|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Network Innovation Competition 2020 Supplementary Answer form | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Project Name | Constellation | | |
| Question number | #12 | Pro forma section | 10.2.6 |
| Question date | 08/09/2020 | Answer date | 10/09/2020 |
| Question summary | Please amend figure 30 (page 59) to show cumulative as well as annual carbon benefits (comparable to figure 29) and confirm or otherwise that the difference between carbon benefits cited on page 15 (final section of Table 2), page 49 and the presentation (e.g. slide 7) is due to rounding. | | |

## 

## Answer (please retain document formatting and do not exceed 2 pages unless otherwise agreed with Ofgem)


We have updated Figure 30 to show cumulative as well as annual carbon benefits and will transfer the change in FSP as part of the resubmission. 

Figure 1 - Carbon benefit across GB. Please note that the benefit from Method 2 - Adaptive protection is due to released capacity, while the benefit from Method 1 and Wide area protection are due to protected capacity

We confirm the carbon benefit cited in the table on page 49 is incorrect and the correct one, 19.1m tCO2, is as per page 15. The correct carbon benefit split per Method is as presented in the presentation for the first bilateral meeting with the Expert Panel. We apologise for the confusion this has caused and we will correct this in the resubmission.