|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | |
| **Network Queries** | |
| **Network Reference number** | SGN\_DDQ\_Q49 (Medium Priority) |
| **Licence** | SGN |
| **Topic/Activity:** | TA-SO\_Rep\_01 |
| **Question:** | Relating to model (2) Normalisation\_So (Cal\_Repex - Exclusions table).  We require clarification of the treatment TA-SO\_Rep\_01?  They appear to have been removed under steel >2" line 21 and also under TA-SO\_Rep-01 line 74.  We are unable to accurately reconcile and provide an informed response to the DD, and believe there will have been a potential impact on the regression assessment and baseline allowances. |
| **DDQ raised by** | Danny Symes |
| **Date query raised** | 23/07/2020 |
| **Expected response date** | 30/07/2020 |
| **Ofgem Response:**  Thank you for bringing this to our attention. Upon reviewing the model ‘(2) Normalisation\_So’, sheet ‘Cal\_Repex’ we have noticed, as you point out, that the data submitted in cell AG21 should be £6.35m less with the correct value being an adjustment of £-5.48m rather than £-11.83m. We will apply this amendment ahead of the publication of Final Determinations. | |
| **Attachments:** | |