

ECO2t consultation Part 1: consultation questions

Background

The questions below relate to the ECO2 consultation on the transition period which can be found on our website:

<https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/environmental-programmes/eco/contacts-guidance-and-resources/consultations-and-feedback>

Notes For Completion

The consultation is open from 12 October 2016 to 23 November 2016. We have provided a template for responses to help us collate and analyse the feedback we receive. Please complete all relevant sections of the document by selecting an answer for the question and then providing reasons/evidence for your response in the box provided. **Please do not amend the format of the template.**

Where use of the template is not possible, other formats will still be accepted. Please send your responses to eco.consultation@ofgem.gov.uk by **close of business on 23 November 2016**.

Respondent details

Organization Name:	First Utility Limited
Completed By:	Jon Owens
Contact Details:	jon.owens@first-utility.com

Scheme extension

Q1. Do you agree with our proposed administrative approach and guidance relating to our final determination of CSCO?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please provide reasons and any alternative suggestions.

Help to heat group

Q2. Do you agree with our proposed approach to evidencing help to heat eligibility?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please provide reasons and any alternative suggestions.

Whilst we agree with the proposed approach in principle, we are concerned over the availability of Data-Matching Services from the outset of the scheme. This should be viewed a matter of urgency to ensure all types of qualifying criteria can be matched e.g. universal credit.

Social housing with an EPC energy efficiency rating of E, F or G

Q3. Do you agree with our proposal to use a declaration signed by a social landlord to evidence that the EPC energy efficiency rating reflects the current characteristics of the property?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Our agreement with the proposal is predicated on a declaration template being agreed to ensure consistency across the supply chain, as well as clear guidance from Ofgem that the declaration is sufficient evidence for audit.

Q4. Do you agree with our proposal to evidence that premises are being let below market rate using a declaration signed by a social landlord?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q5. Do you agree that where multiple measures are installed in a single property, a further declaration should be signed by the social landlord after each installation to confirm the energy efficiency rating remains below Band D?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Whilst we agree with the overall proposal, we have concerns over evidencing if measures are submitted to different obligated suppliers, removing the clarity in measures installed across the piece.

First time central heating

Q6. Do you agree with our interpretation of “at no point prior”?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

We would prefer specific wording that removes ambiguity, e.g. "to the best of the landlord's knowledge"

Q7. Do you agree with our proposal to evidence that a central heating system or an electric storage heater was not present prior to installation of a central heating system or DHS using a declaration signed by a social landlord?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q8. Do you agree with the primary heating sources we have listed as eligible for first time central heating measures?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please identify which primary heating sources you think should be included/excluded.

Q9. Do you agree with the heating measure types we have listed as eligible for evidencing first time central heating measures?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please identify which heating measure types you think should be included/excluded.

Electric Storage Heaters missing from the list.

Flexible eligibility

Q10. Do you agree with the proposed approach for administering local authority declarations for HHCRO eligibility?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

We agree on the proviso that the LA declaration should be sufficient evidence for audit, and that suppliers are not held responsible should the LA issue declarations incorrectly.

Regular score minimum requirement

Q11. Do you agree with the list of measures in Table 4 that we propose should not count towards the RSMR?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please identify which measure you think should be included/excluded.

Party cavity wall insulation

Q12. Do you agree with our proposal to distinguish between the different in-use factors for PCWI based on the date of installation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Evidencing pre-existing loft insulation

Q13. Do you agree that a PAS pre-installation survey can be used to record the depth of any pre-existing loft insulation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Due to the difficulty in policing this approach, we would prefer an adaptation of the existing ECO Reporting Working Group templates.

Q14. Do you agree that 3% of technical monitoring for loft insulation measures should take place pre-installation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

We are concerned of the value that this proposal would have, given the installers having the ability to control which measures would be checked in this way.

Q15. Do you agree that the depth of any pre-existing loft insulation can be checked post-installation during a technical monitoring inspection?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Evidencing non-gas fuelled premises

Q16. Do you agree that the PAS pre-installation survey can be used to evidence the main heating system fuel type for the premises?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

New build definition

Q17. Do you agree with our proposal to evidence occupancy for all ECO measures as an alternative to demonstrating that premises receiving ECO measures are not new build?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q18. Where premises are unoccupied, do you agree with our proposal to evidence previous occupancy?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q19. Where a measure is delivered exclusively to a new build extension, do you agree with our proposal to evidence that the extension was completed before installation using building control sign off?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q20. Where there is no evidence of occupancy prior to installation, do you agree with our proposals for evidencing that premises were erected before 1 April 2017?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Automatic extensions for 5% of measures

Q21. Do you agree that the first 5% of late measures notified to us for a particular calendar month, without an extension request, should be processed automatically?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q22. Where the automatic 5% allowance is exceeded within a single month's notifications, do you agree that a supplier should be given an opportunity to determine which measures it wants to include in the automatic 5% and which it will submit an extension request for?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q23. Where a supplier does not indicate to us which measures it wants to include in the automatic 5% within 10 days, do you agree that we should select which measures will be automatically processed?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Trading obligations

Q24. Do you agree with our proposal that where a supplier trades between its own licences, it must trade to the licence with the biggest original obligation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q25. Do you agree with our proposals for trading between different suppliers, that:

- a. trades must be to the receiving supplier's licence with the biggest original obligation
- b. an application must include the annual turnover of the licence that would be taking on additional obligations, and
- c. where a supplier is taking on an amount greater than its original phase 3 ECO2 obligation, do you agree with our proposed evidence requirements to demonstrate that the supplier can deliver the additional obligation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

We believe that the varied nature of any such trades should be viewed case by case.

Q26. Do you agree with our proposed timescales for processing trading applications?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

PAS

Q27. Do you agree with us collecting an installer's PAS certification number as part of notification?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.