|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | |
| **Network Queries** | |
| **Network Reference number** | SGN\_DDQ\_Q41 |
| **Licence** | SGN |
| **Topic/Activity:** | SIU Capex |
| **Question:** | Relating to Draft Determinations - draft\_determinations\_-\_sgn\_annex.pdf – Table 27  Can we have an explanation of changes to allowances in the SIU Capex submission?  From the latest models we can identify what appears to be the removal of the replacement atmospheric vapouriser (The Technical Assessment model [5], tab Out\_TAModCosts gives a total of £14.1 million which equates to the BPDT submission of £15.07 million minus the Replacement Atmospheric Vaporiser project of £0.97 million, additionally the sum of £14.1 million can be found in the Post Analysis model [8] but) but there is no confirmation that this is the case or explanation as to why? |
| **DDQ raised by** | Danny Symes |
| **Date query raised** | 23/07/2020 |
| **Expected response date** | 30/07/2020 |
| **Ofgem Response:**  We assessed all SIU capex costs outside of our totex benchmark. Based on engineering review, we have proposed to disallow the Replacement Atmospheric Vaporiser project (£0.96m). We have proposed to allow the remaining £14.1m of SIU capex, which is why it re-appears in the post analysis file. | |
| **Attachments:** | |