Proposal for a Capacity Market Rules Change



Reference number (to be completed by *Ofgem*): **CP198**

Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s):	Date Submitted:
National Grid Interconnector Holdings Ltd	11 November 2016
Type of Change:	If applicable, whether you are aware of an
Type of Change.	
	alternative proposal already submitted which
△ Amendment	this proposal relates to:
2 imenument	viiis proposurreintes tot
☐ Addition	The proposer is unaware of any similar
_ numinon	amendment
	amenument
☐ Revoke	
L Revoke	
☐ Substitution	
□ Substitution	
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for publ	ished description on our website)
1 Toposai Summary (snort summary, summer for published description on our website)	
Delays to Construction Programmes caused by network owners	
Delays to Construction Programmes caused by network owners	
Wild III a life is it is a constant to the constant in the con	
What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates	
to (please state provision number):	
to (picuse state provision number).	
This proposal relates to Rule 6.7.7 concerning delay	vs to the commissioning of a Prospective CMU
caused by a Transmission Licensee and the subsequent extension of the Long Stop Date, protecting	
the party constructing the CMU from having its agreement terminated because of the delays caused	
	recinions to running to the detail is end to
by the Transmission Licensee.	
Description of the issue that the change proposal se	eks to address:
2 contract of the more than the than see that the track of the track o	
Prospective Interconnector CMUs are unique in that they interact with Transmission Licensees in	
both Great Britain and in another jurisdiction in re	
no allowance for the long stop date to be extended for delays caused by the transmission licensee	
constructing the connection for the "Non-GB part"	
If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change):	
if applicable, please state the proposed revised dratting (please nightight the change):	
Amend the definition of a "Transmission Licensee" to include the party equivalent to a Transmission	
Licensee in respect of the "non-GB Part" of a Prospective Interconnector	
•	
Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when	
making the revision - including, any potential implications for industry codes:	
Prospective Interconnectors face largely the same construction vicks as Prospective Consecting	
Prospective Interconnectors face largely the same construction risks as Prospective Generating	
CMUs and take on equivalent responsibility to obtain the relevant permissions and demonstrate that	
construction progress is being made in accordance with their submitted construction plan. In	
common with Prospective Generating CMUs the risk of delays to the construction and Energisation	
fo the Prospective CMU that are genuinely beyond the control of the owner- principally those that	
15 1115 1 1 05 peccario carac and are genumery beyond	the control of the owner principulty those that

relate to the connection to the relevant transmission or distribution network. However, while the treatment is equivalent in all other respects, Prospective Interconnector CMUs are the only category of CMU that have two connections with two separate network owners, and under the current rules only delays caused by one of those network owners based in GB are allowed for. There is little difference in either network owner however, it is clear that the Interconnector developer has no control over delays to the build programme of either network operator and yet only those delays at the GB end of the interconnector are allowed for under CM Rules. We believe this to be an undue discrimination, and also note that it could see costs rise for consumers, where a short delay to a network operators construction programme could see an otherwise competent capacity market agreement being terminated, so eroding short term security of supply and then seeing that capacity from being replaced by more expensive sources of capacity in subsequent auctions (noting that the provisions exist in CM Rules (rule 5.3.2(b)) that may also bar entry into certain future auctions for prospective CMUs that have not met the Substantial Completion Milestone).

Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):

Joshua Coomber / 07874010307 / Joshua.coomber@nationalgrid.com / National Grid