Proposal for a Capacity Mar Rules Change	:ket	<b>ofgem</b> Making a positive difference for energy consumers <b>Reference number</b> (to be completed by Ofgem): <b>CP200</b>
Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s): Waters Wye Associates	Date 11/11	Submitted: /16
Type of Change:	alterr	licable, whether you are aware of an ative proposal already submitted which roposal relates to:
X Addition		here to enter text.
Revoke		
□ Substitution		
Proposal summary (short summary, suitable for pa Add Opt-out In Tier 1 Appeals	unisnea a	escription on our website)
<b>What the proposal relates to and if applicable, w</b> <b>to</b> ( <i>please state provision number</i> ):	hat curre	nt provision of Rules the proposal relates
	in the pro	e-qualification process. If a problem with annot opt-out in a Tier 1 appeal and they
to (please state provision number): Applicants can currently only opt-out of the CM say TEC comes to light after prequalification clo fail to prequalify. In the case of obligated licence	in the prosest they c generato seeks to a t their leg ant may f fail they	e-qualification process. If a problem with annot opt-out in a Tier 1 appeal and they rs this means they are in breach of the law address: cal duty in circumstances when they had believe it will prequalify but then fails to do need the ability to use a Tier 1 appeal to
to (please state provision number): Applicants can currently only opt-out of the CM say TEC comes to light after prequalification clo fail to prequalify. In the case of obligated licence which requires they participate or opt-out. Description of the issue that the change proposal The proposal would allow obligated plant to mee not foreseen a failure. WWA are aware that a pl so due an unforeseen problem. Where they then	in the pro- ses they c generato seeks to a t their leg ant may f fail they f gation to rafting ( <i>p</i> ations, W here an A g the req	e-qualification process. If a problem with annot opt-out in a Tier 1 appeal and they rs this means they are in breach of the law address: al duty in circumstances when they had believe it will prequalify but then fails to do need the ability to use a Tier 1 appeal to qualify or opt-out. <i>lease highlight the change</i> : WA would propose that it may be best to pplicant has failed prequalification, and it uirements in Rule 3.11 within [5 working
to (please state provision number): Applicants can currently only opt-out of the CM say TEC comes to light after prequalification clo fail to prequalify. In the case of obligated licence which requires they participate or opt-out. Description of the issue that the change proposal The proposal would allow obligated plant to mee not foreseen a failure. WWA are aware that a pl so due an unforeseen problem. Where they then officially opt-out in order to fulfil their legal oblig If applicable, please state the proposed revised du As the appeals are largely a matter for the Regul alter Chapter 4 adding in 4.10.2 to the effect: W is a licenced generator, it may opt-out by fulfillin	in the pro- ses they c generator seeks to a t their leg ant may b fail they b gation to rafting ( <i>p</i> ations, W here an A g the requiso be requise and/or co	e-qualification process. If a problem with annot opt-out in a Tier 1 appeal and they rs this means they are in breach of the law address: al duty in circumstances when they had believe it will prequalify but then fails to do need the ability to use a Tier 1 appeal to qualify or opt-out. <i>lease highlight the change</i> ): WA would propose that it may be best to pplicant has failed prequalification, and it airements in Rule 3.11 within [5 working puired.

P

**Details of Proposer** (please include name, telephone number, email and organisation):

Lisa Waters, Director, Waters Wye Associates. Tel: 0208239 9917, e-mail: lisa@waterswye.co.uk