Proposal for a Capacity Market Rules Change



Reference number (to be completed by *Ofgem*): **CP170**

Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s): RWEGeneration (UK)	Date Submitted: 11/11/2016
Type of Change:	If applicable, whether you are aware of an
☐ Amendment	alternative proposal already submitted which this proposal relates to:
■ Addition	Click here to enter text.
□ Revoke	
☐ Substitution	
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website)	
Improved information provision with Prequalification notifications	
What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number):	
It is proposed that in the event that a CMU is not Prequalified, the Applicant should be given sufficient information with the Prequalification Decision notice to enable the Applicant to understand the detailed grounds for the rejection, which may inform any subsequent appeal.	
Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address:	
A Prequalification Decision where a CMU is not Prequalified is likely to lead to an appeal by the Applicant. If there is not sufficiently detailed information in the Prequalification Decision notice, the Applicant will have to request that information from the Delivery Body before beinbg able to submit an appeal. This wastes time and resource from boteh the Applicant and the Delivery Body.	
If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change):	
In Rule 4.5.1 add: (c) where the Prequalification Decision is that the CMU has not Prequalified, sufficiently detailed information to identify the specific reason for the decision.	
Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry an	ad/or consumers including one risks to note when
making the revision - including, any potential impli	
In the event of a CMU not being Prequalified, with no information or only broad categories of types of failures, it is necessary for an Applicant to request specific information from the Delivery Body in order to understand the decision and to decide whetehr or not to submit an appeal. As there are only five working days in which to submit an appeal, the resulting delays puts increased pressure on both Applicants and the Delivery Body.	

Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):	
Raoul Thulin, RWE Supply & Trading, 01793 892634, raoul.thulin@rwe.com	
radul Fluini, KW2 Supply & Fluining, VII 20 022021, Tuduliki alim CT welcom	