|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | |
| **Network Queries** | |
| **Network Reference number** | WWU \_DDQ\_50 |
| **Licence** | DN |
| **Topic/Activity:** | Totex value reconciliation – non-regressed costs |
| **Question:** | Please treat as a priority question.  WWU\_DDQ\_13 response said: **Non-regressed costs.** The relevant information is compiled in the Non-Regression file we shared.  Follow up question: Could you provide us with the underlying models and results that would go into the sheet “Cal\_ModelledCostsAdj” in the [7] CostAssessment file (row 59 onwards). This data is also missing in the Non-Regression file. The Non-Regression file does not seem to have a placeholder for Business Support costs even though these are marked as non-regression costs in the Cost Assessment file (in the bottom-up modelling). Could you confirm whether your bottom-up modelling of Business Support costs was done using regression or non-regression analysis? |
| **Confidential** | No |
| **DDQ raised by** | Wales & West Utilities |
| **Date query raised** | 10/08/2020 |
| **Expected response date** | 17/08/2020 |
| **Ofgem Response:**  The activities listed in “Cal\_ModelledCostsAdj” in the [7] Cost Assessment file (row 59 onwards) have all been included in our totex regression, which is also why the corresponding costs were not included in the Non-Regression file. The same applies to Business Support costs, which were also included in our regression modelling. | |
| **Attachments:** | |