

To whom it may concern,

Please see below Keepmoat's response to the ECO2t Consultation. I couldn't find a template on the website, so I'm assuming an e-mail will be sufficient.

Do you agree that an LA declaration should be dated prior to the date of installation of the measure?

We feel that this makes perfect sense that the date on the LA declaration should be before the date of installation.

Do you agree with our proposed administration of SWI in-fill?

We have concerns regarding the percentage requirements to be able to calm the infill properties. If properties fall out of the programme of works once works have started then it might mean you could end up in a situation where you have installed EWI to properties which are now not eligible. We would like more information around who the risk sits with for the infill properties. However we do think that this could help us install measures to properties which would be ineligible without it but have concerns regarding the administration around it.

Do you agree with our proposed administration of evidencing that the Local Authority has published a statement of intent and that it includes the minimum required information?

We slightly agree with this statement. We do have concerns however about the level of risk being placed on the installer to ensure that the statement of intent is in place and includes the minimum requirements. A template issue by BEIS should help mitigate this. We would also like some clarity around if the statement of intent doesn't meet the requirements, what happened to measures installed under the LA declaration for that particular statement of intent.

Kind regards,
Lewis.

Lewis Cocking
Energy & Innovation Advisor



t. 01992650333 | m. 07973634695 | w. [keepmoat.com](https://www.keepmoat.com)