|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Cadent Final Determination** | | | |
| **FDQ Query** | | | |
|  | | **SQ Reference number** | CADENT\_FDQ\_6 | |
|  | | **Priority** | Standard | |
|  | | **Document Name** | GD Licence Model | |
|  | | **Topic/Activity:** | RIIO-2 Funding Adjustment Rate ('sharing factor') | |
|  | | **Question:** | In the GD Licence Model, the London Network has a sharing factor of 51.00%, whereas our other 3 Networks (and Cadent as a whole) have a sharing factor of 50.00%.  The Annexes only refer to the Cadent (as a whole) sharing factor of 50.00%. Is the London sharing factor of 51.00% an error, and if so, will this be corrected in the StatCon / PCFM models? | |
|  | | **Confidential** | No | |
|  | | **FDQ raised by** | Drew Sambridge | |
|  | | **Date Sent** | 10/12/20 | |
|  | | **Response Due Date** | 14/12/20 | |
|  | | **Attachments:** | | |
|  | | **Response to Cadent:**   * This is not an error, it is a terminology point (incentive rate vs sharing factor). If you trace the above LiMo cell reference in I217, you’ll see in row 784 the sharing factor is defined as 1 minus the incentive rate. For London this is 1 minus 49% = 51%. * A breakdown of network incentive rates is also available in the GD2\_AllowancesFile, tab Cal\_BPI, rows 130 to 137. | | |