|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | |
| **Network Queries** | |
| **Network Reference number** | NGN\_DDQ\_4\_Repex Diversions |
| **Licence** | Gas Distribution |
| **Topic/Activity:** | Diversions |
| **Question:** | Our proposals for costs and workloads for repex Diversions have been downturned by over 50%. In the NGN Annex section 3.33 you have stated that this is because our proposal in the BPDT was "… inconsistent with the supporting commentary in the Business Plan". Please could you clarify where this inconsistency is. |
| **DDQ raised by** | Tony Pearson |
| **Date query raised** | 23/07/2020 |
| **Expected response date** | 29/07/2020 |
| **Ofgem Response:**  On page 170 of the BP the annual average workloads from RIIO-GD1 to RIIO-GD2 imply an increase in average annual diversions abandoned workloads of 2.1% (9.6km/y in RIIO-GD1 to 9.8km/y in RIIO-GD2) for rechargeable diversions and 9.4% (3.2km/y in RIIO-GD1 and 3.5km/y in RIIO-GD2). The diversions workloads submitted in the BPDTs often exceeded the Business Plan quoted increases when comparing RIIO-GD1 against RIIO-GD2. | |
| **Attachments:** | |