|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | |
| **Network Queries** | |
| **Network Reference number** | NGN\_DDQ\_5\_Repex Steel |
| **Licence** | Gas Distribution |
| **Topic/Activity:** | Steel mains |
| **Question:** | Our proposals for Steel Mains >2" have been disallowed in full. In the NGN Annex section 3.23 you have stated that this is because "NGN did not present detailed sensitivity analysis of assumptions underpinning the the needs case. We did not think there was sufficient clarity on how different elements of the proposed workloads contribute to the aggregate-level benefits presented in the CBAs". Please could you provide further details of your expectations in these areas and advise where our proposal was considered deficient compared with WWU's submission, which was allowed in full in this area. |
| **DDQ raised by** | Tony Pearson |
| **Date query raised** | 23/07/2020 |
| **Expected response date** | 29/07/2020 |
| **Ofgem Response:**  As discussed in the engineering bilateral meetings a number of the CBAs included information at an aggregate level for programme of works and this made comprehensive assessment of the underlying workloads challenging. | |
| **Attachments:** | |