

Energy Company Obligation (ECO) Deemed Scores Consultation Questions

Background

The questions below relate to the ECO2 consultation on deemed scores which can be found on our website :

<https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/eco2-consultation-deemed-scores>

Notes For Completion

Please complete all relevant sections of the document by selecting an answer for the question and then providing reasons/evidence for your response in the box provided. The questionnaire should be completed in typeface and returned via email to eco.consultation@ofgem.gov.uk by **close of business on 8 July 2016**.

1. Respondent Details

Organisation Name:	SIMON SMITH (DEA)
Completed By:	SIMON SMITH
Contact Details:	simonsmith.epc@gmail.com

2. Methodology

Q1. Do you agree with our selection of the key variables to use as the main inputs for calculating the deemed scores?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

I DISAGREE AS THE DEEMED SCORING PROCESS WILL PROVIDE INACCURATE AND UNFAIR RESULTS.

3. Property Archetypes

Q2. Do you agree with the method used in developing typical property archetypes in order to remove the need for measuring property dimensions?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

CARBON SCORING THAT IS GENERATED FROM THE MATRIX WOULDN'T BE ACCURATE SHOULD A DEEMED SCORING PROCESS BE INTRODUCED, UNDERSTANDABLY THE RDSAP SOFTWARE CURRENTLY IN USE HAS TOLERANCES, BUT IS A MUCH FAIRER AND MORE ACCURATE METHOD OF CALCULATING. I BELIEVE IT SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE USED.

I FEEL VERY STRONGLY AGAINST THE IDEA AS I HAVE INVESTED TIME AND MONEY INTO A BUSINESS THAT I FEEL HAS A MASSIVE BENEFIT TO THE WAY WE AS INDIVIDUALS ACT ON REDUCING OUR CARBON EMISSIONS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS.

THROUGH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE, MILLIONS HAVE BEEN INVESTED INTO TRAINING DEA'S. AND WE HAVE BUILT CAREERS AROUND THIS, I FEEL DISHEARTENED THAT IT WOULD ALL BE A WASTE OF TIME BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT DECIDE THEY FANCY TRYING ANOTHER APPROACH.

4. Primary Heating Sources

Q3. Do you agree with the approach to accounting for all primary heating sources present in the housing stock?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

AGAIN, DEEMED SCORING WILL PROVE INACCURATE. I STRONGLY BACK THE USE OF RDSAP

Q4. Do you agree that we have appropriately accounted for heating systems present in the housing stock either as an input for the deemed scores or in Table 1?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please clarify which additional heating systems you believe need to be accounted for.

I AGREE WITH THE IDENTIFICATION, BUT AGAIN NOT WITH DEEMED SCORE PROCESS, RDSAP SHOULD BE USED.

5. Measure Types

Q5. Do you agree that the deemed scores include all main measure types?

- ☒ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please clarify which additional measure type you expect will be installed.

Q6. Do you agree with our proposals for differentiating within measure types?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please clarify where alternative differentiation should be applied.

Q7. Are there any measure types where you think that further differentiation is warranted? If so, please clarify which measure type could benefit from further differentiation and suggest an approach.

Q8. Are there any areas where you could benefit from further guidance in using deemed scores?
DEEMED SCORING SHOULD NOT BE USED IN ANY INSTANCE AS THEY ARE NOT PROVIDING FAIR AND ACCURATE INFORMATION / CALCULATIONS.

6. Scores

Q9. Do you agree with the deemed scores produced?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

HAVING LOOKED AT THE MATRIX, THE TONNAGE IS DRASTICALLY REDUCED AND AN UNFAIR AND INACCURATE REFLECTION.

I HAVE WORKED ALONG SIDE HARD WORKING BUSINESS MEN WHO HAVE TRIED THEIR BEST TO MAKE THE PROCESSES AROUND ECO FUNDING WORK AND CREATE STABLE FUTURES FOR OUR FAMILIES, AND FEEL REALLY DISHEARTENED AND ANNOYED THAT EVERYTIME WE SEEM TO GET SOMEWHERE WITH ANYTHING THE PROCESS IS PULLED FROM UNDER OUR FEET WHEN IT SUITS THE GOVERNMENT.

EWI...PULLED

IWI...PULLED

NOW RIR, WHICH I HAVE INVESTED MONEY AND A LOT OF HARD WORK INTO BECOMING A DEA AND SURVEYOR.

Q10. Do you agree that it would be useful to also provide the deemed scores as lifetime savings (i.e. after applying all relevant multiplication factors), to make the relative value of each measure easier to identify?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

7. Percentage of property treated

Q11. Do you agree with the proposal to use 'percentage of property treated' to identify whether 100% of a score should be claimed?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please explain your reasoning.

8. New Scores

Q12. Do you agree with our proposed approach for applying for a new score from April 2017?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

I STRUGGLE TO UNDERSTAND HOW COMPANIES ARE MEANT TO MEET THEIR TARGETS ON CARBON REDUCTION WORKING FROM A DEEMED OR 'GUESSED' SCORING PROCESS.

AS I HAVE STATED BEFORE, IT WOULD THE END OF THE LINE FOR ME AS A DEA IF THE PROCESS GOES AHEAD, WHICH THEN MAKES ME WONDER WHY COURSES IN THIS WILL STILL BE AVAILABLE TO RUN AND CHARGE FOR WHEN THE NEED FOR THEM WILL BE NON EXISTENT?

Q13. Do you agree that we should determine whether or not to accept an application, and specifically what is a

9. Score Monitoring

Q14. Do you agree that a DEA is not required to check inputs used when identifying a deemed score for a measure?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

If not, please clarify why you do not agree and provide an alternative approach with your reasoning.

A DEA ATTENDS THE PROPERTY, DOCUMENTS EVIDENCE AND PROVIDES AN ACCURATE DESCRIPTION. DEEMED SCORING IS HAZARDING A GUESS AND WORKING ON A BASIS THAT ITS 'ABOUT RIGHT'
VERY UNFAIR AND HIGHLY UN PROFESSIONAL APPROACH IN MY OPINION.

'significant' improvement in score, on a case-by-case basis?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ Don't Know

