must provide sufficient evidence to allow Ofgem to evaluate the application. The Network Licensee may be permitted to resubmit its application in light of any clarification asked by Ofgem. Any resubmission or additional evidence submission may affect the timing of our decision. Ofgem may also use any other information it has gathered throughout the duration of the Project to inform its evaluation. If Ofgem considers that there is insufficient evidence to evaluate the application then the request for a Successful Delivery Reward will be rejected and the Network Licensee will be notified.

8.61. The maximum level of the Successful Delivery Reward is set out in the Project Direction, and cannot exceed the level of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution. The Authority will determine the level of the Successful Delivery Reward that may be awarded to the Network Licensee. This may be all, part or none of the amount requested.

8.62. Ofgem will notify the Network Licensee of the value of its reward by 31 July or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter. This notification will set out whether the Project has been awarded a Successful Delivery Reward and, if so, its value. This additional amount will be included in a subsequent Funding Direction.

Assessment

8.63. A Successful Delivery Reward will only be made if there is sufficient evidence that the Project has been well managed and has achieved the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria. Ofgem will assess whether the Project delivered Successful Delivery Reward Criteria outputs in a timely, cost effective manner and whether the outputs were of sufficient quality to have satisfied the requirements of the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria which were included in the Project Direction. The Network Licensee should provide evidence of this and should also consider consulting on its application with stakeholders, including Non-Network Licensees. Ofgem reserves the right to publish and seek comments from stakeholders on applications.

8.64. The Network Licensee should provide robust evidence demonstrating how the Project has managed uncertainty and change. This should include evidence of appropriate Project governance and risk management, including timely mitigation and the impact of any residual risks on the outcomes of the Project. Projects which have submitted change requests should provide evidence to Ofgem on how well the change was managed and how well the Project performed against what was originally intended.

²⁸ <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/guidance-notes-successful-delivery-reward-application>

Deleted: .

Deleted: No Projects that request to receive cost overruns will be eligible to receive a Successful Delivery Reward

Deleted: <#>A Funding Licensee can apply to Ofgem to receive a Successful Delivery Reward once Ofgem has approved the Close Down Report. The maximum level of the Successful Delivery Reward will be set out in the Project Direction and cannot exceed the level of Network Licensee Compulsory Contribution. ¶
<#>The Funding Licensee must provide sufficient evidence to allow Ofgem to evaluate the application. If Ofgem does not think it has sufficient information to make a judgement it will notify the Network Licensee who may choose to resubmit its application. If, following resubmission, Ofgem considers there is still insufficient information to make a judgement the request for the Successful Delivery Reward will be rejected. Ofgem may use any other information it has gathered throughout the duration of the Project to inform its evaluation.¶
<#>The Authority will determine the level of the Successful Delivery Reward that will be payable to the Network Licensee. This may be part, none, or all of the amount requested. Ofgem will notify the Funding Licensee of whether it has been approved within 30 Working Days of having received sufficient information to make its decision. This will set out if any Successful Delivery Reward has been funded and, if so, its value. This additional amount will be included as part of a subsequent Funding Direction, as described in chapter 7. ¶
<#>

8.65. A NIC Project that puts in a request to recover cost overruns will not be eligible to receive a NIC Successful Delivery Reward. NIC Projects which have submitted change requests can apply to the NIC Successful Delivery Reward.

Disallowed Expenditure and Halted Project Revenues

8.66. Disallowed Expenditure is funding that the Funding Licensee receives for NIC Projects or recovers as Directly Attributable Costs that Ofgem determines not to have been spent or recovered in accordance with this Governance Document or with the relevant Project Direction. It includes any funds that must be returned if the Project is halted without Ofgem's permission, any funds that have not been spent in line with the approved Project budget contained within the Project Direction, any unspent funds for completed Projects, and any Directly Attributable Costs that the Authority deems to have been misreported following a Project audit. In particular, it includes the proportion of funds associated with a Project Deliverable (as proposed by the Network Licensee), where the Network Licensee is deemed by Ofgem to be at fault for the non-delivery of that Project Deliverable (see paragraphs 5.28 – 5.31 and 8.82).

8.67. Halted Project Revenues are funds received by the Network Licensee that have not yet been spent or otherwise committed at the time that a Project is halted.

8.68. If Ofgem considers that any funds are Disallowed Expenditure or Halted Project Revenues it will notify the Funding Licensee which will have 20 Working Days to make representations as to why the funds should be allowed.

Deleted: who

Deleted: then

8.69. Ofgem will consider any representation from the Funding Licensee before finalising the determination as regards Disallowed Expenditure and Halted Project Revenues. Any Disallowed Expenditure and/or Halted Project Revenues will be returned through a subsequent Funding Direction, as described in chapter 7.

Returned Project Revenues

8.70. Returned Project Revenues are revenues that fall into one of the categories below and may be returned to customers, or retained by the Funding Licensee, through the Funding Return Mechanism. The categories of revenues are:

- Category 1: revenues generated by the Project that are specifically identified in the Project Direction, other than Returned Royalty Income; and
- Category 2: underspent NIC Funding, as a result of the Project acting in line with its Project Direction.

8.71. Returned Project Revenues may be returned to customers (or retained by the Funding Licensee) through the Funding Direction (see chapter 7 above) in accordance with the guidance below.

Return of revenues generated by the NIC Project

8.72. Paragraphs 8.73 to 8.75 apply to Category 1 revenues as defined in paragraph 8.70 above:

Notification of revenues

8.73. Any revenues earned that are subject to return under this mechanism, must be identified in the Project Direction. In determining what, if any, revenues should be subject to this mechanism:

- *For Projects in relation to which a Project Direction was issued in or after Formula Year 2018/2019 - it is for the Funding Licensee in its Full Submission, to identify any revenues that could be earned from its Project that may be subject to this mechanism. The revenue stream will be reflected in the Project Direction.*
- *For Projects in relation to which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2017/2018- it is for the Funding Licensee to identify and liaise with the Ofgem project officer, on a potential revenue stream that may be subject to this mechanism. The Project Direction will be amended to identify this revenue stream.*

Time limit for revenues to be returned

8.74. The default position is that there is no limitation on the period of time over which customers could receive funds generated by a Project. This is consistent with the approach taken for Returned Royalty Income.

8.75. Should the Funding Licensee consider that it is necessary to have alternative arrangements (eg a timescale that aligns with the Project end date), it may identify and justify a deviation from the default, which may be reflected in the Project Direction.

Return of underspent NIC Funding

8.76. Paragraph 8.77 applies to Category 2 revenues as defined in paragraph 8.70 above.

8.77. Any underspent NIC Funding should be clearly identified as part of the Project's Close Down Report and returned to customers through the next available Funding Direction.

Recipients of any Returned Project Revenues

8.78. Paragraphs 8.79 to 8.80 apply to both Category 1 and Category 2 revenues as defined in paragraph 8.70 above.

8.79. Ofgem can direct Returned Project Revenues to be returned to customers, or retained by the Funding Licensee. Unless specified in the Project Direction, the default position is for the relevant funds to be returned to customers.

8.80. The Authority may consider it appropriate for a proportion of any revenues subject to this mechanism to be retained by the Funding Licensee (which may subsequently redistribute them amongst parties involved in the Eligible NIC Project as appropriate). Should the Funding Licensee consider that it is necessary to have alternative arrangements, it may justify a deviation from the default (clearly stating why it's in the customers' interests), which may be reflected in the Project Direction. For example, it could be possible to return some money to the Funding Licensee, where it has made additional financial contributions to the Project (over and above the Funding Licensee's compulsory contribution), which has meant that the Project can take place with a lower amount of NIC Funding.

Project audits

8.81. All NIC Projects may be subject to an audit at any time. This will include requiring the Funding Licensee to demonstrate that the Project is compliant with the NIC Licence Condition, the Reporting Instructions and Guidance (RIGs), this Governance Document and the relevant Project Direction. This audit may be undertaken by Ofgem, or by a third party appointed at the discretion of Ofgem.

Deleted: appropriate conditions in

Projects in relation to which a Project Direction is issued in or after Formula Year 2017/2018

Deleted: ¶

8.82. At the end of a Project, the Funding Licensee must commission a report from an independent third party that verifies whether the Project Deliverables have been achieved. The third party must: (i) have sufficient levels of expertise and knowledge to enable it to verify that the Project Deliverables have been achieved and, if not, the reasons for this; and (ii) not be affiliated with the Network Licensee or its Project Partners. Where a Project Deliverable has not been achieved the report must explain why and whether the Network Licensee is responsible for this. Where Ofgem deems the licensee to be at fault for the failure to deliver a Project Deliverable, Ofgem may decide to recover the relevant proportion of the funds assigned to the Project Deliverable in the Full Submission as Disallowed Expenditure – see paragraphs 5.29, 5.30 and 8.66.

8.83. The cost of commissioning the report referred to in paragraph 8.82 may be paid for by the Network Licensee out of NIC Funding up to a maximum of two percent of the overall NIC Funding awarded to the Network Licensee for the relevant Project. However, where Ofgem is not satisfied that the report is independent and/or of sufficient quality, it may require the Network Licensee to commission an alternative report and the cost of any such report will not be met by NIC Funding.

Annual conference requirements

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

8.84. The Network Licensee must work collectively with ~~the~~ other Network Licensees ~~required to comply with~~ this Governance Document to organise an annual conference. The annual conference will be held every Formula Year for Network Licensees, Project Partners and interested third parties. The Network Licensee must (subject to any confidentiality or IPR arrangements approved before Registration), highlight the key learning that has been developed by the Network Licensee since the previous annual conference.

Deleted: such

Deleted: as are subject

Deleted:)

8.85. This conference may form part of the same event as any NIA conferences and the Network Licensee may charge attendees a nominal sum for attending the conference. The Network Licensee's income from charges is not to exceed the efficient cost to the Network Licensee of organising the conference.

Deleted: or LCN Fund

8.86. The conference may be a single event for gas and electricity or multiple events. We expect Network Licensees to work together to agree the format of the annual conference.

Deleted: , we

9. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)

Chapter summary

To facilitate knowledge transfer we have created default treatment for Intellectual Property Rights (IPR). This chapter sets out these default arrangements.

Deleted: and sets out how royalties generated from IPR should be treated

9.1. We recognise that the **Projects** financed by the NIC may create IPR either for the Funding Licensee or for any Project Partners (whether for one, both or jointly), and that these rights could restrict the Dissemination of knowledge and also provide a valuable income stream from royalties earned.

Deleted: projects

9.2. We have created a default treatment for IPR where the **Network** Licensee or any Project Partners deem any work product or output of the Project to be IPR. We expect that in the majority of cases they will **comply with** the default IPR conditions. However, we recognise that in some circumstances potential Project Partners may not be prepared to enter into agreements on this basis. We are therefore willing to consider alternative arrangements on a case by case basis. The information required to justify these alternative arrangements should be set out in the Network **Licensee's** Full Submission. Further information is set out in paragraph 5.54.

Deleted: therefore

Deleted: conform to

Deleted: Licensees

Deleted: 53

9.3. Knowledge transfer is one of the key aims of the NIC. The benefits of a Project are maximised by the ability of other Network Licensees to be able to learn from the Project so as to create improved outcomes or reduce costs for customers. Not all IPR generated by a Project will necessarily be pertinent to this knowledge transfer, or required to support the wider roll-out of the Project into **business as usual**.

Deleted: "

Deleted: usual".

Scope

9.4. The default IPR conditions apply to IPR which is material to the Dissemination of knowledge gained from the learning in respect of a Project. This knowledge may be the information, understanding or skills necessary to reproduce or simulate the outcome of a Project. It may also be the knowledge necessary to avoid a negative outcome. Where the deployment of IPR materially reduces the cost, difficulty or time associated with reproducing the outcome of a Project, this would also constitute IPR which is material to the Dissemination of knowledge.

Deleted: an

9.5. It will be the Network Licensee's responsibility to describe all work products generated during the course of a Project that are material to the Dissemination of knowledge and relevant to a Project, and to identify where such work products constitute IPR and how this will be shared so as to create improved outcomes for customers.

Ownership and transfer of ownership of IPR

9.6. Each Project Participant in the Project, shall retain all rights in and to its Background IPR.

Deleted: For the avoidance of doubt, each

Deleted: , whether a Licensee or a Project Partner

9.7. Each Project Participant shall own all Foreground IPR that it independently creates as part of the Project, or where it is created jointly then it shall be owned in shares that are in proportion to the work done in its creation.

Deleted: effort made and

9.8. Where any Project Participant transfers any of its rights, title or interest in or to any Foreground IPR to any other person, it shall only do so where the assignee/transferee agrees to comply with these default IPR conditions.

Deleted: right

Deleted: abide by

9.9. A Funding Licensee can only transfer any of its rights, title or interest in or to any Foreground IPR to any other person, subject to:

Deleted: right

- Having regard to the true commercial value of the IPR; and
- The assignee/transferee agreeing to comply with these default IPR conditions.

Deleted: abide by

Licensing of Background IPR

9.10. Where access to a Project Participant's Background IPR is required to undertake the Project, the Project Participant shall grant a non-exclusive licence to this Background IPR (Relevant Background IPR) to the other Project Participants, solely for the purposes of the Project during the term of the Project.

Deleted:)

9.11. Once the Project is completed, Relevant Background IPR will be licensed for use by the Project Participants in connection with another Project Participant's Foreground IPR solely to the extent necessary to use that Foreground IPR, upon terms to be agreed.

Deleted: over

Licensing of Foreground IPR

9.12. Foreground IPR which is produced by a Project could comprise of IPR which describes the application of a Method to a network and the benefits that can accrue. It could also include the IPR that describe how a product (for example a piece of equipment and or software), that is used to implement a Method achieves its functionality. Foreground IPR can be informal, (eg know how) or formal, (eg registered).

9.13. Relevant Foreground IPR is Foreground IPR that other Network Licensees will need to utilise in order to implement the Method(s) being developed or demonstrated in the Project. This must be identified in the Project Progress Report (PPR) in sufficient detail to enable others to identify whether they need to use that IPR. It is not expected that the confidential details of IPR would be disclosed in the PPR - only sufficient information to enable others to identify whether the IPR is of use to them.

Deleted: Developed

Deleted: Demonstrated

Deleted: For clarification it

Deleted: Project Progress Report,



Where Background IPR is required to use the Relevant Foreground IPR, this must also be clearly stated.

9.14. Foreground IPR within Commercial Products is not deemed Relevant Foreground IPR. However these Commercial Products must be made available to other Network Licensees to purchase in line with the approach the Network Licensees outlines in its Full Submission²⁹.

9.15. Licensing of the Foreground IPR shall be agreed between the Network Licensee and Project Partners consistent with the principles of this chapter.

9.16. All Project Partners which are academic institutions will have the freedom in accordance with normal academic practice (notwithstanding the requirements of this document) to:

- Discuss work undertaken as part of the Project in seminars;
- Give instructions on questions related to such work; and
- Publish results obtained during the course of the work undertaken as part of the Project.

Deleted: discuss

Deleted: give instruction

9.17. All other Network Licensees will have the right to use Relevant Foreground IPR within their network royalty-free.

Deleted: automatic

Deleted: for use

9.18. Where the Relevant Foreground IPR can only be used with a Project Participant's Background IPR, other Licensees will have the right to request a limited licence of such Background IPR for that sole purpose in line with the approach the Network Licensees outlines in its Full Submission.

Deleted: automatic

Deleted: Submission²⁵

9.19. The licensees of IPR may be required by the licensor to enter into a confidentiality agreement to protect the IPR licensed to it.

9.20. Other parties (who are not Project Participants and are not a Network Licensee) may request a licence to use Relevant Foreground IPR, such licence to be on arms-length terms, which may include payment of a commercial royalty.

Right to protect IPR

9.21. Each Project Participant will warrant that it has the right, power, title and authority to license its Relevant Background IPR on the terms of the licence agreement.

²⁹ See paragraph 5.54(v) for further information.

Deleted: 53 sub bullet

9.22. Each Project Participant will warrant that use of the Relevant Background IPR in accordance with the terms of its licence agreement will not infringe any third party rights.

9.23. Each Project Participant will warrant that it will pay all fees necessary to maintain registered rights that form part of the licensed Relevant Background IPR.

9.24. Each Project Participant will undertake to protect Relevant Foreground IPR (subject to the transfer options above) in the following terms:

- A Project Participant must seek registered protection where that is available and maintain such registered protection for as long as the subject matter of that Relevant Foreground IPR is licensed and used by the other Project Participants;
- Where a Project Participant believes that registered protection should not be filed, ~~it~~ must agree terms with the other Project Participants setting out how this unregistered IPR will be protected and demonstrate how this is consistent with the knowledge transfer and Dissemination of information requirements of the Project;
- A Project Participant must comply with agreed publication requirements, including as necessary to comply with academic requirements and co-authoring of publications;
- A Project Participant must set up a regime whereby unrelated third parties can access the Relevant Foreground IPR so that it can be further disseminated throughout the relevant industry whilst protecting the Project Participants' rights as owners and licensors.

Deleted: they

10. Royalties

Chapter summary

Any royalties earned by a Network Licensee through Foreground IPR are shared with customers in proportion to the funds that customers have contributed to the Project. This section sets out how we treat these royalties and how they are calculated.

10.1. Any royalties earned by a Network Licensee through Foreground IPR (whether Relevant Foreground IPR or not), are shared with customers in proportion to the funds that customers have contributed to the Project. Where a Network Licensee has transferred its rights, title or interest in or to any Foreground IPR in return for an income, this income is treated in the same way.

10.2. A Network Licensee is able to recover Directly Attributable Costs, as defined in the NIC Licence Condition. These are the costs of maintaining and managing Foreground IPR. Therefore, for each Project, the Network Licensee will return the gross revenue generated through royalties or income generated through IPR less the Directly Attributable Costs.

10.3. We treat royalties (or income generated from the transfer of Foreground IPR) as regulated revenue and apply the following treatment:

- The proportion of royalties (or income generated from the transfer of Foreground IPR) equivalent to the proportion of the total amount contributed by the Network Licensee (ie the Network Licensee's contribution which is in excess of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution plus the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution), versus the outstanding NIC Project Funding and the Network Licensee contribution will be retained by the Network Licensee as additional profit; and
- The proportion of royalties (or income generated from the transfer of Foreground IPR) equivalent to the proportion of the Outstanding Funding Required versus the Outstanding NIC Funding and the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution will be returned to customers.

10.4. Every Formula Year, the Authority will calculate the royalties earned through NIC Projects that are to be returned to customers. These are Returned Royalty Income. Returned Royalty Income will be included in the Funding Direction as outlined in chapter 7.

10.5. The Authority will follow these steps to calculate the amounts to be defined in the Funding Direction.

10.6. In a given year, Retained NIC Royalties (RNICR) is the total royalties earned through all NIC Projects to be retained by each Network Licensee y as additional profit in each year t and is calculated through the following formula:

Deleted: will be

Deleted: sections

Deleted: intend to

Deleted: will be

Deleted:) will be

Deleted: right

Deleted: should be

Deleted: will

Deleted:)

Deleted: Contribution

Deleted: Licensee

Deleted:)

Deleted: Outstanding

Deleted: Contribution

Deleted:)

Deleted: Licensee

Appendix 1 – Definitions

Approved Amounts

Amounts approved by the Authority in relation to a claim submitted by a Network Licensee to receive funding for an Eligible NIC Project, where such claim complies in all respects with such requirements as are imposed by the NIC Governance Document in respect of such claims.

Authority

[The Gas and Electricity Markets Authority established under section 1 of the Utilities Act 2000.](#)

Moved (insertion) [8]

Background IPR

[Intellectual Property Rights owned by or licensed to a Project Participant at the start of a Project.](#)

Deleted: Background IPR means all

Base Case Costs

The lowest cost method of delivering the Solution (on the scale outlined as part of the Project) which has been proven on the GB transportation system.

Bid Preparation Costs

The costs incurred when preparing and entering a bid into the NIC. [Paragraphs 3.3 and 5.23 describe the Projects in relation to which Bid Preparation Costs may be recovered.](#)

Calendar Month

Calendar Month is the period of time between any day in one month and the day numerically corresponding to that day in the subsequent month; save that, if the period starts at the end of a month which contains more days than the subsequent month, the period expires at the end of the latter month.

Close Down Report

The report, as set out in chapter 8, a Network Licensee must provide to Ofgem and publish at the end of a NIC funded Project.

Collaboration Portal

An online portal to inform collaborators about NIC Projects that complies with the requirements set out in chapter 2 of this document.

Commercial Products



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Products which have Background IPR identified prior to the commencement of the Project.

Contingency Funding

Funding approved by the Authority in relation to the recovery of cost overruns and unrealised benefits in respect of a Project in relation to which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2017/2018.

Cross-Industry Project

A Project in relation to which the Network Licensee applies for funding from both the Electricity NIC and the Gas NIC.

Demonstration

Activity focussed on generating and testing Solutions on the network and then taking it to a stage where they can be transferred to business as usual.

Development

Activity focussed on generating and testing Solutions to the Problem.

Direct Benefits

Direct Benefits are the benefits of a Project accruing to the Network Licensee during the Project implementation and comprise any expenditure included within the Network Licensee's business plan for RIIO-T1 or GD1 that will be saved as a result of undertaking the Project.

Direct Impact

Where the deployment of the Method will cause a measurable change in the operation of the transportation system in a controllable way.

Directly Attributable Costs

As set out in the NIC Licence Condition - costs related to the maintenance and management of Intellectual Property Rights generated through Eligible NIC Projects.

Disallowed Expenditure

As set out in the NIC Licence Condition this is revenue received under the NIC by the Network Licensee that the Authority determines has not been spent in accordance with the applicable provision of the NIC Governance Document or the terms of the relevant Project Direction.

Dissemination

The activity undertaken to share learning from a Project.

Deleted: Customer Engagement Plan ¶



The plan that

Deleted: must submit to Ofgem setting out how it or any of its Project Partners, will engage with, or impact upon, Relevant Customers as part of

Deleted: Project

Deleted: Business Plan

Moved down [10]: Gas NIC ¶



The annual competition for funding innovative gas Projects with potential low carbon or environmental benefits. ¶

Deleted:

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Eligible NIC Bid Preparation Costs

The amount of expenditure spent or accrued by the Network Licensee when preparing submissions for the Network Innovation Competition that appear to the Authority to have been spent in such a way that satisfies the requirements of the NIA Licence Condition and Governance Document.

Deleted: Governance Document as are necessary to enable the projects to be funded under the provisions of the NIC

Eligible NIC Projects

A Project undertaken by a Network Licensee that appears to the Authority to satisfy such requirements of the NIC Governance Document as are necessary to enable the Project to be funded under the NIC Funding Mechanism.

Eligibility Requirements

Criteria and specific requirements (set out in paragraphs 4.8 to 4.20) used to assess whether a Project has passed or failed the Initial Screening Process.

Moved (insertion) [11]

Energy Networks Association (ENA)

The industry body funded by UK and Irish gas and electricity transmission and distribution and gas transporter licence holders.

Evaluation Criteria

The criteria used to evaluate and compare NIC Projects at Full Submission, in order to assess which Projects (if any) should receive funding.

Expert Panel

A panel of independent experts who together provide knowledge and expertise under the following headings: energy network industries, environmental policy, technical and engineering, economics and financial and consumer interests. The panel is appointed by Ofgem to advise the Authority's decision making process on the selection of Projects for funding.

External Funder

An entity (that is not a Network Licensee) that provides funding for the Project without requiring a return on their investment.

External Funding

Project funding provided by an External Funder or Project Partner.

Foreground IPR

All Intellectual Property Rights created by or on behalf of any of the Project Participants, Network Licensees to whom they licence intellectual property, agents and sub-contractors as part of, or pursuant to, the Project, including all that subsisting in the outputs of the Project.

Deleted: their sub-



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

Formula Year

A period of twelve months beginning on 1 April in any calendar year and ending on 31 March of the next following calendar year.

Full Submission

The full application for funding under the NIC. The Full Submission consists of the completed Full Submission Pro-forma and any appendices.

Full Submission Pro-forma

A pro-forma which Network Licensees must complete and submit to Ofgem in order to apply for funding under the NIC.

Funding Direction

A direction issued by the Authority pursuant to the NIC Governance Document setting out the terms to be followed in relation to funding for NIC Projects.

Funding Licensee

The Network Licensee which applies for funding from the Gas NIC and which is named in the Full Submission as the Funding Licensee. ~~The Funding Licensee~~ receives the Approved Amount and is responsible for ensuring the Project, including any work undertaken by Project Partners, complies with the NIC Licence Condition, this Governance Document and the terms of the Project Direction(s). It is also the main point of contact for the Project.

Deleted: , which

Funding Return

As defined in the NIC Licence Condition.

Gas NIC

The annual competition for funding innovative gas Projects with potential low carbon or environmental benefits.

Moved (insertion) [10]

Gas Transporter

The NTS Owner, a ~~Gas~~ Distribution Network (GDN) or an Independent Gas Transporter (IGT).

Deleted: gas

Deleted: Owner

Halted Project Revenues

As set out in the NIC Licence Condition - revenues received under the NIC ~~Funding Mechanism~~ in respect of an Eligible NIC Project which have not yet been spent or otherwise committed, at the time that the Authority requires that Project to be halted in accordance with the applicable provisions of this governance document and the terms of the relevant Project Direction.

Deleted: funding mechanism

Independent Gas Transporter (IGT)

Deleted: ¶

Moved (insertion) [12]

The holder of a Gas Transporters Licence with Section C of Part II of the Licence switched off.

Initial Net Funding Required

This is the Total Project Costs minus any funding from Project Partners, External Funders and the Network Licensee which is in excess of the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution.

Deleted: Licensee

Initial Screening Process (ISP)

A screening process which proposed gas NIC Projects must pass before they are eligible to be developed into Full Submissions and considered by the Authority for funding.

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)

All industrial and intellectual property rights including patents, utility models, rights in inventions, registered designs, rights in design, trademarks, copyrights and neighbouring rights, database rights, moral rights, trade secrets and rights in confidential information and know-how (all whether registered or unregistered and including any renewals and extensions thereof) and all rights or forms of protection having equivalent or similar effect to any of these which may subsist anywhere in the world and the right to apply for registrations of any of the foregoing.

Moved up [12]: ¶
Independent Gas Transporter (IGT)¶
¶
The holder of a Gas Transporters Licence with Section C of Part II of the Licence switched off.¶
¶

Learning Portal

An online portal for sharing learning from NIC Projects that complies with the requirements set out in paragraphs 2.9 – 2.15 of this document.

Deleted: chapter 2

Low Carbon Networks (LCN) Fund

Moved (insertion) [13]

Funding to encourage the Network Licensees to innovate to deliver the networks we will need for a low carbon economy.

Material Change

A change which the Funding Licensee reasonably believes or which a third party would reasonable believe to have caused: (i) the Expert Panel to change its recommendation to the Authority that the Project should be funded; and/or (ii) the Authority to change its original decision that the Project should be funded. Further information and examples of a Material Change are provided in paragraphs 8.18 to 8.33.

Method

The proposed way of investigating or solving the Problem. This may be done by one or both of the following:

- Development (TRL 4-6) which means activity focussed on generating and testing Solutions to the Problem; and

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

- Demonstration (TRL 7-8) which means activity focussed on generating and testing Solutions on the network and then taking it to a stage where they can be transferred to business as usual.

Moved (insertion) [1]

Method Cost

The cost of replicating the Method, at the scale being tested in the Project, once has been proven successful.

Deleted: Cost

Deleted: a

Deleted: once proven successful

Micro-business

A micro business is defined as a company which meets one of the following criteria:

- consumes less than 293,000 kWh of gas a year;
- consumes less than 100,000 kWh of electricity a year; or
- has fewer than ten employees (or their full-time equivalent) and an annual turnover or annual balance sheet total not exceeding £2m.

Deleted: 200

Deleted: 55

National Transmission System Transportation Charges (NTS Charges)

As defined in the Gas Transporters Licence.

Network Licensee

The holder of a Gas Transporter's Licence.

Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution

The minimum contribution by the Network Licensee to the Total Project Cost which is 10 per cent of the value of the Initial Net Funding Required.

Network Licensee Group

A group of Network Licensees who share any Ultimate Controllers, or an individual Network Licensee, that does not share any Ultimate Controllers with other Network Licensee.

NIA Governance Document

Document that sets out the regulation, administration and governance of the Network Innovation Allowance (NIA).

Deleted: NIA.

NIA Licence Condition

Special Condition 2E (The Network Innovation Allowance) for National Grid Gas Plc (NTS) or Special Condition 1E (The Network Innovation Allowance) for the GDNs.

NIC Funding

As defined in the NIC Licence Condition.

NIC Funding Mechanism



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

As defined in the NIC Licence Condition.

NIC Funding Request

The Outstanding Funding Required, including a deduction for the bank account interest that the Network Licensee will earn on the balance of funding over the duration of the Project.

NIC Licence Condition

The NIC Licence Condition is the licence condition which sets out the requirements a Network licensee must adhere to for the purposes of the NIC. In relation to GDNs this is Special Condition 1I, in relation to the NTS Owner this is Special Condition 2F. In relation to IGTs this is Special Condition 2.

Deleted: Licence

Deleted: ³⁰

NIC Royalties

The pre-tax sum of the NIC royalties reported by a Network Licensee, on a Project.

Non-Network Licensee

A party which is not a Network Licensee as defined in this Governance Document. This includes (but is not limited to) suppliers and any other interested third parties.

Non-RIIO Network Licensee

The holder of a Gas Transporter Licence who is not regulated through the RIIO price control framework (ie an Independent Gas Transporter).

Outstanding Funding Required

Initial Net Funding Required minus the Network Licensee's Compulsory Contribution.

Priority Services Register Customers

Certain domestic customers whose premises are connected to the pipeline to which standard special condition D13 (for GDNs) and standard condition 17 (for IGTs) of the Gas Transporter Licence apply.

Problem

The obstacle or issue that needs to be resolved in order to facilitate the low carbon future and/or provide some environmental benefits to customers.

Project

The Development or Demonstration being proposed or undertaken.

Project Bank Account

The bank account used for the purpose of all financial transactions associated with a NIC Project. It is either a separate bank account or a bank account which meets the requirements of paragraph 8.3 to 8.7 of this Governance Document.

Deleted: A separate

Deleted: opened and

Deleted: solely

Project Deliverable

A project specific output which is:

- Linked to meeting identified targets for the outputs that will be expected to be delivered through the Project;
- Linked to meeting identified Project milestones on at least an annual basis;
- Linked to achieving the proposals it puts forward for the generation of new knowledge to be shared amongst all Network Licensees; and
- SMART – specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time bound.

Moved (insertion) [3]

Moved (insertion) [4]

Moved (insertion) [5]

Project Direction

A direction issued by the Authority pursuant to the NIC Governance Document setting out the terms to be followed in relation to the Eligible NIC Project as a condition of its being funded pursuant to the NIC Funding Mechanism.

Project Participant

A party who is involved in a Project. A participant will be one of the following: Network Licensee, Project Partner, External Funder, Project Supplier or Project Supporter.

Project Partner

Any Network Licensee or any Non-Network Licensee that makes a contractual commitment to contribute equity to the Project (eg in the form of funding, personnel, equipment etc.) the return on which is related to the success of the Network Licensee's Project.

Deleted: Partners

Deleted: Electricity Distributors (DNOs), Independent Electricity Distributors (IDNOs), holders of an Electricity Transmission Licence or any other

Deleted: e.g.

Deleted: Licensees

Deleted: ¶

Project Progress Report (PPR)

The report which the Funding Licence is required to provide to Ofgem at least annually, in accordance with Chapter 9 of this Governance Document.

Project Supplier

A party that makes a contractual commitment to supply a product or service to the Project according to standard commercial terms that are not related to the success of the Project.

Project Supporter

A party that makes no contractual or binding commitment to the Network Licensee or any other Project Participant in relation to the Project but who intends to endorse and provide support to the Project and agrees to be publicly named as a supporter of the Project.

Relevant Background IPR

Any Background IPR that is required in order to undertake the Project.

Relevant Customer

A domestic or Micro-business customer.

Relevant Foreground IPR

Any Foreground IPR that is required in order to undertake the Project.

Reporting instructions and Guidance (RIGs)

A series of documents that are published as part of the price control settlement which set out further detail on how the price control is to be implemented and how compliance with it will be monitored.

Research

Activity undertaken to investigate the Problem based on observable facts.

Retained NIC Royalties (RNICR)

Total royalties earned through all NIC Projects to be retained by each Network Licensee.

Returned Project Revenues

Has the meaning given in the NIC Licence Condition.

Returned Royalty Income

Revenue earned from intellectual property generated through Eligible NIC Projects, less any Directly Attributable Costs, and that is payable to customers under the NIC, as calculated in accordance with this Governance Document.

RIIO (Revenue = Incentives + Innovation + Outputs)

Ofgem's framework, stemming from the conclusions of the RPI-X@20 project, implemented in price controls. It builds on the previous RPI-X regime, but better meets the investment and innovation challenge by placing much more emphasis on incentives to drive the innovation needed to deliver a sustainable energy network at value for money to existing and future customers.RIIO Network Licensee

A holder of a Gas Transporters licence who is regulated through the RIIO price control framework.

Screening Submission

The submission for the Initial Screening Process (ISP) to Ofgem.

Deleted:Page Break.....
¶

Deleted: RIIO Network Licensee¶
¶
The

Solution

The outcome if the Project is solved.

Successful Delivery Reward

A reward for completed Projects in relation to which a Project Direction was issued in or before Formula Year 2016/2017 which are judged by Ofgem against the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria.

Successful Delivery Reward Criteria (SDRC)

In relation to the Successful Delivery Reward, the Project specific criteria set out in the Project Direction against which the Project will be judged for the Successful Delivery Reward.

Deleted: The

Technology Readiness Level (TRL)

Deleted: Levels

The Technology Readiness Level is a measure used to assess the maturity of evolving technologies. It is graded on a scale from 1 to 9, where, for the purposes of the NIC:

- TRL 1: Pure research that is theoretical or experimental work undertaken to acquire new scientific or technical knowledge for its own sake rather than directed towards an application.
- TRL 2-3: Applied research driven by a desire to broaden scientific and technical knowledge for application on the network, related to an identified Problem. It typically includes investigating the underlying foundation of phenomena and observable facts.
- TRL 4-6: Development activities with a more commercial application including technology validation and or demonstration in a working environment.
- TRL 7-8: Full scale demonstration in an working environment to test and improve technologies so they are ready for commercial deployment.
- TRL 9: Application of technology in its final form, i.e. the technology has been proven.

Deleted:

Total Project Costs

This is the forecast costs the Network Licensee expects to require to undertake the Project.

NTS Owner

The holder of a Gas Transporter's Licence with Part B of the standard special conditions switched on.

Ultimate Controller

(a) a holding company of the licensee which is not itself a subsidiary of another company;

(b) any person who (whether alone or with a person or persons connected with him) is in a position to control, or to exercise significant influence over, the policy of the licensee or any holding company of the licensee by virtue of:

(i) rights under contractual arrangements to which he is a party or of which he is a beneficiary; or

(ii) rights of ownership (including rights attached to or deriving from securities or rights under a trust) which are held by him or of which he is a beneficiary, but excluding any director or employee of a corporate body in his capacity as such; and

(c) for the purposes of subparagraph (b), a person is connected with another person if they are party to any arrangement regarding the exercise of any such rights as are described in that paragraph.

Working Day

Any day other than a Saturday, a Sunday, Christmas Day, Good Friday, or any other day that is a bank holiday within the meaning of the Banking and Financial Dealing Act 1971.

Appendix 2 - Guidance on contents of the Close Down Report

Deleted: Glossary

Information required in NIC Close-Down Reports	Description
Project Title	<u>As per Full Submission</u>
Project Background	<u>As per Full Submission</u>
Executive summary (no more than 5 pages)	<p><u>The Network Licensee should provide a summary of the work undertaken. It should outline:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>the Project background;</u> <u>the scope of the Project;</u> <u>the outcomes of the Project;</u> <u>which objectives it met successfully, including Successful Delivery Reward Criteria (SDRC)/Project Deliverables;</u> <u>which objectives it did not meet successfully, including Successful Delivery Reward Criteria (SDRC)/Project Deliverables;</u> <u>the main learning generated by the Project; and</u> <u>the main learning derived from the Method(s).</u>
Details of the work carried out	<p><u>The Network Licensee should provide details of the Method(s) it trialled.</u></p> <p><u>It should also describe the methodology that it used.</u></p>
The outcomes of the Project	<p><u>The Network Licensee should provide enough detail on the Project's outcomes so that other Licensees are able to understand how the Method(s) could be applied on their networks.</u></p> <p><u>Where quantitative data is available to describe these outcomes, it should be included in the report.</u></p> <p><u>The Network Licensee should discuss the improvement in network performance attributable to the Method(s). If the TRL of the Method(s) changed as a result of the Trial, this should be reported.</u></p>
Performance compared to the original Project aims, objectives and SDRC/Project Deliverables	<p><u>The Network Licensee should include details of whether, and how, the Project helped solve the issue described in its Full Submission.</u></p> <p><u>It should also provide details of how the Project performed relative to its aims, objectives and success criteria.</u></p>
Required modifications to the planned approach during the course of the Project	<u>The Network Licensee should summarise any changes to its planned methodology. It should describe why the planned approach proved to be inappropriate and how the alternative approach improved the original methodology.</u>
Significant variance in expected costs	<p><u>The Network Licensee should describe if any parts of the Project ended up costing more or less than expected (+/- 10 per cent). In relevant cases, the Network Licensee can link the cost changes to the section on required modifications to the planned approach.</u></p> <p><u>If costs were different to what the Network Licensee expected, it should provide details of why this was the case.</u></p>
Updated Business Case and lessons learnt for the Method	<u>The Network Licensee should provide an updated business case for the Project's Method(s).</u>

Deleted: A

Authority

The Gas and Electricity Markets Authority is the governing body for Ofgem, consisting of non-executive and executive members.

D

Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC)

E

Moved up [11]:

Energy Networks Association (ENA)

Deleted: ENA is the industry body funded by GB gas and electricity transmission and distribution licence holders. It lobbies on common issues in the operating environment, both at domestic and European levels, and provides technical services for the benefit of members.

G

Great Britain (GB)

I

Innovation Funding Incentive (IFI)

Scheme established under previous price control settlements. The IFI is intended to encourage Licensees to invest in appropriate research and development activities that are designed to enhance the technical development of their networks (and to deliver value (ie financial, supply quality, environmental, safety) to end customers.

L

Moved up [13]:

Low Carbon Networks (LCN) Fund

	<p><u>The Network Licensee should note any developments or events which affected the benefits gained from the Project. Where possible, the Network Licensee should quantify the changes that the developments or events have made to the Project benefits compared to those outlined in the Full Submission. The Network Licensee should also state if the Project discovered significant problems with the approach and technique being trialled.</u></p> <p><u>The Network Licensee should give an estimate of the future value to customers of the approach trialled.</u></p>
<u>Lessons learnt for future innovation Projects</u>	<p><u>The Network Licensee should discuss whether it encountered any difficulties with the particular Project in order to build on the understanding of how to undertake innovation Projects effectively.</u></p> <p><u>To utilise learning from the Project, the Network Licensee should clearly state how these difficulties and problems informed thinking going forward.</u></p>
<u>Project replication</u>	<p><u>The Network Licensee should provide a list of all physical components and knowledge required to replicate the outcomes of this Project, also showing how the required and, where relevant, newly generated Intellectual Property can be accessed by other GB Network Licensees.</u></p> <p><u>The Network Licensee should also include details of the anticipated business-as-usual costs of replicating the outcome of the Project.</u></p>
<u>Planned implementation</u>	<p><u>The Network Licensee should include details on whether and how it plans to modify its network based on learning from the Project.</u></p> <p><u>If the Method is not ready to be implemented, the Network Licensee should explain what needs to happen, including any necessary further work, before the Method(s) can be implemented. The Network Licensee should comment on the likelihood that the Method(s) will be deployed on a large scale in future.</u></p> <p><u>The Network Licensee should break down the requirements into actions required by Network Licensees and actions required by Non-Network Licensee parties.</u></p> <p><u>The Network Licensee should include recommendations on how the outcome of the Project could be exploited further. This may include recommendations of what form of trialling will be required to move the Method to the next TRL.</u></p>
<u>Learning dissemination</u>	<p><u>Use of a Project's learning by other Network Licensees is crucial. The Network Licensee should provide details of the information sharing mechanisms it has undertaken, and what the outcomes of these mechanisms were. The Network Licensee should specifically state what the other Network Licensees required information on. The Network Licensee should also explain how it has taken this feedback into account in developing the Close Down Report.</u></p> <p><u>If the Network Licensee has not undertaken a written consultation, it should explain the other activities it has undertaken to deliver the same result. This could include workshops or dissemination events, where it has received feedback on what other Network Licensees would like information on in order to replicate the Project.</u></p>

Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

<u>Key Project learning documents</u>	<p>The Network Licensee should include the details (title and publication date), and web-links of the main documents on Project learning that it has published prior to the publication of the Close Down Report. The Network Licensee should also provide enough information on the content of each document so that other parties can judge whether or not the document will be of use to them.</p> <p>The Network Licensee should include links to all Project Progress Reports.</p>
<u>Data access details</u>	<p>The Network Licensee should include a description of how any network or consumption data gathered in the course of the Project can be requested by interested parties. This requirement may be met by including a link to the publicly available data sharing policy which is required by paragraph 2.16 of the NIC Governance Document.</p>
<u>Contact details</u>	<p>The Network Licensee should state name and contact details (email address, telephone number, and postal address) of the best contact to provide access to the Project's learning.</p>
<u>Material change information</u>	<p>In relation to Projects in respect of which a Project Direction was issued in or after Formula Year 2017/2018 only, details of any Material Change which has occurred in the relevant reporting period.</p>

Appendix 3 – Guidance Notes for Successful Delivery Reward Application

Before completing the Successful Delivery Reward (SDR) application, please refer to the Gas Network Innovation Competition (NIC) Governance Document.³¹

The main body of the SDR application is limited to 24 pages and 24 pages for the appendices, with a minimum permitted font size of ten. The network companies can include images and tables where appropriate. It is suggested that the following number of pages are allocated to each section:

- executive summary (to include justification of proposed proportion of SDR) – one page;
- evidence of timeliness and quality for Successful Delivery Reward Criteria (SDRCs) – eight pages;
- evidence of cost effectiveness for SDRCs – six pages; and
- project management – six pages.

Where possible, evidence should be linked or referenced to specific paragraphs in the progress reports, SDRC reports, project close down reports, publicly available information or any other submission to Ofgem. If evidence is required that cannot be referenced or succinctly included in the application, this evidence should be added as an appendix to the application. The appendices should not exceed an additional 20 pages. The evidence will form an auditable trail that will be sampled and verified by Ofgem during the assessment window.³²

The application will be made public (published on the Ofgem website). If there is confidential material, relevant to the application set out in the application, separate public and confidential versions should be submitted.

The annual assessment window for the SDR begins on 1 May and will end on 31 July each year.

Ofgem will notify the network company if we consider the application has insufficient evidence. The company may choose to resubmit its application. If a resubmission is made, Ofgem maintains the right to extend the decision process.

Electricity Distribution Network operators should submit their SDR application by email to networks.innovation@ofgem.gov.uk. The deadline for the applications is 5pm on 1 May. Any application submitted after this time will not be assessed.

³¹ All capitalised terms not otherwise defined in this document have the meaning given to them in the relevant NIC Governance Document, as appropriate.

³² The terms Ofgem and the Authority, "we", "us" and "our" are used interchangeably in this note. The Authority is the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority. Ofgem is the office of the Authority.

If the data size of the application is above 28 MB, please contact Ofgem prior to submission to find an alternative means of file transfer.

Section 1: Evidence for Successful Delivery **Reward Criteria**

In accordance with our decisions in August 2014³³ following consultation on implementing the Discretionary Funding Mechanism under the LCN Fund, the SDRCs will be assessed against timeliness and quality of delivery. The cost effectiveness of delivering the SDRC outputs will also be evaluated.

Timeliness and Quality

Assessment of the SDRCs will include an evaluation of whether the project was delivered in a timely manner. It will therefore be necessary for the network companies to provide sufficient evidence demonstrating that the SDRC deadlines were met. References to six monthly progress reports or other documents can be used to demonstrate timely delivery. The specific location of the relevant reference should be provided. If an SDRC was delivered after the deadline it is advisable that a justification for the delay is provided.

If the requirements for meeting the SDRC have been changed through an approved change proposal the new deliverables should be cited and a reference provided for the relevant change proposal. The application needs to demonstrate that the project has met its changed SDRC requirements if a change has been approved by us.

The SDRC must be delivered to sufficient quality. The types of evidence for quality may include:

- peer-reviewed, published journal articles or reports;
- independent verification of delivery;
- third party endorsement;
- feedback from stakeholders and consumer engagement;
- feedback on consultation;
- feedback from learning dissemination events; and
- user uptake of and/or user feedback on products and services.

This is not an exhaustive list and any further supporting evidence for the quality of the SDRCs will be considered.

Cost Effectiveness

To demonstrate the cost effectiveness for the SDRCs, the actual project expenditure should be presented against each line in the project budget and any variances in excess

³³ Decision on implementing the Discretionary Funding Mechanism under the Low Carbon Networks Fund (21 August 2014) – <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/decision-implementing-discretionary-funding-mechanism-under-low-carbon-networks-fund>

of 5 per cent should be explained. For projects predating 2012 the project budget should be taken from the Project Direction and for projects post-2012 the project budget should be taken from Whole Project Total Cost part of the submission.

Any reallocation of the budget between categories needs to be explained and justified. If further funding was procured by the project internally or through partners it must be clear what the purpose of additional funding was.

Details of the use of the contingency budget should be provided along with the processes for determining if and when contingency funds were required, how much was to be used and the value provided by its use.

If there were commitments to return consumers' funding it is advisable to explain to what extent these commitments have or will be met.

Network companies should provide evidence that SDRCs have been delivered in a cost effective manner that gives the consumers value for their investment.

- For each line in the project budget greater than 5 per cent of the total project budget, the processes, including competition where appropriate, that were implemented should be described; and
- Where possible, efficiencies that were employed in delivering the SDRCs and/or in delivering outputs that have produced further savings for consumers should be described.

In order to provide evidence of cost effectiveness we suggest that companies describe their procurement processes or how competitive prices were obtained.

The table below gives an indication of some of the detail required for demonstrating the cost effectiveness against the project budget:

Budget Category	Budget Line Item	Proposed Budget	Actual Cost	Reasons for variance > 5%	Process for deriving an efficient cost
	1.				
	2.				
Total Budget Cost					

Section 2: Evidence of Project Management

To demonstrate that projects have been well managed, evidence of the management of uncertainty and change is required as stated in our decisions in August 2014.³⁴ It will be important to provide evidence of the following:

- Best practice principles, processes and practices for project management were employed; and

³⁴ Decision on implementing the Discretionary Funding Mechanism under the Low Carbon Networks Fund (21 August 2014) – <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/decision-implementing-discretionary-funding-mechanism-under-low-carbon-networks-fund>

Moved (insertion) [6]

Deleted: Funding to encourage the DNOs to innovate to deliver the networks we will need for a low carbon economy. ¶

¶
R ¶
¶

Reporting instructions and guidance (RIGs) ¶
A document that is published as part of the price control settlement which sets out further detail on how the price control is to be implemented and how compliance with it will be monitored. ¶



Gas Network Innovation Competition Governance Document

- Processes for change, uncertainty and risk management, including a focus on positive risks and project opportunities, were implemented and communicated during the project lifetime.

The application should reference the specific sections on risk management in the project progress reports and the management of risk should be evidenced by the following:

- pre-emptive identification of risks and uncertainties during the project lifetime;
- implementation and communication of any mitigation measures;
- consideration of opportunities as part of the risk identification process; and
- whether or not the project was adversely affected by any issues and the circumstances of the issue.

It will be important for the network company to demonstrate its management, if applicable, of change proposals. The application should explain all changes to the project method, solution or delivery plan and whether a change proposal was requested. The application should reference the change proposal and demonstrate the following regarding change proposals:

- the timely identification of change proposals;
- the reason any change proposals were modified or rejected;
- the identification of all practicable measures implemented to rectify the issue that required a change proposal;
- the provision of sufficient justification for the proposal; and
- the overall management of the change proposal.