

Paul Rogers
Stakeholder Delivery Manager Operate and Maintain
Stakeholder Delivery
07775 821 840
paul.s.rogers@nationalgrid.com

24 December 2015

Michelle,

Thanks for sight of the latest draft and addressing the charging methodology anomaly. As I'm not back until the 5th, I thought I'd drop you a line with some early comments from NGGD.

1. Overall, the draft is looking much better
2. Para 1 – support the approach of redefining the CDSP as Agent, although wonder if we should be using a different label, as Agent is relevant under the current framework as Xoserve is the GTs agent, but in FGO it has a different role. Having said that we can't think of a suitable alternative name and if nothing else comes to mind would not object.
3. Para 1 – refers to the condition setting out the Agent's services and systems. While it is arguable whether it sets out services, it most definitely doesn't set out systems, so you might want to delete "systems".
4. Para 2(a) – references to paragraph 5 should be paragraph 4
5. Para 5 – as mentioned previously naming Xoserve Ltd in the licence is unusual and relevant gas transporters is a defined term under SSpCA3 of the GT licence meaning DNs and NTS.
6. Previous draft condition Para 9 – "Part A of this condition will cease to have effect on the phase 2 implementation date" we note this para has been deleted in the new draft, but the purpose of the text was to make clear that phase 1 arrangements would be superseded by phase 2. I think we all recognise this will happen in practice, but for others who are not close to the process, it might add clarity through retaining the original para 9.

I hope this helps and wishing all a happy Christmas.

Paul