Proposal for a Capacity Market Rules Change		Making a positive difference for energy consumers Reference number (to be completed by Ofgem): CP166	
Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s): Waters Wye Associates		Date Submitted: 9 November 2016	
Type of Change:	alterr	If applicable, whether you are aware of an alternative proposal already submitted which this proposal relates to:	
□ Amendment	this p		
□X Addition	Click	here to enter text.	
🗆 Revoke			
□ Substitution			
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for p	oublished d	escription on our website)	
To create the new role of Prequalification Prequalification Agent to help numerous process. The Prequalification Agent wou systems and would not undertake any fu such as bidding in the auction, etc.	Applican Id then c	ts through he CM prequalification cease to have access to the CM	
What the proposal relates to and if applicable, w to (<i>please state provision number</i>):	vhat curre	nt provision of Rules the proposal relates	
Under the current rules an Agent may or of this rule was to stop one Agent acting the position of being a dominant party in view shared by Ofgem in their rejection	on beha the CM	If of numerous CMUs, putting it in auction. This appears to be the	

the position of being a dominant party in the CM auction. This appears to be the view shared by Ofgem in their rejection of CP003 in 2015. However, the limitation also creates a barrier for entry for new market entrants and smaller parties who are seeking help with the complex CM prequalification process. The proposal is therefore to introduce a Prequalification Agent, who an Applicant can use just for the purpose of supporting them through the prequalification process, but after that the Prequalification Agent would have no role in the party's participation in the auction.

Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address:

WWA were approached by multiple parties looking for help with prequalification both this year and last, but are limited by the rules in helping multiple organisations despite the process being onerous and NG's advice unavailable during prequalification. We believe that it is in the interest of competition that as many parties prequalify as possible, so limiting support is creating a barrier to entry and reducing the level of competition within the CM market. However, we agree that once pre-qualified each party will need to bid competitively based on their own plant economics. It would therefore be inconsistent with the principle of a competitive market to allow an Agent to bid for multiple parties in the auction. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change):

See separate sheet

Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when making the revision - including, any potential implications for industry codes:

WWA were approached by more 10 parties asking for help through the CM prequalification process, which we believe is evidence that there is a need for new entrants in particular to have a Prequalification Agent help them through the process. There are not enough "CM experts" available for each party wishing to enter the market to have a different Agent help them. While advice can be given about the relevant documents, etc. it would be more efficient for the party if they can simply have a Prequalification Agent enter data on the system for them, if that is what they want. WWA does not believe that there are any impacts on industry codes.

Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):

Lisa Waters, Waters Wye Associates, Tel: +44 (0)20 8239 9917, Mob: +44 (0)78 999 24 794, e-mail:lisa@waterswye.co.uk