|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **NGN Final Determination** | | |
| **FDQ Query** | | |
| **Reference number** | NGN \_FDQ\_8 | |
| **Document Name** | Core Document | |
| **Topic/Activity:** | 9. Allowances File  Out\_Disagg tab | |
| **Question:** | This doesn’t appear to be calculating NGNs allowance correctly. Rows 758 / 9 don’t match as they do for all other GDNs. It maybe that its calculating the allowance assuming we get the allowance at the 85th percentile. | |
| **Confidential** | No | |
| **FDQ raised by** | David Pearson | |
| **Date Sent** | 11/12/2020 | |
| **Ofgem Response** | Referring to [Allowances\_File\_GD\_noRPEs -> OutDisag]  The reason rows 758 and 759 do not match for NGN is because the modelled component of NGN’s costs are based on company submitted costs, rather than Ofgem efficient costs (i.e. NGN allowance are “ratcheted” down because company submitted is the lower of the two). NGN is the only network being ratcheted, as shown in [Cal\_Allow -> cell H40]. | |