

---

**SCHEDULE XX**

Change Management

---

Version: XX                      Effective Date:                      TBC

Domestic Suppliers	Mandatory
Non-Domestic Suppliers	Mandatory
Gas Transporters	Mandatory
Distribution Network Operators	Mandatory
DCC	Mandatory
[Other Users	Mandatory]

*Change History*

Version Number	Implementation Date	Reason for Change
0.1	[TBC]	Version agreed for industry consultation

*Contents Table*

<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Heading</b>	<b>Page</b>
1	Introduction .....	4
2	Change Paths.....	4
3	Pre-Assessment Process .....	5
4	Submitting Change Proposals .....	5
5	Change Register .....	7
6	Acceptance of a Change Proposal.....	7
7	Urgent Change Proposals.....	8
8	Initial Report to the REC Panel.....	8
9	Change Proposal Timetable .....	10
10	Expert Group Consideration .....	10
11	Withdrawal Process .....	11
12	Alternative Change Proposals.....	12
13	Report Phase .....	13
14	Change Proposal Vote.....	14
15	Following a Change Proposal Vote .....	16
16	Appeal of Self-Governance Changes.....	17
17	Other Authority Determinations.....	17
18	Significant Code Reviews .....	18

## **1 Introduction**

- 1.1 This REC Schedule sets out the process for changing this Code. A change to this Code may only be made in accordance with this REC Schedule.
- 1.2 Each change to this Code must commence with a Change Proposal made in accordance with the provisions of this REC Schedule.

## **2 Change Paths**

- 2.1 Each Change Proposal will follow one of two change paths (referred to as a Change Path); being either:
  - (a) an Authority-Approved Change; or
  - (b) a Self-Governance Change.
- 2.2 The Change Path to be followed by a Change Proposal will depend upon the nature of the changes proposed in the Change Proposal.
- 2.3 Authority-Approved Changes are for those Change Proposals which satisfy one or more of the following criteria:
  - (a) the changes are likely to have a significant impact on existing or future Energy Consumers;
  - (b) the changes are likely to have a material impact on competition in the supply of gas or electricity in Great Britain;
  - (c) the changes are likely to discriminate in their effects between one Party (or class of Parties) and another Party (or class of Parties);
  - (d) the changes are likely to have a material effect on the arrangements set out in Clauses 5 to 9 (inclusive) of the main body of this Code and/or this REC Schedule; or
  - (e) the Change Proposal has been raised by the Authority or as a result of a direction by the Authority.
- 2.4 The Code Manager shall make a recommendation to the REC Panel (under Paragraph 8 (Initial Report to the REC Panel)) as to which Change Path a Change Proposal should follow. The Code Manager may seek an informal view from the Authority regarding the most appropriate

Change Path.

- 2.5 The REC Panel's determination of whether a Change Proposal is an Authority-Approved Change or a Self-Governance Change shall be conclusive unless and until any contrary determination is made by the Authority (at its own volition or following the application of a Party or the Consumer Representative).

### **3 Pre-Assessment Process**

- 3.1 The REC Panel shall establish a process to allow potential changes to this Code to be considered prior to a formal Change Proposal being submitted (known as the Pre-Assessment Process).
- 3.2 Prior to submitting a Change Proposal, Parties are encouraged to utilise the Pre-Assessment Process to confirm the need for a change and to develop the solution.
- 3.3 The Code Manager shall manage the Pre-Assessment Process.
- 3.4 The Central Service Providers shall provide such reasonable assistance as the Code Manager may request from time to time in considering potential changes that are subject to the Pre-Assessment Process. Such assistance shall include each Central Service Provider providing (free of charge) initial views on the potential need for changes to its Central Data Service which would arise as a result of the potential changes.
- 3.5 The REC Panel may from time to time establish Sub-Committees to consider one or more of the potential changes that are subject to the Pre-Assessment Process.
- 3.6 Where common themes arise in relation to the subject areas of the potential changes that are subject to the Pre-Assessment Process, the REC Panel shall establish a standing Sub-Committee for each such subject area.

### **4 Submitting Change Proposals**

- 4.1 A Change Proposal may be submitted by any of the following persons (referred to as a Proposer):
- (a) a Party;
  - (b) the Consumer Representative;
  - (c) the REC Panel (but only in respect of [TBC]);

- (d) the Code Manager (but only in respect of [TBC]);
  - (e) a person representing the interests of such category of persons as may be designated in writing for this purpose by the Authority from time to time; or
  - (f) the Authority or a Party acting at the direction of the Authority (but only in respect of a Significant Code Review, or to comply with Law or give effect to the decision of a Competent Authority), referred to as an Authority Change Proposal.
- 4.2 The Code Manager shall from time to time determine a prescribed form of Change Proposal in accordance with best practice and consistent with Paragraph 4.4. The Code Manager shall publish the prescribed form on the Website.
- 4.3 A Proposer must submit a Change Proposal to the Code Manager using the prescribed form.
- 4.4 Each Change Proposal must contain the following information:
- (a) the name of the Proposer;
  - (b) the name and contact details of a representative of the Proposer who will act as a principal point of contact in relation to the Change Proposal;
  - (c) the date on which the Change Proposal is submitted;
  - (d) a description in sufficient detail of the nature of the proposed change to this Code and of its intended purpose and effect;
  - (e) a statement as to which parts of this Code the Proposer considers will be impacted by the Change Proposal;
  - (f) a statement of the business case and reasons why the Proposer believes that the change should be made;
  - (g) a statement of whether, in the opinion of the Proposer, the Change Proposal should be progressed as an Authority-Approved Change (in which case, the reasons why the change should be made must be outlined by reference to the REC Objectives);
  - (h) a statement of whether the Proposer considers that the Change Proposal should be treated as an Urgent Change Proposal (and, if so, the reasons why); and
  - (i) the proposed implementation date or such other timetabling information as the

Proposer considers to be relevant to any timetabling and/or prioritisation decision that may be taken by the REC Panel.

## **5 Change Register**

- 5.1 The Code Manager shall establish and maintain a register of all current and past Change Proposals from time to time (referred to as the Change Register).
- 5.2 The Code Manager shall determine the content of the Change Register in accordance with best practice. The Change Register must include the following:
- (a) details of each Change Proposal (including the name of the Proposer, the date of the Change Proposal and a brief description of the Change Proposal);
  - (b) whether each Change Proposal has been classified as an Urgent Change Proposal;
  - (c) the Change Path to which the Change Proposal is subject; and
  - (d) the current status and progress of each Change Proposal and the anticipated dates for voting and implementation.
- 5.3 The Code Manager shall publish the Change Register on the Website.

## **6 Acceptance of a Change Proposal**

- 6.1 Except in the case of an Authority Change Proposal, the Code Manager may reject a Change Proposal if it considers that the Change Proposal:
- (a) is not sufficiently precise, or is ambiguous or incomplete;
  - (b) is not submitted by a person capable of submitting a Change Proposal in accordance with Paragraph 4.1;
  - (c) does not contain the information required by Paragraph 4.4;
  - (d) proposes matters which are outside the scope of this Code; and/or
  - (e) is not materially different from a Change Proposal that has already been submitted and which has not yet been voted on.
- 6.2 Where the Code Manager does not reject a Change Proposal, the Code Manager shall either:
- (a) send the Change Proposal to the REC Panel; or

- (b) if the Code Manager considers that the Change Proposal could be improved, offer to work with the Proposer to develop the Change Proposal before it is sent to the REC Panel (in which case, the Code Manager shall, as the Proposer prefers, either send the Change Proposal to the REC Panel in its current form, or work with the Proposer to improve the Change Proposal before it is sent to the REC Panel).

6.3 When rejecting a Change Proposal (or, in the case of an Authority Change Proposal, preparing its initial report to the REC Panel), the Code Manager may recommend any amendment and/or additional information that ought to have been provided.

## **7 Urgent Change Proposals**

7.1 Whether or not a Change Proposal should be treated as an Urgent Change Proposal shall be determined by reference to the criteria for urgency published by the Authority from time to time.

7.2 The REC Panel's determination of whether a Change Proposal is an Urgent Change Proposal shall be conclusive unless and until any contrary determination is made by the Authority (at its own volition or following the application of a Party or the Consumer Representative).

7.3 Where a Change Proposal is an Urgent Change Proposal, the Authority may, either on the application of a Party or of its own volition:

- (a) direct that an alternative timetable applies in place of that set by the REC Panel; or
- (b) determine that it is no longer to be treated as an Urgent Change Proposal.

## **8 Initial Report to the REC Panel**

8.1 For each Change Proposal which the Code Manager decides to send to the REC Panel in accordance with Paragraph 6 (Acceptance of a Change Proposal), the Code Manager shall prepare and send at the same time an accompanying report setting out the Code Manager's initial comments on the Change Proposal.

8.2 In the case of a Change Proposal expressed by the Proposer to be urgent, the Code Manager shall determine the time period within which the Change Proposal (and initial report) shall be considered by the REC Panel, taking into account any time period requested in the Change Proposal.

8.3 Each Change Proposal (and initial report) shall be considered by the REC Panel:



- (a) within 25 Working Days after the proposal's submission; and
  - (b) in the case of a Change Proposal expressed by the Proposer to be urgent, within the time period determined by the Code Manager in accordance with Paragraph 8.2.
- 8.4 The initial report provided by the Code Manager on each Change Proposal which it sends to the REC Panel shall include:
  - (a) the Code Manager's initial assessment of the likely effect of the proposal for the assistance of the REC Panel; and
  - (b) the Code Manager's recommendation and advice on all the matters which the REC Panel must determine under Paragraph 8.5.
- 8.5 For each Change Proposal (and accompanying report) which the Code Manager sends to the REC Panel, the REC Panel shall determine:
  - (a) which Change Path the Change Proposal is to follow;
  - (b) whether the Change Proposal should be issued to the Report Phase or referred to Expert Group Consideration (noting that complex changes should have been refined in the Pre-Assessment Process, so that referral to Expert Group Consideration should be an exceptional occurrence);
  - (c) in the case of proposals which are to be referred for Expert Group Consideration, whether it is to be referred to a standing Sub-Committee or whether a new Sub-Committee needs to be established (and, in the case of new Sub-Committees, the terms of reference for that Sub-Committee);
  - (d) in the case of proposals which are to be referred to Expert Group Consideration, whether the Sub-Committee should carry out an initial consultation to assess support for the Change Proposal prior to the commissioning of an impact assessment by the Central Service Providers;
  - (e) whether it is necessary to procure any external support for the development and/or assessment of the Change Proposal;
  - (f) whether the Change Proposal should be treated as an Urgent Change Proposal; and

- (g) the timetable to be followed in progressing the Change Proposal.

## **9 Change Proposal Timetable**

- 9.1 In determining the timetable to be followed in respect of each Change Proposal, the REC Panel shall:
  - (a) in the case of Authority Change Proposals and Urgent Change Proposals, determine a timetable consistent with any relevant timetable issued by the Authority; and
  - (b) (subject to (a) above) determine a reasonable timetable, having regard to the Change Proposal's complexity, importance relative to other ongoing Change Proposals and time-sensitivity.
- 9.2 The REC Panel may, whether at its own initiation or on the application of a Party, determine amendments to the timetable applying from time to time to each Change Proposal; provided that any such amendment is consistent with the REC Panel's obligations under Paragraph 9.1.
- 9.3 The REC Panel, the Code Manager, any relevant Sub-Committee, the Central Service Providers and the Parties shall each (insofar as within its reasonable control) complete any and all of the respective tasks assigned to them in respect of a Change Proposal in accordance with the timetable applying to that Change Proposal.

## **10 Expert Group Consideration**

- 10.1 This Paragraph 10 describes the process referred to as Expert Group Consideration. Expert Group Consideration only applies in exceptional circumstances, where so determined in accordance with Paragraph 8 (Initial Report to the REC Panel).
- 10.2 Expert Group Consideration shall be undertaken by the Sub-Committee to which the REC Panel assigns the task, comprised of individuals with relevant expertise (referred to as an Expert Group).
- 10.3 Subject to Paragraph 10.4, the purpose of Expert Group Consideration is to:
  - (a) evaluate the Change Proposal and support the Proposer in developing and refining the Change Proposal and the business case for the Change Proposal;
  - (b) in the case of Authority-Approved Changes, assess and report upon whether the Change Proposal better facilitates the REC Objectives;

- (c) where necessary, facilitate the development of Alternative Change Proposals;
  - (d) where appropriate, consult with Parties and other interested persons on the Change Proposal; and
  - (e) where appropriate, ask the Code Manager to commission an impact assessment by the Central Service Providers in accordance with Paragraph 11 (Central Service Provider Impact Assessments).
- 10.4 The role of each Expert Group shall be subject to its terms of reference set by the REC Panel. Without limitation, such terms of reference may specify a limit on the costs which the Expert Group can incur before it must seek additional authorisation from the REC Panel.
- 10.5 In the case of Authority-Approved Changes, the terms of reference for the Expert Group shall be subject to change in accordance with any directions given by the Authority.
- 10.6 At the end of each Expert Group Consideration, the Code Manager shall produce a draft Change Report which has been approved by the Expert Group, and which shall be submitted to the Report Phase.

## **11 Central Service Provider Impact Assessments**

- 11.1 Where requested to do so by an Expert Group acting in accordance with its terms of reference, the Code Manager shall commission an impact assessment by one or more of the Central Service Providers.
- 11.2 Where so requested by the Code Manager, a Central Service Provider shall:
- (a) provide (free of charge) initial views on the potential need for changes to its Central Data Service which would arise as a result of the Change Proposal's approval, and confirmation of the cost of providing a detailed analysis (to be provided within [7] Working Days of the request); or
  - (b) provide a detailed analysis on the need for changes to its Central Data Service which would arise as a result of the Change Proposal's approval, and (if so) confirmation of the likely cost of such changes and of the time period required for the design, build and delivery of such changes (subject to a price and timetable agreed between the Expert Group, which price shall be paid by RECCo).
- 11.3 The performance of the Central Service Providers under this Paragraph 11 shall be subject to

Performance Standards.

## **12 Withdrawal Process**

- 12.1 The Proposer may withdraw support for a Change Proposal on notice to the Code Manager at any time.
- 12.2 As soon as is reasonably practicable after receiving such notice, the Code Manager shall notify the Parties that the Proposer has withdrawn support for the Change Proposal and shall update the Change Register accordingly.
- 12.3 Where, within 10 Working Days of the Code Manager issuing a withdrawal notice, the Code Manager receives notice from any person who would have been entitled in accordance with Paragraph 4 to have raised the Change Proposal that is subject to the withdrawal notice that they are prepared to adopt that Change Proposal, that person shall become the Proposer for the Change Proposal.
- 12.4 Where the Code Manager does not receive a notice from a person wishing to adopt the Change Proposal, the Change Proposal shall be withdrawn and shall not be progressed.
- 12.5 Where one or more Authority Change Proposals have been raised, the Authority may issue a direction under this Paragraph 12.5 that requires the withdrawal of those Authority Change Proposals and of any related Alternative Change Proposals. Where the Authority so directs:
- (a) the Significant Code Review Phase shall re-commence; and
  - (b) such Change Proposals shall be deemed to have been withdrawn under this Paragraph 12 and shall not be capable of being adopted under Paragraph 12.3.

## **13 Alternative Change Proposals**

- 13.1 Any person which has the right to raise a Change Proposal as set out in Paragraph 4 (Submitting Change Proposals) can raise an alternative proposal at any stage prior to the Change Report for the original Change Proposal being issued for voting (each of which is referred to as an Alternative Change Proposal).
- 13.2 Each Alternative Change Proposal shall be assessed with the same rigour as the original Change Proposal.
- 13.3 Subject to Paragraphs 13.5 and 13.6, each Alternative Change Proposal shall be subject to the

same process as any other Change Proposal (and, except where the context otherwise requires, references in this Code to Change Proposals shall be deemed to include reference to its Alternative Change Proposal(s)).

- 13.4 There is no restriction on the number of Alternative Change Proposals that can be raised in relation to a Change Proposal.
- 13.5 The Code Manager may reject Alternative Change Proposals that are not substantively different from the related Change Proposal or other related Alternative Change Proposals.
- 13.6 Voting on the original Change Proposal and its Alternative Change Proposals shall be carried out at the same time, and votes shall be cast on the basis of an order of preference in accordance with a preferential voting scheme determined by the Code Manager. For the purpose of such votes, the mechanism set out in Paragraph 15 (Change Proposal Vote) shall apply subject to such modifications as are necessary to give effect to such preferential voting scheme.

## **14 Report Phase**

- 14.1 Save for those exceptional circumstances to which Expert Group Consideration applies, Change Proposals will progress from initial consideration under Paragraph 8 (Initial Report to the REC Panel) to the Report Phase (as described in this Paragraph 14).
- 14.2 In the Report Phase, the Code Manager shall prepare a written report on the Change Proposal (referred to as the Change Report).
- 14.3 The Change Report for each Change Proposal shall set out:
  - (a) a description and analysis of the Change Proposal;
  - (b) the proposed text to change this Code in order to give effect to the Change Proposal;
  - (c) the proposed implementation date(s) for implementation of the Change Proposal;
  - (d) for Self-Governance Changes, the business case for the Change Proposal;
  - (e) for Authority-Approved Changes, an assessment of the Change Proposal against the REC Objectives;
  - (f) where relevant, the assessment of the Central Service Providers as to whether implementation of the Change Proposal would require changes to the Central Data

Services, and (if so) the likely cost of such changes, and the time period required for the design, build and delivery of the changes; and

- (g) where relevant, a summary of the outcome of any consultation undertaken as part of the Expert Group Consideration and/or under Paragraph 14.4.

14.4 The Code Manager shall (in accordance with any direction of the REC Panel) determine whether, as part of the Report Phase, a draft of the Change Report should be subject to consultation with Parties and other interested persons. Any such consultation may be for 10 Working Days or 30 Working Days (as determined by the Code Manager in accordance with any direction of the REC Panel).

14.5 The Code Manager shall undertake any consultation required under Paragraph 14.4. Any such consultation shall provide the ability for each Party to give an indication of whether or not it is in favour of the Change Proposal being approved.

14.6 The Change Report for each Change Proposal shall be issued to the REC Panel and each Party at least 5 Working Days prior to the Change Voting Date.

## **15 Change Proposal Vote**

### **[Option 1: vote by Parties]**

15.1 In respect of each Change Proposal, the Code Manager shall convene a meeting of the Parties to vote on the Change Proposal on its Change Voting Date (referred to as a Change Meeting).

15.2 At the discretion of the Code Manager, such meetings may be held purely as remote meetings via electronic attendance. The Code Manager shall ensure that each Party that wishes to attend a Change Meeting can do so (either electronically or, if there is to be a physical meeting, in person). The Proposer (if not a Party) may also attend.

15.3 The Code Manager shall make arrangements such that, each Party which does not attend a Change Meeting can cast a vote to approve or reject the Change Proposal in advance of that Change Meeting. A Party which casts its vote in this way does so with a knowledge and understanding of Paragraph 15.5.

15.4 The Code Manager shall chair the Change Meeting, and may allow those attending the Change Meeting to express their opinion on the Change Proposal.

15.5 Typographical corrections may be made to the Change Proposal at the Change Meeting

where approved by the Code Manager.

- 15.6 Each Party attending the Change Meeting may cast a vote to approve or reject the Change Proposal.
- 15.7 Each Party which casts a vote on whether to approve or reject a Change Proposal shall vote on the basis of its judgment, made in good faith, as to whether or not:
- (a) in the case of Authority-Approved Changes, approving the Change Proposal better facilitates the REC Objectives than not changing this Code; or
  - (b) in the case of Self-Governance Changes, the business case for approving the Change has been made.
- 15.8 Each Party which casts a vote on a Change Proposal must record the reason for its vote (based on the criteria above).
- 15.9 A vote under this Paragraph 15 will be a vote to approve the Change Proposal where [TBC].
- 15.10 Where the threshold required by Paragraph 15.9 is not met, then the vote under this Paragraph 15 will be a vote to reject the Change Proposal.

**[Option 2: vote by REC Panel]**

- 15.11 In respect of each Change Proposal, the Code Manager shall convene a meeting of the REC Panel (or any Sub-Committee established by the REC Panel for such purpose) to vote on the Change Proposal on its Change Voting Date.
- 15.12 Typographical corrections may be made to the Change Proposal at the relevant REC Panel meeting where approved by the Code Manager.
- 15.13 Each REC Panel Member attending the relevant REC Panel meeting may cast a vote to approve or reject the Change Proposal.
- 15.14 Each REC Panel Member who casts a vote on whether to approve or reject a Change Proposal shall vote on the basis of their judgment, made in good faith, as to whether or not:
- (a) in the case of Authority-Approved Changes, approving the Change Proposal better facilitates the REC Objectives than not changing this Code; or
  - (b) in the case of Self-Governance Changes, the business case for approving the Change

has been made.

- 15.15 Each REC Panel Member which casts a vote on a Change Proposal must record the reason for their vote (based on the criteria above).
- 15.16 A vote under this Paragraph 15 will be a vote to approve the Change Proposal where [TBC].
- 15.17 Where the threshold required by Paragraph 15.16 is not met, then the vote under this Paragraph 15 will be a vote to reject the Change Proposal.

## **16 Following a Change Proposal Vote**

- 16.1 Within 1 Working Day following the Change Voting Date, the Code Manager shall notify all Parties of the decision and update the Change Register.
- 16.2 The Code Manager shall include within the Change Register the reasons given by those who cast votes, and an analysis of the majority view as to whether:
  - (a) in the case of Authority-Approved Changes, approving the Change Proposal better facilitates the REC Objectives than not changing this Code; or
  - (b) in the case of Self-Governance Changes, the business case for approving the Change has been made.
- 16.3 The effect of the vote under Paragraph 15 (Change Proposal Vote) in respect of each Change Proposal shall be:
  - (a) in the case of Authority-Approved Changes, a recommendation to the Authority that the Change Proposal be approved or rejected; or
  - (b) in the case of Self-Governance Changes, approval or rejection of the Change Proposal (subject to Paragraph 17 (Appeal of Self-Governance Changes)).
- 16.4 In the case of each Authority-Approved Change, the Authority will determine whether to approve or reject the Change Proposal.
- 16.5 If the Authority considers that it is unable to form an opinion in relation to the approval or rejection of an Authority-Approved Change, the Authority may issue a direction to the REC Panel specifying any additional steps that the Authority requires in order to form such an opinion (including drafting or amending the proposed legal text, revising the proposed implementation timetable, and/or revising or providing additional analysis and/or



information). Where the Authority issues a direction to the REC Panel pursuant to this Paragraph 16.5:

- (a) the vote under Paragraph 15 (Change Proposal Vote) shall be null and void;
- (b) the REC Panel shall send the Change Proposal back to the relevant Expert Group (or shall establish an Expert Group) to consider the matters raised by the Authority, and to prepare a revised Change Report;
- (c) the REC Panel shall revise the timetable applying to the Change Proposal; and
- (d) the Code Manager shall update the Change Register to record the status of the Change Proposal.

## **17 Appeal of Self-Governance Changes**

17.1 Any Party that disagrees with the outcome of the vote under Paragraph 15 (Change Proposal Vote) in respect of a Self-Governance Change, may (within 10 Working Days following the notification of that decision) refer the matter to the Authority.

17.2 The Authority will then determine whether to approve or reject the Change Proposal. Accordingly, where the Authority's determination is that the Change Proposal is to be rejected (where it has previously been approved) the Change Proposal shall be cancelled and not implemented (or, if already implemented, shall be reversed).

17.3 The Authority may, in respect of appeals under this Paragraph 17:

- (a) dismiss the appeal if it is brought for reasons that are trivial or vexatious, or has no reasonable prospect of success; or
- (b) send the Change Proposal back to the REC Panel under Paragraph 16.5 if the Authority considers that it is unable to form an opinion in relation to the approval or rejection of the Change Proposal.

## **18 Other Authority Determinations**

18.1 The Proposer for a Change Proposal may refer the following matters to the Authority for determination (which shall be final and binding for the purposes of this Code):

- (a) the rejection of the Change Proposal's submission under Paragraph 6 (Acceptance of a Change Proposal);

- (b) a refusal to commission an impact assessment by a Central Service Provider under Paragraph 10 (Expert Group Consideration) in respect of the Change Proposal; and/or
- (c) the timetable (or an amendment to the timetable) determined by the REC Panel for the Change Proposal.

18.2 A Proposer may only refer a matter to the Authority pursuant to Paragraph 18.1 where such referral is made within 5 Working Days of the Proposer being notified by the Code Manager of the relevant matter. The Proposer shall send to the REC Panel a copy of any referral made pursuant to this Paragraph 18.

## **19 Significant Code Reviews**

19.1 During a Significant Code Review Phase:

- (a) the REC Panel shall report to the Authority on whether or not the REC Panel considers that any Change Proposal on which there has not yet been a vote prior to the commencement of the Significant Code Review (whether submitted before or after the commencement of the Significant Code Review) falls within the scope of the Significant Code Review;
- (b) the REC Panel may (subject to (d) below) suspend the progress of any Change Proposal that the REC Panel considers to fall within the scope of that Significant Code Review;
- (c) the Authority may (subject to (d) below) direct the REC Panel to suspend the progress of any Change Proposal that the Authority considers to fall within the scope of that Significant Code Review (and the REC Panel shall comply with such directions); and
- (d) the Authority may direct the REC Panel to cease the suspension of any Change Proposal that has been suspended pursuant to this Paragraph 19 (and the REC Panel shall comply with such directions).

19.2 Any and all suspensions pursuant to this Paragraph 19 shall automatically cease at the end of the Significant Code Review Phase.

19.3 The commencement and cessation of suspensions in respect of a Change Proposal pursuant to this Paragraph 19 shall have the effect of modifying the timetable applying to that Change Proposal.

