

Annex 1 – Open letter consultation on the Incentive of Connections Engagement

- 1.1. We would like to hear the views of interested parties in relation to any of the issues set out in our open consultation letter.
- 1.2. We would especially welcome responses to the specific questions in our consultation, which are replicated below.
- 1.3. If you have any questions on this document please contact:

James Veaney
Head of Connections and Constraint Management
Ofgem, 9 Millbank, London, SW1P 3GE
020 7901 1861
Connections@Ofgem.gov.uk

- 1.4. **Responses should be sent, preferably by e-mail by 27 July 2017 to the address above.**
- 1.5. Unless marked confidential, all responses will be published by placing them in Ofgem's library and on its website www.ofgem.gov.uk. Respondents may request that their response is kept confidential. Ofgem shall respect this request, subject to any obligations to disclose information, for example, under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.
- 1.6. Respondents who wish to have their responses kept confidential should clearly mark the document/s to that effect and include the reasons for confidentiality. Respondents are asked to put any confidential material in the appendices to their responses.
- 1.7. Next steps: We will consider the responses to this consultation and these will be used alongside other evidence for our assessment of the ICE plans.
- 1.8. Each of the questions asked by this consultation is set out in the template below.
- 1.9. Please ensure that you **indicate the DNO group or specific licence area** to which your experiences relate.
- 1.10. Please ensure that you indicate clearly the type of connection you generally require.
- 1.11. When considering your responses to these questions, please consider your experiences, the actions that the DNO has undertaken or committed to undertake, and the actions that you consider it could reasonably undertake.

Response template – Incentive on Connections Engagement June 2017

Question	Response																										
About you and your work																											
1. What is the name of your company?	Capbal Ltd																										
2. Which DNO's ICE submission is your response related to (see Annex 2 for DNO map)? Please indicate clearly in your response to the questions below whether your comments refer to the DNO's plans as a whole, or to one of the DNO's licence areas. If you wish to provide a response to the ICE submission of more than one DNO, please use a separate template for each DNO.	SP Distribution																										
3. What type of connection do you generally require? And for each type of connection, how many connection applications, including total MVA (Mega Volt Ampere) of connections have you made in the past year? Please note that we will assess storage within the relevant metered generation market segment.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="824 857 1384 911">Type of connection</th> <th data-bbox="1391 857 1621 911">Total number of connections</th> <th data-bbox="1628 857 1865 911">Total MVA of connections</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="824 916 1039 1007" rowspan="4">Metered Demand Connections</td> <td data-bbox="1046 916 1384 956">Low Voltage (LV) Work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 916 1621 956"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1046 960 1384 1000">High Voltage (HV) Work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 960 1621 1000"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1046 1005 1384 1096">HV and Extra High Voltage (EHV) Work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1005 1621 1096">7</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1046 1101 1384 1141">EHV work and above</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1101 1621 1141"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="824 1145 1039 1225" rowspan="2">Metered Distributed Generation (DG)</td> <td data-bbox="1046 1145 1384 1185">LV work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1145 1621 1185"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1046 1190 1384 1230">HV and EHV work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1190 1621 1230"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="824 1235 1039 1358" rowspan="3">Unmetered Connections</td> <td data-bbox="1046 1235 1384 1275">Local Authority (LA) work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1235 1621 1275"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1046 1279 1384 1319">Private finance initiatives (PFI) Work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1279 1621 1319"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1046 1324 1384 1358">Other work</td> <td data-bbox="1391 1324 1621 1358"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Type of connection	Total number of connections	Total MVA of connections	Metered Demand Connections	Low Voltage (LV) Work		High Voltage (HV) Work		HV and Extra High Voltage (EHV) Work	7	EHV work and above		Metered Distributed Generation (DG)	LV work		HV and EHV work		Unmetered Connections	Local Authority (LA) work		Private finance initiatives (PFI) Work		Other work	
Type of connection	Total number of connections	Total MVA of connections																									
Metered Demand Connections	Low Voltage (LV) Work																										
	High Voltage (HV) Work																										
	HV and Extra High Voltage (EHV) Work	7																									
	EHV work and above																										
Metered Distributed Generation (DG)	LV work																										
	HV and EHV work																										
Unmetered Connections	Local Authority (LA) work																										
	Private finance initiatives (PFI) Work																										
	Other work																										

Consultation questions**Section 1: Looking Back report 2016-17****We want your views on how well the DNOs have performed over the last year**

1. Are you satisfied that the DNO had a comprehensive and robust strategy for engaging with connections stakeholders? Do you consider that the DNO implemented its strategy? If not, are you satisfied that the DNO has provided a reasonable and well-justified explanation?	Yes – they agreed to meet me at the start of the relationship and have provided a good level of response since.
2. Are you satisfied that the DNO had a comprehensive work plan of activities (with associated delivery dates) to meet the requirements of its connections stakeholders? Do you consider that the DNO delivered its work plan? If not, are you satisfied that the DNO has provided a reasonable and well-justified explanation?	Yes on most occasions.
3. Do you consider that the DNO's work plan provided relevant outputs (eg key performance indicators, targets etc.)? Are you satisfied that the DNO has delivered these outputs? If not, do you view the reasons provided to be reasonable and well justified?	Yes – there were a couple of misunderstandings concerning their Quote+ submission process but the DNO worked to mitigate these issues.
4. Do you agree that the DNO's strategy, activities and outputs have taken into account ongoing feedback from a broad and inclusive range of connections stakeholders? If not, has the DNO provided reasonable justification?	Yes

The following two questions refer to the specific areas we discuss in our letter – meeting the needs of all types of connections customers, and connecting when the networks are constrained.

5. Do you consider that the DNO's activities and outputs over the past year were adequate in meeting the needs of all types of connection customers, including the particular needs of newer types of connection customers?	I believe that they worked adequately given the constraints that are imposed on them.
6. Do you consider that the DNO's activities and outputs over the past year were adequate in meeting the needs of customers looking to connect when the networks are constrained?	I believe there is much more that can be done by all the DNO's, but would not single out SP as not meeting the needs of customers.

Section 2: Looking Forward plans 2017-18

We want your views on what the DNOs aim to achieve in the coming year

7. Are you satisfied that the DNO has a comprehensive and robust strategy for engaging with connection stakeholders and facilitating joint discussions where appropriate?	Yes
8. Do you agree that the DNO has a comprehensive work plan of activities (with associated delivery dates) that will meet the requirements of its connection stakeholders? If not, has the DNO provided reasonable and well-justified reasons? What other activities should the DNOs do?	I think it is difficult to meet our requirements when the network has limited capacity.
9. Do you consider that the DNO has set relevant outputs that it will deliver during the regulatory year (eg key performance indicators, targets, etc.)?	Yes
10. Would you agree that the DNO's proposed strategy, activities and outputs have been informed and endorsed by a broad and	Yes

<p>inclusive range of connection stakeholders? If they have not been endorsed, has the DNO provided robust evidence that it has pursued this?</p>	
<p>The following two questions refer to the specific areas we discuss in our letter – meeting the needs of all types of connections customers, and connecting when the networks are constrained.</p>	
<p>11. Do you consider that the DNO's planned activities and outputs will be sufficient to meet the needs of all types of connection customers, including the particular needs of newer types of connection customers? What other activities should the DNO undertake in this area?</p>	<p>More analysis on the impact of new technology such as battery storage on their network, allowing more connections to be achieved. More investigation and trials of new technology.</p>
<p>12. Do you consider that the DNO's planned activities and outputs will be sufficient to meet the needs of customers looking to connect when the networks are constrained? What other activities should the DNO undertake in this area?</p>	<p>They need to engage more closely with National Grid to provide a seamless transmission / distribution connection offer without statement of works (SP Manweb)</p>

Annex 2 - Map showing DNO licensee areas¹

Electricity Distribution



¹ Image from Electricity Networks Association (ENA) – note the ICE only applies to distribution networks operating in GB