

Company Secretary SP Transmission Plc 1 Atlantic Quay Robertson Street Glasgow G2 8SP

> Direct Dial: 020 3263 7159 Email: <u>Geoffrey.Randall@ofgem.gov.uk</u>

Date: 31 March 2017

Dear Company Secretary,

Network Innovation Competition (NIC) – amendments to SP Transmission Plc (SP Transmission) Visualisation of Real Time System Dynamics using Enhanced Monitoring project (VISOR)

The NIC funds innovation projects and was set up to encourage network companies to innovate in the design, development, and operation of their networks.

The purpose of the VISOR project (the Project) is to enhance the capability of transmission network companies to monitor the dynamic performance of the transmission system through the innovative use of data from Phasor Measurement Units (PMUs). A PMU is sensor installed on the electricity network to measure electrical waves.

You have requested the Authority to amend the Project Direction to facilitate the following:

- Extend the Project End Date and scope to:
 - Extend the Project end date from 31 March 2017 to 31 December 2017.
 - Extend the Project's scope to integrate the developed system into SP Transmission's Energy Management System (EMS) within their network control room.
 - Update the scope to provide training to the control centre staff within a 'sandbox environment' and incorporate the system within their critical infrastructure.
- Create an Independent Data Visualisation/ Interaction Tool

This letter contains our decision to approve the amendments you have requested to certain sections of the Project Direction dated 19 December 2013¹ The amendments we are approving are set out in the schedule to this letter.

Background

On 19 December 2013 we issued a Project Direction to you. The Project Direction contains the terms to be followed in relation to the Project as a condition of it being funded under the NIC. In September 2016, you asked us to make changes to the Project Direction (the Change Request). We asked you for further explanation of the amendments requested in the Change Request and received the final details in February 2017.

¹ The Project Direction can be found at:

https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/sites/default/files/docs/2014/01/signed_visor_direction.pdf

This letter contains a summary of the proposed changes and our decision to approve the amendments being proposed by the Change Request.

Change 1: Extend the project end date and project scope

You wish to extend the project end date for two reasons. The first is to enable the project to monitor the impact of the introduction of the Western HVDC line on the GB System. This was proposed in the Project's Full Submission but owing to delays with the connection of this line, you are unable to capture this data by the project's original end date.

The second reason you have requested the extension is to utilise around £1m of the Project underspend to develop a prototype system so it can interface the real-time analysis capability of the EMS and WAMS system within the control room to provide enhanced visualisation of grid dynamics to the operators and engineers. To ensure this is successfully rolled out into business as usual you are also providing additional training to the engineers and operators within the control room to enable the learning to be rolled into business as usual.

Your recommendations are:

- To extend the Project End Date to December 2017.
- Re-scope the Project so that it can incorporate the technology into a real-time monitoring unit within your control room.
- Provide a 'sandbox' environment for system engineers/ operators to enable the system to be rolled out into business as usual.

Our views

We agree with the proposals and are satisfied that the changes are in the interests of consumers.

Enabling the Project to capture data relating to the introduction of the HVDC Interconnector on the GB Network will create useful knowledge which can be disseminated to all network operators.

We also note the Project's findings that integrating the system within the EMS will lead to it becoming a useful network tool which, in turn, has the potential to save network customers money through reduced operation costs and risk avoidance.

Schedule 1 of this decision shows the revised outputs (Successful Delivery Reward Criteria (SDRC)) that the Project must deliver.

Change 2: Create an Independent Data Visualisation/ Interaction Tool

You have also proposed the creation of a new data visualisation/ interaction tool for the data collected by the PMUs. This will enable the project team to disseminate the Project's learning to a wider stakeholder community than originally envisaged.

Your recommendation is to:

• Create an independent Phasor Data Visualisation and Interaction tool for handheld devices (such as Tablets/ Smart Phones).

Our views

We believe that this change is in the interest of consumers given its potential to aid the roll-out of the Project across the GB network.

Schedule 1 of this decision shows the revised budget in the Project Direction.

Decision

We consider that with the proposed amendments, the Project's extension and wider scope, whilst staying within the project's existing budget, will increase the expected benefits and learning for customers relative to Project spend.

In accordance with Section 13 of the Project Direction, we hereby amend the Schedule to the Project Direction in the manner set out in the schedule to this letter. This letter constitutes notice of reasons for our decision pursuant to section 49A of the Electricity Act 1989.

If you would like to discuss any of the issues raised in this letter, please contact Jonathan Morris at <u>Jonathan.Morris@ofgem.gov.uk</u>.

Yours faithfully

GRandall

Geoffrey Randall, Associate Partner, RIIO Networks For and on behalf of the Authority

1. TITLE

Project Direction ref: SPTL SP Transmission Plc / VISOR / 19-12-13

2. PREAMBLE

This Project Direction issued by the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority (the "Authority") to SP Transmission Plc (the "Funding Licensee") pursuant to the Electricity NIC Governance Document issued pursuant to Part E of Special Condition 3I (Network Innovation Competition) of the Electricity Transmission Licence (the "Licence") sets out the terms to be followed in relation to VISOR (the "Project") as a condition of it being funded under the NIC and the Funding Return Mechanisms².

Unless otherwise specified, defined terms in this Project Direction are defined in Appendix 1 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document.

References to specific sections of the Funding Licensee's Full Submission in this Project Direction are, for ease of reference, made by referring to the section number in the Funding Licensee's Full Submission pro-forma.

References to the Change Request refer to the letter submitted on 31 August 2016, as well as the subsequent supporting material dated 9 September 2016, 31 January 2017, 2 February 2017, and 20 February published alongside this decision. In case of discrepancy between the Full Submission and the Change Request, the Change Request shall take precedence.

3. Condition Precedent

The Funding Licensee will not access any funds from the Project Bank Account until it has signed contracts with the Project Partners named in Table 1.

Table 1 Condition Precedent

National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc
Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Plc
The University of Manchester

4. COMPLIANCE

The Funding Licensee must comply with Special Condition 3I and the NIC Governance Document (as may be modified from time to time in accordance with Special Condition 3I and as modified and/or augmented in respect of the Project by this Project Direction) and the Project Direction.

Any part of the Approved Amounts that the Authority determines not to have been spent in accordance with this Project Direction (or the Electricity NIC Governance Document) is deemed to be Disallowed Expenditure.

Pursuant to Special Condition 3I.8. Disallowed Expenditure is revenue received (whether by the Funding Licensee or another Licensee) under the NIC and Funding Return Mechanisms that the Authority determines not to have been spent in accordance with the provisions of the Electricity NIC Governance Document or those of the relevant Project Direction.

 $_{\rm 2}$ the Funding Return Mechanism is defined in part C of Special Condition 3I.

Pursuant to paragraph 8.48 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document, Disallowed Expenditure includes any funds that must be returned if the Project is halted without Ofgem's³ permission, any funds that have not been spent in line with the approved Project Budget contained within the Project Direction, and any unspent funds on the completion of the Project.

5. APPROVED AMOUNT FOR THE PROJECT

The Approved Amount is £6,492,110

6. PROJECT BUDGET

The Project Budget is set out in Annex 1. The Funding Licensee must not spend more than 110% of any category total (e.g. "Labour") in Annex 1 without the Authority's prior consent (such consent is not to be unreasonably withheld).

The Funding Licensee will report on expenditure against each line under the category total in the Project Budget, and explain any projected variance against each line total in excess of 5% as part of its detailed report which will be provided at least every six months, in accordance with paragraph 8.17 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document. Ofgem will use the reported expenditure and explanation to assess whether the funding has been spent in accordance with the Electricity NIC Governance Document or with this Project Direction.

For the avoidance of doubt this reporting requirement does not change or remove any obligations on the Funding Licensee with respect to reporting that are set out in the Electricity NIC Governance Document.

7. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

The Funding Licensee must undertake the Project in accordance with the commitments it has made in the Full Submission and subsequent Change Request approved by the Authority pursuant to the Electricity NIC Governance Document and the terms of this Project Direction. These include (but are not limited to) the following:

- (i) undertake the Project in accordance with the description set out in Section 2 (Project Description) as amended by the Change Request;
- (ii) provide a Network Licensee Compulsory Contribution of £736,982;
- (iii) complete the Project on or before the Project completion date of March 2017 December 2017 in line with the Change Request; and
- (iv) disseminate the learning from the Project at least to the level described in Section 5 (Knowledge Dissemination) and as amended in line with the Change Request.

8. REPORTING

Ofgem will issue guidance (as amended from time to time) about the structure and content of the reports required by paragraph 8.17 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document. The Funding Licensee must follow this guidance in preparing the reports required by paragraph 8.17 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document.

As required by paragraph 8.22 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document, the Funding Licensee must inform the Authority promptly in writing of any event or circumstance likely to affect its ability to deliver the Project as set out in its Full Submission.

³ Ofgem is the offices of the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority. The terms 'Ofgem' and 'Authority' are used interchangeably in this Project Direction.

9. COST OVERUNS

The maximum amount of Discretionary Funding that the Funding Licensee can request as additional funding for cost overruns on the Project is $5\%_4$.

10. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR)

In Section 5 (Knowledge Dissemination) the Funding Licensee has stated that the Project does conform to the default IPR arrangements set out in Section Nine of the Electricity NIC Governance Document and must therefore undertake the Project in accordance with the default IPR arrangements.

11. SUCCESSFUL DELIVERY REWARD CRITERIA

The Project will be judged by the Authority for the purposes of the NIC Successful Delivery Reward against the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria set out in Table 35 below (that comply with paragraphs 5.26 – 5.29 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document).

Table 3. Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

 Successful Delivery Reward criterion Successful delivery of Sub-Synchrononous Oscillation (SSO) monitoring prior to start of Series Compensation commissioning. It is important that the project delivers an SSO monitoring capability in time to capture a baseline of the SSO frequency range performance before the series compensation is commissioned. The changes in behaviour can then be assessed against known historic behaviour. The components that should be delivered for success in this domain are: Validation of SSO substation equipment Installation, commissioning of SSO substation equipment & communication to central location Integration to visualisation of SSO 	 Evidence SSO Device qualification report (WP 4C, Dec 2014) Visualisation of multiple SSO information sources at data centre (WP 1A, prior to the commissioning of series compensation reinforcement) Baseline and comparator report for SSO behaviour (WP 1, March 2015, March 2016, March 2017, December 2017)
 Enhanced stability tools delivered, including Oscillation Source Location and Disturbance Impact The applications to analyse and present stability information to real-time and analysis users is a key part of the project. The applications should be delivered and the necessary enhancements made to fulfil this criterion. Also, the test cases to prove and demonstrate the applications to end users are important for knowledge dissemination. The delivery includes: Oscillation tools delivered to display wide area oscillations, including oscillation frequency, damping and mode shape 	 Applications delivered and configured to include (WP 1.2, 2.3, March 2016) Geographic oscillation alert presentation Oscillation source location presentation for analysis & realtime Disturbance detection, location identification and impact measures Report on PMU roll-out requirements for the applications (WP 4B, March 2017) Simulation cases for presentation & training (WP 5.2, March 2017)

⁴ This is the amount requested by the Funding Licensee in its Full Submission.

⁵ These are the Successful Delivery Reward Criteria set out in the Funding Licensees Full Submission

Source location tools for identifying	
contributions to oscillationsDisturbance detection, location,	
Disturbance detection, location, sequence and impact measures in	
application to manage high impact / low	
probability events	
 Review of the implications for future 	
roll-out of PMUs for full GB-wide use of	
the applications	
Successful model validation activity	Report on PMU based line parameter
completion	estimation and variability (WP 2.1,
The definition of transient stability limits in	March 2015)
particular is highly dependent on the	 Report on accuracy of simulation
quality of the static and dynamic	models for small-signal and large-signal
equipment models, the design of control	against naturally occurring events (WP
systems, and interpretation and resolution	2.2-2.3, Dec 2016)
of problems occurring in the grid. It is	
essential therefore that the models and	
their associated parameters can be	
demonstrated to be sufficiently accurate to	
be fit for purpose. The components of the	
model validation activities will include:	
Line parameter estimation for key	
circuits using PMU data	
 Oscillation analysis validation to 	
quantify observed damping against	
simulated	
Transient stability simulations to	
reconstruct observed disturbances	
Successful improvement options for	Report on quantification of uncertainty
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability	in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec
<i>Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints</i>	in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016)
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a	in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016)Display incorporating power, angle and
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015)
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project.	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes:	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016)
Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: • Quantification of the uncertainty in	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016)
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014)
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014)
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015)
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015)
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor data is critical for the success of the 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Visualisation of data in SPT, NGET, SHE
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor data is critical for the success of the project. This infrastructure should be 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Visualisation of data in SPT, NGET, SHE Transmission including real-time and
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor data is critical for the success of the project. This infrastructure should be installed and proven, with adequate 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Visualisation of data in SPT, NGET, SHE Transmission including real-time and historic (WP 4A, Dec 2015)
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor data is critical for the success of the project. This infrastructure should be installed and proven, with adequate performance, and the data linkages 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Visualisation of data in SPT, NGET, SHE Transmission including real-time and historic (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Roll-out report (WP 4A, Dec 2016 -
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor data is critical for the success of the project. This infrastructure should be installed and proven, with adequate performance, and the data linkages between the TO/TSOs implemented to 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Visualisation of data in SPT, NGET, SHE Transmission including real-time and historic (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Roll-out report (WP 4A, Dec 2016 -
 Successful improvement options for management of transient stability constraints The demonstration and evaluation of a PMU-based presentation of a transient stability limit, and the assessment of the applicability to the B6 boundary constraint is an important outcome for the project. The project delivery includes: Quantification of the uncertainty in transient stability calculations Improvement in model initial conditions using hybrid state estimation Consultation on visualisation approach for transient stability limit Trial reliability of area angle measurements Successful deployment of the supporting infrastructure of the VISOR project. The base infrastructure required to collect, store, display and communicate phasor data is critical for the success of the project. This infrastructure should be installed and proven, with adequate performance, and the data linkages between the TO/TSOs implemented to confirm that this part of the project has 	 in stability calculations (WP 3.1, Dec 2016) Display incorporating power, angle and associated thresholds (WP 3.3, Dec 2015) Report on findings from benefits of hybrid state estimator (WP 3.2, Dec 2016) Report on long-term monitoring of area angle measurements (WP 3.4, Dec 2016) System specification and PMU supplier contracts awarded (April, 2014) System commissioning report (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Visualisation of data in SPT, NGET, SHE Transmission including real-time and historic (WP 4A, Dec 2015) Roll-out report (WP 4A, Dec 2016 -

 Data centres running in SPT, NGET, SHE Transmission, collecting PMU data from own network, including real-time visualisation, storage, and communications (Dec, 2015) Central VISOR server and inter-TO data exchanges running Optimal GB roll-out investigation 	
 Successful dissemination of knowledge generated from VISOR project. Knowledge dissemination within the transmission network owner is a key component to transfer experience for the pre-trial training and post-trial knowledge exchange. The key objectives of this work package are to successfully achieve the following: Internal knowledge dissemination External knowledge dissemination Influencing and updating policies and standards Public Engagement 	 Establish on-line portal and keep up to date throughout project (WP 5.2, Sep 2014) Timely delivery of project progress reports (WP 5.4, Sep 2014, Mar 2015, Sep 2015; Mar 2016, Sep 2016, Mar 2017, Sep 2017) Academic partner delivery of knowledge capture and publications (WP 5.2, Dec 2016 - Mar 2017) Presentations and show-casing at the annual innovation conferences (WP 5.4, Dec 2014, Dec 2015, Dec 2016 and June 2017 for Close-down report dissemination) Delivery of Independent Phasor Data Visualisation and Interaction Tool (Mar 2017) Commissioning of WAMS-EMS interface and training facility (Jun 2017) Undertaking of WAMS-EMS training within dedicated training facility (Sep 2017)

The maximum amount of the NIC Successful Delivery Reward (which will not exceed the Licensee Compulsory Contribution) that the Project will be eligible for is £736,982.

12. USE OF LOGO

The Funding Licensee and Project Partners, External Funders and Project Supporters⁶ may use the NIC logo for purposes associated with the Project but not use the Ofgem or Ofgem E-Serve logos in any circumstances.

13. AMENDMENT OR REVOCATION

As set out in the Electricity NIC Governance Document and this Project Direction, this Project Direction may be amended or revoked under the following circumstances:

- (i) if the Funding Licensee considers that there has been a material change in circumstance that requires a change to the Project Direction, and the Authority agrees (paragraph 8.23 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document); or
- (ii) if Ofgem agrees to provide Contingency Funding, which requires the re-issue of the Project Direction (paragraph 8.42 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document); or
- (iii) if the Funding Licensee applies for Discretionary Funding to cover a decrease in Direct Benefits and the Authority decides it would be in the best interest of customers to make changes to the Project Direction before the Discretionary

⁶ As listed in Box 1.5 in Section 1 of the Full Submission pro-forma.

Funding would be awarded (paragraph 8.42 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document).

14. HALTING OF PROJECTS

This Project Direction is subject to the provisions contained in paragraphs 8.30 to 8.34 of the Electricity NIC Governance Document relating to the halting of projects. By extension, this Project Direction is subject to any decision by the Authority to halt the Project to which this Project Direction relates and to any subsequent relevant Funding Direction issued by the Authority pursuant to Special Condition 3I.15.

In the event of the Authority deciding to halt the Project to which this Project Direction relates, the Authority may issue a statement to the Funding Licensee clarifying the effect of that halting decision as regards the status and legal force of the conditions contained in this Direction.

NOW THEREFORE:

In accordance with the powers contained in the Electricity NIC Governance Document issued pursuant to Part E of Special Condition 3I of the Licence, the Authority hereby issues this Project Direction to the Funding Licensee in relation to the Project.

This constitutes notice of reasons for the Authority's decision pursuant to section 49A (Reasons for decisions) of the Electricity Act 1989.

NIC Funding Cost Category	Cost
Labour	(£k)
WP1 - Enhanced System Oscillation Monitoring	£180.25
WP2 - System Model Validation	£110.73
WP3 - Improvements for Management of Stability Constraints	£260.08
WP4 - Supporting Infrastructure	£293.55
WP5 - Knowledge Dissemination	£368.23
Dedicated Resources	£1,845.40
Equipment	£437.50
Contractors	
WP1 - Enhanced System Oscillation Monitoring	£315.68
WP2 - System Model Validation	£368.69
WP3 - Improvements for Management of Stability Constraints	£667.97
WP4 - Supporting Infrastructure	£451.50
WP5 - Knowledge Dissemination	£173.88
Dedicated Resources	£271.00
IT	£979.60
IPR Costs	£0.00
Travel & Expenses	£313.33
Payments to users	£0.00
Contingency	£332.44
Decommissioning	
Other	
Total	£7,369.82

ANNEX 1: PROJECT BUDGET