Proposal for a Capacity Market Rules Change



Reference number (to be completed by *Ofgem*): CP280

Name of Organisation(s)/individual(s): Energy UK	Date Submitted: 17/10/2017
Type of Change:	If applicable, whether you are aware of an
⊠ Amendment	alternative proposal already submitted which this proposal relates to:
☐ Addition	Click here to enter text.
□ Revoke	
☐ Substitution	
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for public	lished description on our website)
Clarification of requirement for additional Satisfactory Performance Days	
What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates to (please state provision number):	
The proposed change relates to the requirement in Rule 13.4.5 to demonstrate additional Satisfactory Performance Days under certain circumstances	
Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address:	
Rule 13.4.5 requires CMUs to demonstrate additional Satisfactory Performance Days in the event that they fail to deliver any energy during System Stress Events in two separate months in winter. The existing requirement does not distinguish between System Stress Events when a CMU has a delivery obligation and those when it does not. We propose that 13.4.5 be amended so as not to apply in circumstances when a CMU does not have delivery obligation pursuant to Rule 8.5.1 or when ALF $COij = 0$, for example if it restricted by a Relevant Balancing Service or constrained by transmission restrictions.	
If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change):	
Click here to enter text. 13.4.5 If, in two or more months in which System Stress Events occur in which a Capacity Committed CMU has a delivery obligation during Winter in a Delivery Year, a Capacity Committed CMU fails to achieve a calculation of E_{ij} of 1kWh in relation to at least one such System Stress Event in each such month, then each obligation pursuant to Rule 13.4.1 that requires the demonstration of three Satisfactory Performance Days during a period shall instead be a requirement to demonstrate six Satisfactory Performance Days in the same such period.	
Analysis and evidence on the impact on industry and/or consumers including any risks to note when making the revision - including, any potential implications for industry codes:	

The current drafting makes no exception for units that do not have an obligation pursuant to Rule 8.5.1 and therefore includes CMUs that may be subject to transmission interruptions or restricted from delivering energy when providing Relevant Balancing Services etc This may lead to unnecessary testing and has a potential to interrupt payments if the additional Satisfactory	
Performance days have not been completed by the end of the Winter.	
Details of Dwoneson (nlesses in slude name to look one number amail and are suigation):	
Details of Proposer (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):	
Joshua Atkins, 02077472952, joshua.atkins@energy-uk.org.uk Energy UK	