Proposal for a Capacity Mark Rules Change	xet Office the second s
Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s): RWEGeneration (UK)	Date Submitted: 11/11/2016
Type of Change: ☑ Amendment	If applicable, whether you are aware of an alternative proposal already submitted which this proposal relates to:
□ Addition	Click here to enter text.
□ Revoke	
Substitution  Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for public	
Clarification of requirement for Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates	
to (please state provision number): Rule 13.4.4 changes the requirement of Satisfactory Performance days from 3 to 6 in the event that there is a failure to deliver any energy during System Stress Events in two months in a Delivery Year. As written, if the Stress Events fall after the Winter months, the requirement to have delivered Satisfactory Delivery Days would result in a retrospective obligation to have delivered on six occasions during the Winter months.	
Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: The requirement to demonstrate six instead of three Satisfactory Performance Days in the event of failing to deliver energy during two System Stress Events in two months should be confined to the period when a CMU can still respond to deliver the required Satrisfactory Delivery Days during the Winter months. Otherwise, following the Winter, the provisions do not work and there would be a requirement for risk reducing runs durint the winter to account for the possibility of System Stress Events occurring during summer outages resulting in increased obligations and/or interruptions to payments.	
If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): In 13.4.4: If in two or more months in which System Stress Events occur during Winter in a Delivery Year	
Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when making the revision - including, any potential implications for industry codes: The current Rules would incentivise participants to deliver six Sataisfactory Delivery Days during	
The current Kines would incentivise participants to deriver six sataisfactory Derivery Days during	

winter in order to protect themselves from the possibility of having the obligation doubled from three to six in the event that there were two System Stress Events while (for example) they were on summer outage. This would have an impact on consumer costs as these runs would need to be taken into account in the auctions and would increase emissions from marginal units unnecessarily.

Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):

Raoul Thulin, RWE Supply & Trading, 01793 892634, raoul.thulin@rwe.com