

ECO2t consultation Part 1: consultation questions

Background

The questions below relate to the ECO2 consultation on the transition period which can be found on our website:

<https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/environmental-programmes/eco/contacts-guidance-and-resources/consultations-and-feedback>

Notes For Completion

The consultation is open from 12 October 2016 to 23 November 2016. We have provided a template for responses to help us collate and analyse the feedback we receive. Please complete all relevant sections of the document by selecting an answer for the question and then providing reasons/evidence for your response in the box provided. **Please do not amend the format of the template.**

Where use of the template is not possible, other formats will still be accepted. Please send your responses to eco.consultation@ofgem.gov.uk by **close of business on 23 November 2016**.

Respondent details

Organization Name:	Sustain Ltd
Completed By:	Henrietta Bird
Contact Details:	henrietta.bird@sustain.co.uk

Scheme extension

Q1. Do you agree with our proposed administrative approach and guidance relating to our final determination of CSCO?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please provide reasons and any alternative suggestions.

Help to heat group

Q2. Do you agree with our proposed approach to evidencing help to heat eligibility?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please provide reasons and any alternative suggestions.

Social housing with an EPC energy efficiency rating of E, F or G

Q3. Do you agree with our proposal to use a declaration signed by a social landlord to evidence that the EPC energy efficiency rating reflects the current characteristics of the property?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q4. Do you agree with our proposal to evidence that premises are being let below market rate using a declaration signed by a social landlord?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q5. Do you agree that where multiple measures are installed in a single property, a further declaration should be signed by the social landlord after each installation to confirm the energy efficiency rating remains below Band D?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

This actively discourages a whole house approach. It would also require another EPC (therefore additional cost) because social housing providers won't know whether a measure will have pushed the property up a band. It would be fairer to have a declaration for a property that is valid for a set period e.g. 6 months, during which time any eligible measures can be installed.

First time central heating

Q6. Do you agree with our interpretation of “at no point prior”?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

We do not agree with an individual storage heater being considered a central heating system, it does not meet the definition in the draft legislation of a central heating system. Properties with only one or two storage heaters should be considered as having no central heating system and therefore be eligible for first time heating.

Q7. Do you agree with our proposal to evidence that a central heating system or an electric storage heater was not present prior to installation of a central heating system or DHS using a declaration signed by a social landlord?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Social housing providers do not always hold the best records of their properties so may struggle to sign something that says 'at no point prior'. Also if the association has acquired the properties from another provider they may not have any records about the properties. A declaration could be worded to allow for these difficulties such as "To my knowledge" or have a timescales such as no central heating in the last 10 years.

Q8. Do you agree with the primary heating sources we have listed as eligible for first time central heating measures?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please identify which primary heating sources you think should be included/excluded.

As above storage heaters should be included in this list to some extent where there are only one or two.

Q9. Do you agree with the heating measure types we have listed as eligible for evidencing first time central heating measures?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please identify which heating measure types you think should be included/excluded.

--

Flexible eligibility

Q10. Do you agree with the proposed approach for administering local authority declarations for HHCRO eligibility?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Regular score minimum requirement

Q11. Do you agree with the list of measures in Table 4 that we propose should not count towards the RSMR?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please identify which measure you think should be included/excluded.

Party cavity wall insulation

Q12. Do you agree with our proposal to distinguish between the different in-use factors for PCWI based on the date of installation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Evidencing pre-existing loft insulation

Q13. Do you agree that a PAS pre-installation survey can be used to record the depth of any pre-existing loft insulation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q14. Do you agree that 3% of technical monitoring for loft insulation measures should take place pre-installation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

This requirement would add unnecessary costs, delays to work, worsen the customer journey and ultimately is unlikely to achieve its purpose. Alternatively a declaration similar to the virgin loft declaration could be used alongside pre-works photographs from the installer.

Q15. Do you agree that the depth of any pre-existing loft insulation can be checked post-installation during a technical monitoring inspection?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

We believe that levels of pre-existing loft insulation can be checked during a post installation technical monitoring inspection as newly laid loft material has different characteristics to that which has been laid for longer periods. This checking could be further supported through installers pre-works

Evidencing non-gas fuelled premises

Q16. Do you agree that the PAS pre-installation survey can be used to evidence the main heating system fuel type for the premises?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Agreed for PAS measures, however if the measure is non-PAS such as district heating there will not be a PAS survey available. Therefore the SAP survey could be used as evidence.

New build definition

Q17. Do you agree with our proposal to evidence occupancy for all ECO measures as an alternative to demonstrating that premises receiving ECO measures are not new build?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

There is no option for a social housing provider to sign that their properties are occupied, as a social housing provider will hold this information it would be useful for them to be able to sign a something to declare this. This would be simpler and would help the customer journey.

Q18. Where premises are unoccupied, do you agree with our proposal to evidence previous occupancy?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

As above, a declaration for social housing providers would be appreciated.

Q19. Where a measure is delivered exclusively to a new build extension, do you agree with our proposal to evidence that the extension was completed before installation using building control sign off?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q20. Where there is no evidence of occupancy prior to installation, do you agree with our proposals for evidencing that premises were erected before 1 April 2017?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Automatic extensions for 5% of measures

Q21. Do you agree that the first 5% of late measures notified to us for a particular calendar month, without an extension request, should be processed automatically?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q22. Where the automatic 5% allowance is exceeded within a single month's notifications, do you agree that a supplier should be given an opportunity to determine which measures it wants to include in the automatic 5% and which it will submit an extension request for?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q23. Where a supplier does not indicate to us which measures it wants to include in the automatic 5% within 10 days, do you agree that we should select which measures will be automatically processed?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Trading obligations

Q24. Do you agree with our proposal that where a supplier trades between its own licences, it must trade to the licence with the biggest original obligation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q25. Do you agree with our proposals for trading between different suppliers, that:

- a. trades must be to the receiving supplier's licence with the biggest original obligation
- b. an application must include the annual turnover of the licence that would be taking on additional obligations, and
- c. where a supplier is taking on an amount greater than its original phase 3 ECO2 obligation, do you agree with our proposed evidence requirements to demonstrate that the supplier can deliver the additional obligation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

Q26. Do you agree with our proposed timescales for processing trading applications?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.

PAS

Q27. Do you agree with us collecting an installer's PAS certification number as part of notification?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please state your reasons and any alternative proposals.