Proposal for a Capacity Mark Rules Change	cofgem Making a positive difference for energy consumers Reference number (to be completed by Ofgem): CP281
Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s): Energy UK	Date Submitted: 17/10/2017
Type of Change:	If applicable, whether you are aware of an alternative proposal already submitted which this proposal relates to:
□ Addition	Click here to enter text.
□ Revoke	
□ Substitution	
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for published description on our website) Remove restrictions on generation unit configurations	
 What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number): 4.4.4 – stops a change to the configuration of Generating Units after a CMU has pre-qualified. 	
Description of the issue that the change proposal se Delete this rule. A party may not know what its sit new assets or it changes the design of its site for and building commences. Parties will not make the dec after they have secured a CM agreement and it is n components helps the auction clear efficiently. At the current time parties can get round this rule l prequalification. Parties who have taken part in the can make you trip over this rule later, but new entr The rule is also located in the chapter dealing with need to know about pre-qualification or when they then it should more logically sit under the terminat	te configuration will be if it has not yet purchased other reason, such as finding a site issue once cision about where to deploy equipment, etc. until not obvious why Ofgem feels that knowing the by giving a very high level description of its site at ne CM before are aware that a detailed description rants do not. It is therefore a barrier to entry. what the Delivery Body does, not what parties will be terminated. If it were to be a requirement tion clauses (6.10).
If applicable, please state the proposed revised draf Delete 4.4.4	fting (please highlight the change):
Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry an making the revision - including, any potential impli	
Inis rule stops parties delivering capacity in the mo	ost efficient manner. It limits choice over plant

a less efficient plant than one they could build were they to come across different equipment or ideas prior to construction.

The rule seems to serve no useful purpose in terms of ensuring capacity is delivered as the rules check the CMU can deliver its obligated volumes, or can reduce the obligation in the case of new CMUs not completing at the size envisaged at pre-qual, so how they deliver seems somewhat irrelevant.

Some parties are already circumventing the rules by keeping plant description high level. It is therefore distorting competition and creating a barrier to entry.

The rule is not located in the section on termination, but is a termination event. It therefore makes reading the rules more difficult specifically for new entrants.

The customers would be best served by deleting the clause so that parties deliver their obligated capacity in the most economic and efficient manner.

Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):

Joshua Atkins, 02077472952, joshua.atkins@energy-uk.org.uk Energy UK