|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Determination Publication** | |
| **Network Queries** | |
| **Network Reference number** | WWU\_DDQ\_11 |
| **Licence** | DN |
| **Topic/Activity:** | IT Business Plan Capex |
| **Question:** | Atkins reference on Page 64 of “Draft Determinations - IT and Telecoms Assessment Annex (Atkins)” says that for WWU “Much of the expected detail and information is missing, replaced by ‘redacted for commercial in confidence reasons”. However, this refers to the published information available on our website which was part redacted as per our redaction statement.  A full breakdown of non-redacted information was provided to Ofgem via the huddle and this included a fully populated Table 3.05 “Other Capex”. Please can you confirm that non-redacted information was provided in full to Atkins for assessment? And if so, can you please explain why they are saying that information was not provided?” |
| **Confidential** | [Yes/No] |
| **DDQ raised by** | Wales & West Utilities |
| **Date query raised** | 23/07/2020 |
| **Expected response date** | 30/07/2020 |
| **Ofgem Response:**  As clarified in the IT bilateral on the 10th of August 2020, our consultants assessed the relevant parts of Table 3.05 of the BPDTs, which were not redacted. The consultants’ report refers to Annex 9E, which was fully redacted. Other relevant information was received in full. | |
| **Attachments:** | |