|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Cadent Draft Determination** | | |
| **DD Query** | | |
| **SQ Reference number** | CADENT\_DDQ\_12 | |
| **Document Name** | Technical Annex – RIIO-GD2 Engineering Justification Paper Reviews Annex (QEM) | |
| **Topic/Activity:** | EJP Annex – Table page 23, line ‘pipeline crossing’ | |
| **Question:** | To help us understand the proposed change in work volumes, please provide additional details behind the Determination statement for Pipeline Crossings of “Accept (Modify Volume)”.  Please quantify what the proposed modified volume is, including a breakdown of changes to the different types of intervention.  Additionally, please provide an explanation of why the volume of crossing interventions has been changed. | |
| **DDQ raised by** | Simon Brown | |
| **Date Sent** |  | |
| **Response Due Date** | 20/07/20 | |
| **Response Received** |  | |
| The EJP proposes that pipe remediation interventions should be undertaken on all crossings classified with Health Index HI3 to HI5 inclusive, where current ADM provisions are not sufficient. The draft determination report (ref: Appendix 1) recommends pipeline remediation interventions are only undertaken on HI4 and HI5, given that HI3 classification is defined as “Some deterioration in condition or reliability. Maintainability issues that require monitoring and possible replacement in a 5 to 10 year timeframe”. | | |
| Attachments: | | |