

RIIO-ED2 Safety, Resilience and Reliability Working Group (SRRWG) – 14th October 2020

From: Ofgem

Date: 14th October

Time: 10am to 1pm

Location:

Teleconference

Present

Ofgem

UK Power Networks (UKPN)

Western Power Distribution (WPD)

Northern Powergrid (NPG)

Scottish Power Energy Networks (SPEN)

Electricity North West (ENWL)

Scottish and Southern Energy Networks (SSEN)

1. Interaction with BPDTs

1.1. The ENA presented on the interaction between BPDT's and NARMS outlining proposals for NARM related tables. The ENA commented that the Cost & Volume (CV) 7,8 and 9 will require some changes aligning the tables with NARM. The ENA highlighted that some refurbishment activities do not contribute to delivery of NARM and for the activity to contribute to NARM, it needs to be defined in the Regulatory and Instructions Guidance (RIGs).

1.2. ENWL commented that it would be sensible for the NARMS tables to be included in the BPDTs. A couple of DNOs questioned the timing of submitting the NARMS table. Ofgem stated that the expectation is for a complete submission within the draft BPDTs.

Action: DNOs to outline issues with compliance on the Draft BPDT

2. Role of Information Gathering Plans (IGPs)

2.1. Ofgem

3. Review of ED1 performance against Network Asset Secondary Deliverables (NASDs)

- 3.1. Ofgem provided an overview of ED1 performance to date against NASDs, including a summary of risk point movements for all DNOs, a breakdown of the impact of data cleansing, deterioration, and material changes, and a summary of unit costs for risk point delivery.
- 3.2. A number of DNOs commented that it would be useful to present some of this data against forecasts. This would help identify where performance was in line with DNO forecast, or if there were reporting issues etc. Activity mix and intervention mix could also skew these numbers and make it difficult to compare DNO performance.
- 3.3. ENWL noted the importance of the figure on slide 39, in terms of its role in the ED1 close out process, with the revealed unit cost for risk point delivery compared to the allowed unit cost per risk point.

4. Actions, Next Steps, AOB

- 4.1. SSEN provided an update on the CNAIM v2.0 process and timings, following the completion of the ENA consultation process. The ENA intend to provide a draft report to Ofgem by the end of October, highlighting any issues raised through the consultation. Ambition is to get CNAIM v2.0 finalised so as to feed into the DNOs Business Plans. Key issue to be addressed is on the agreement of the reference costs.
- 4.2. Ofgem noted the ambition to get CNAIM v2.0 finalised as early in the process as possible, to avoid the need for rebasing etc. and that the importance of agreeing on the input reference costs.
- 4.3. **Action: DNOs to provide update on proposed CNAIM v2.0 reference costs at next SRRWG on 12th November or at an appropriate NEDWG.**
- 4.4. SSEN also provided an update on the progression of the Good Practice Guide. ENA are proposing to 'park' further work on the Good Practice Guide until after the New Year as

a result of prioritising the development of business plan critical elements of the framework, such as CNAIM v2.0 etc.

4.5. Action: DNOs to provide an updated timeline for the development of the Good Practice Guide.

4.6. The next SRRWG – NARM will be on the 12th November.