|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cadent Final Determination** | | | |
| **FDQ Query** | | | |
|  | | **SQ Reference number** | Re CADENT\_FDQ\_ 7\_FQ | |
|  | | **Priority** | High – Technical Error | |
|  | | **Document Name** | FD modelling suite | |
|  | | **Topic/Activity:** | Modelling | |
|  | | **Question:** | Thank you for your response to CADENT\_FDQ\_007 and your offer to review our modelling runs to understand why we are unable to replicate the FD results.  We have updated our modelling run with the corrected FD files (receive on 22 December 2020) and unfortunately, we are still unable to replicate Ofgem’s results. Therefore, please find attached our modelling run for your review.  To confirm, the files we have used in our modelling run are now the corrected FD files (received on 22 December 2020 (and the newest synthetic cost file (received on 4 January 2021). In the update on 22 December 2020, not all of the modelling suite files were updated and therefore, our modelling run uses the original FD files posted to huddle for those files which have not been updated. If this is not the correct approach, please could you send us the complete modelling suite from the corrected modelling run in December. | |
|  | | **Confidential** | No | |
|  | | **FDQ raised by** | Kate Haycock | |
|  | | **Date Sent** | 5 January 2021 | |
|  | | **Response Due Date** | 8 January 2021 | |
|  | | **Attachments:** | | |
|  | | **Response to Cadent:**  We have identified two discrepancies:   1. In the Normalisation files, tab Inp\_Factors is empty. Please copy over the values from the published version. 2. In GD2\_RepexCostHubModel, tab Inp\_RepexBespokeTACosts, cell G16, please replace *So\_Rep\_01* with *TA - So\_Rep\_01*.   We also noticed that, in most Normalisation files, cell F10 in the cover page, which would normally report the file name, reports the file directory instead. | | |