Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s): Date Submitted: UK Power Reserve 17/10/2017 Type of Change: If applicable, whether you are aware of an alternative proposal already submitted which this proposal relates to: Addition Revoke Substitution Substitution Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website) This proposal will allow Capacity Providers of Distribution connected CMUs to aggregate CMRS CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend than the aggregate output top ton to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology	Proposal for a Capacity Mark Rules Change	tet	Ofgem Making a positive difference for energy consumers Reference number (to be completed by Ofgem): CP338
atternative proposal afready submitted which this proposal afready submitted which this proposal relates to: Addition Revoke Substitution Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website) This proposal will allow Capacity Providers of Distribution connected CMUs to aggregate CMRS CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of an CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Anned Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read <tr< td=""><th></th><td></td><th></th></tr<>			
	Type of Change:		
Revoke Substitution Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website) This proposal will allow Capacity Providers of Distribution connected CMUs to aggregate CMRS CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU	⊠ Amendment		
 Substitution Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website) This proposal will allow Capacity Providers of Distribution connected CMUs to aggregate CMRS CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50M	□ Addition		
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website) This proposal will allow Capacity Providers of Distribution connected CMUs to aggregate CMRS CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read	□ Revoke		
 This proposal will allow Capacity Providers of Distribution connected CMUs to aggregate CMRS CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (c) to read 13.4.1B (c)	□ Substitution		
 CMUs as part of a CMU Portfolio for the purposes of Satisfactory Performance Days What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50MW. 	Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website)		
 to (please state provision number): Proposal relates to rule 13.4.1B (CMU portfolio) Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address: It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50MW. Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when 			
It is currently possible for a Capacity Provider of non-CMRS or DSR CMUs to demonstrate Satisfactory Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (<i>please highlight the change</i>): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50MW.	to (please state provision number):		
 Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should be extended to CMRS CMUs to ensure technology neutrality. If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (<i>please highlight the change</i>): Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50MW. Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when 	Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address:		
Amend Rule 13.4.1B (b) to read 13.4.1B (b) Non-CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50MW.	 Performance by testing a group of Capacity Committed CMUs simultaneously (of a combined capacity of no more than 50MW), and taking the aggregate output as demonstration of Satisfactory Performance, provided that this is equal to or greater than combined sum of Capacity Obligations. However, CMRS CMUs are currently not able to do so; There is no reason why this should be the case, as, other than the 50MW cap, there are no further technical requirements for a group of non-CMRS CMUs to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio. Therefore the option to aggregate as part of a CMU portfolio should 		
 13.4.1B (b) Non CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate connection capacity of all generating units is no greater than 50MW. Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when 	If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change):		
	13.4.1B (b) Non CMRS Distribution CMUs, where, for each such CMU the aggregate		

This rule change will improve efficiency of auction-acquired capacity, and decrease security of supply risks; CMRS-connected Distribution CMUs will face less risk of their Capacity Agreement being terminated if Capacity Providers can prove Satisfactory Performance to an adequate level for a portfolio of CMUs.

Introducing this schedule will reduce the risks to security of supply by removing unnecessary penalties for Capacity Providers who can deliver on their obligation at portfolio level; This will further level the playing field, as CMRS and Non-CMRS distribution CMUs will be afforded the same opportunities under the rules, and therefore avoids any unnecessary technological discrimination created under the current arrangements. Given the increasing quantity of <50MW Distribution connected CMRS capacity, this rule change would ensure that between 400-800 MW of Capacity may remain CM compliant, as detailed below:

Figure 1: Sub 50MW Distribution CMRS Auction Capacity by Delivery Year

	<50MW Distribution	
Auction	CMRS Auction Acquired	
	Capacity (MW)	
T-4 2014	402.488	
T-4 2015	706.920	
T-4 2016	849.511	
EA 2017	815.693	

Sources:

Capacity Market Register T-4 2016 13_10_2017 Capacity Market Register EA 2017 13_10_2017 Capacity Market Register T-4 2015 04_10_2017 Capacity Market Register T-4 2014 13_09_2017

Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):

Edmund Frondigoun UK Power Reserve <u>edmund.frondigoun@ukpowerreserve.com</u> 0121 712 1970