

## RIIO-ED1 Reliability Working Group

This meeting of the RIIO-ED1 Reliability Working Group focused on Network Asset Secondary Deliverables (NASDs).	From Date and time of Meeting Location	Grant McEachran 10:30 to 12:30 2 December 2016 Teleconference	13 December 2016
---	---	--	------------------

### 1. Present

Jonathan Booth, Bob Wells	Electricity North West
Mark Nicholson, Gavin Howarth	Northern Power Grid
Andrzej Michalowski	Western Power Distribution
Lee Speakman, Malcolm Bebbington	SPEN
Landel Johnston, Melanie Bryce	SSE
Robert Friel, Sophie Motte	UKPN
Gregory Edwards	British Gas
Kiran Turner, Grant McEachran, Neill Guha, Evangelos Karagiannis	Ofgem

### 2. Areas discussed

#### Outcome of previous actions

- 2.1. Each of the actions from the last meeting were discussed and the discussion points are presented below.
- 2.2. Ofgem confirmed that the Network Asset Workbook, Secondary Deliverables monetised risk file, additions and disposals file and the rebasing commentary pack should all be submitted per DNO group. The cost information on each tab of the NAW does not need to be completed.
- 2.3. Ofgem confirmed that the DNOs will need to submit a file presenting the intervention, additions and removals separately. Previously it was thought that just the removals would have been sufficient, however, it was confirmed during the meeting that in order to identify non like-for-like replacement the additions profile should be submitted as well.
- 2.4. Ofgem had provided the draft of the rebasing methodology for review on 29 November 2016. The comments received prior to the meeting had not raised any significant issues or concerns, hence Ofgem was aiming to publish on 6 December 2016.
- 2.5. It was confirmed that the equally challenging feedback had been incorporated into the draft rebasing methodology. Ofgem discussed the main pieces of feedback received and explained the reasons why it was or was not incorporated.
- 2.6. On the rebasing methodology, the DNOs asked how to determine the maximum possible risk removed from the network for refurbishment activity in Test 1. The discussion concluded that all DNOs should take a consistent approach and that Ofgem should take a decision on this issue.
- 2.7. The second question raised was whether the Consequence of Failure as of the start of 15/16 must be fixed for the duration of ED1. Ofgem confirmed this was the case and the DNOs then flagged that this may necessitate a software change by EATL in

## RIIO-ED1 Reliability Working Group

order to add in this functionality to the CNAIM. The DNOs will take this away and engage with EATL on this issue.

- 2.8. SSE had sent the final rebasing commentary template in advance of the meeting and there was no further discussion on this.
- 2.9. UKPN provided an update on the issue of refurbishment for fluid filled cables. They intend to produce a written proposal and will also raise the proposed changes at the RIGs working group on 12 December 2016.
- 2.10. The common Probability of Failure values have been circulated to all the DNOs and Ofgem, there was no further discussion on this subject.

**AOB**

- 2.11. There were no further issues raised at the meeting.

**3. Actions arising**

3.1. The following table summarises the actions arising from the meeting.

<b>Rebasing Network Asset Secondary Deliverable targets</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Publish the rebasing methodology by 06/12/16.</li> </ul>	Ofgem
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm the approach that will be used to determine the maximum risk reduction value for refurbishment interventions in Test 1.</li> </ul>	Ofgem
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Collectively agree proposal for handling the change in unit of measure for refurbishment of fluid filled cables.</li> </ul>	DNOs

**4. Date of next meeting**

4.1. The next meeting will be held towards the end of January 2017.