|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | | |
| **Network Query** | | |
| **Network Reference number** | CADENT\_DDQ\_54 | |
| **Licence** |  | |
| **Topic/Activity:** | North London Service Density | |
| **Question:** | In the Cadent Appendix (3.30), with regards to service volume adjustments Ofgem state:”… The adjustment resulted in us not including 41,187 service interventions associated with Tier 1 mains, 389 associated with steel mains <=2" and 28 associated with Tier 1 diversions.”.  However, in Table 38 of the cadent appendix the service volumes for London are shown as:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | |  | Submitted | Modelled | | Tier 1 Lon | 210,457 | 148,892 |   The difference been the modelled and submitted in table 38 is 61,565.  Can Ofgem please confirm which of the service reductions is correct (41,187 as per the text or 61,565 as per the table) and confirm if there is any subsequent impact on modelled allowances. | |
| **Date query raised** |  | |
| **Date Sent** |  | |
| **Expected Response Date** |  | |
| **Response Received** |  | |
| **OfGEM Response:**  Paragraph 3.30 refers to the specific adjustment made only to Lon in relation to the engineering review, which found that the submitted increase in service workloads was not justified. Table 38 includes the total adjustments, including adjustments for the removal of dynamic growth, of which the adjustment referenced in 3.30 is a subset. | | |
| **Attachments:** | | |