Energy Company Obligation (ECO) U-Value Consultation Questionnaire – Feb 16



for energy consumers

Background

The questions below relate to the consultation on requirements for over-writing U-values for cavity wall insulation measures which can be found on our website:

https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/eco2-consultation-requirements-overwriting-u-valuescavity-wall-insulation-measures

Our proposals consist of three main parts:

- a. introducing an upper limit for overwritten U-values,
- b. stipulating the evidence that we expect to be in place when a U-value is overwritten and how we expect inputs to be collected, and
- c. a regime to monitor these measures; we suggest three approaches for implementing monitoring.

Notes For Completion

Please complete all relevant sections of the document by selecting an answer for the question and then providing reasons/evidence for your response in the box provided. If you do not wish to answer a question please select 'N/A'. questionnaire should be completed in typeface and returned email eco.consultation@ofgem.gov.uk by close of play 7 March 2016.

Respondent Details

Organisation Name:	SOUTH COAST INSULATION SERVICES LTD
Completed By:	JIM BURTT
Contact Details:	jim.burtt@scisltd.co.uk

1. U-value Limit	
1.1 Do you agree that it the age bands B-K?	is unreasonable for the U-value of a cavity wall measure to exceed 1.6 W/m²K in premises in
C Strongly Agree	
O Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
AS THE MAJORITY	of ETT CAVITIES HAVE BEEN DONE THE REMAINING REQUIRE A MORE ACH AS DEFAULTS DO NOT ACCURATELY REFLECT THEIR CONSTRUCTION OR
1.2 Do you agree that w premises in age bands B	e should implement a limit of $1.6~\mathrm{W/m^2K}$ for overwritten U-values for cavity wall measures in -K?
C Strongly Agree	
○ Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
O Dont Know	
O N/A	
Please provide details ar	nd supporting evidence for your response below.
ACCURACY FOR THE I	REMAINING STOCK NEEDS TO REFLECT TRUE RETURNS FOR THE CLIENT.

2. Evidence Requirements
2.1 Do you agree that relevant inputs should be collected for the U-value calculation via an intrusive inspection, usin a borescope for example?
C Strongly Agree
O Neither Agree Nor Disagree
O Disagree
C Strongly Disagree
O Don't Know
O N/A
Please provide reasons for your response below. WIDTH OF CAVITY IF IMPORTANT FOR BOTH THE COMPLIANCE AND ACCURACY OF CALCULATION
BOROSCOPES SHOULD ALWAYS BE USED TO ASSESS CWI.
2.2 What types of evidence do you suggest would support the inputs used for a new U-value calculation?
Please provide reasons for your response below.
FORMS ARE CURRENTLY AVAILABLE AND IN USE AT PRESENT.
2.3 Do you agree that the types of evidence listed in paragraph 2.5 are practical to provide?
© Strongly Agree
○ Agree
O Neither Agree Nor Disagree
O Disagree
O Strongly Disagree
O Don't Know
O N/A
Please provide reasons for your response below.

2.4 Do you agree that th	ne evidence listed in paragraph 2.5 is sufficient to support an overwritten U-value?
Strongly Agree	
O Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	for your response below.
CURRENT COLLATION	N INFORMATION IS SUFFICIENT.
	he inputs for a U-value calculation should be collected by an independent person to increase acy of overwritten U-values for CWI measures?
C Strongly Agree	
• Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	for your response below.
OCDEA - QUALIFIED	AND COMPETENT.
	an independent person collecting the inputs for a U-value calculation would be practical to onsideration cost, time and customer journey implications?
Strongly Agree	

O Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor I	Disagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
	for your response below. ROPERTIES WHETHER THEY REQUIRE A U VALUE CALCULATION OR NOT.

3. Option 1 – Addit	ional Monitoring Questions
3.1 Do you agree that op	otion 1 would increase confidence in the accuracy of overwritten U-values for CWI measures?
Strongly Agree	
C Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
WITH ADDITIONAL M	ONITORING QUESTIONS AND A SET REQUIREMENT FOR EVIDENCE.
3.2 Do you agree that implications?	t option 1 would be practical to implement, taking into consideration cost and time
Strongly Agree	
Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
SYSTEMS AND QUALI	FIED PERSONNEL ALREADY IN PLACE.
3.3 Do you agree that a U-value inputs?	score monitoring agent is suitably qualified to answer the proposed questions relating to the

C Strongly Agree	
• Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
NEEDS TO BE OCDEA	/SAP ASSESSOR QUALIFIED TO MONITOR.
3.4 Do you agree that overwritten U-values are	the proposed additional score monitoring questions are appropriate for identifying where e incorrect?
C Strongly Agree	
O Agree	
• Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
QUESTIONS ARE FINE	BUT EVIDENCE NEEDS CLARIFICATION.
3.5 Are there any addit calculations?	cional questions that you think would help to identify inaccuracies in overwritten U-value
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
FIXED TEMPLATE FOR	EVIDENCE COLLECTION.
3.6 Can you please esti	mate how long you think it will take for these new questions to be implemented into your

systems?	
Please provide re	easons for your response below.
MAXIMUM 30 D	DAYS.
3.7 Do you forese	ee any issues if the questions were implemented during a monitoring quarter?
• Yes	
O No	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide re	easons for your response below.
ALL WORK IN S	SYSTEMS. DATE NEEDS AGREEING FOR SURVEYING NOT INSTALLING AND A 3 MONTH ALLOWED.

4 Oution 2 Once	ing Manitaging
4. Option 2 – Ongo	ling Monitoring
4.1 Do you agree that op	otion 2 would increase confidence in the accuracy of overwritten U-values for CWI measures?
C Strongly Agree	
• Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	for your response below.
5% RANDOM MONITO	DRING WORKS.
4.2. Day and a second than	
implications?	t option 2 would be practical to implement, taking into consideration cost and time
	t option 2 would be practical to implement, taking into consideration cost and time
implications?	t option 2 would be practical to implement, taking into consideration cost and time
implications? Strongly Agree	
C Strongly AgreeAgree	
implications?Strongly AgreeAgreeNeither Agree Nor D	
implications?Strongly AgreeAgreeNeither Agree Nor DDisagree	
 implications? Strongly Agree Agree Neither Agree Nor D Disagree Strongly Disagree 	
implications? C Strongly Agree Agree Neither Agree Nor D Disagree Strongly Disagree Don't Know N/A	
implications? Strongly Agree Agree Neither Agree Nor D Disagree Strongly Disagree Don't Know N/A Please provide reasons f AS ABOVE - 5% RANK	visagree

4.3 If we were to implement a new monitoring regime in order to verify the accuracy of overwritten U-values for CWI

measures, do you agree	with the sample size and reporting timeframes outlined in paragraph 2.12?
Strongly Agree	
○ Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
ACCEPTABLE	

5. Option 3 – Audit	Regime
5.1 Do you agree that op	otion 3 would increase confidence in the accuracy of overwritten U-values for CWI measures?
C Strongly Agree	
Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
Disagree	
C Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
р	
RANDOM REGULAR A	UDITS ALWAYS WORK BEST.
5.2 Do you agree that op	otion 3 would be practical to implement taking into consideration cost and time implications?
Strongly Agree	
O Agree	
O Neither Agree Nor D	isagree
O Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
O Don't Know	
O N/A	
Please provide reasons f	or your response below.
TOO ONEROUS ON A	LL PARTIES. RANDOM REGULAR AUDITS ALWAYS WORK BEST.

6. Additional Questions
6.1 Do you have concerns with U-values being overwritten for other ECO measure types?
Please provide details and supporting evidence for your response below. NO
6.2 If you do not agree with any of proposals outlined, could you please suggest an alternative approach which you consider would provide assurance that U-values are being accurately overwritten for CWI measures?
Please provide details and supporting evidence for your response below. AGREE WITH OPTION 1 - CLARITY OF EVIDENCE REQUIRED AND QUALIFIED OCDEA ASSESSMENT.
6.3 Do you agree that the proposals outlined above will enable U-values to continue to be overwritten for CWI measures where this is appropriate?
Please provide reasons for your response below.
CLEAR EVIDENCE AND QUALIFIED ASSESSMENT MEANS ACCURACY AT THE FRONT END.