

Making a positive difference

for energy consumers! Capacity Market Rules Change

Reference number(to be
completed by Ofgem):
P002

	P002
Name of Organisation(s) / individual(s):	Date Submitted:
GDF SUEZ UK-Turkey	23 January 2015
Type of Change:	If applicable, whether you are aware of an alternative proposal already submitted which
☐ Amendment	this proposal relates to:
■ Addition	
□ Revoke	
☐ Substitution	
What the proposal relates to and if applicable what appropriate affine of Dules the proposal relates	

What the proposal relates to and if applicable, what current provision of Rules the proposal relates **to** (please state provision number):

This proposal seeks to improve the transparency of expenditure for 3 year Refurbishing contracts

Description of the issue that the change proposal seeks to address:

Rule 12.2.1 requires the Delivery Body and the Independent Technical Expert have sight of the works described in the Construction Plan. The Prospective Generating CMU must also report to the Delivery Body every 6 months on progress made against the Construction Plan until the Substantial Completion Milestone is achieved. There is no public scrutiny of where the £125/kW is being spent.

To improve transparency and ensure that the expenditure has been reasonably incurred there should be an independent audit of these reports. The auditor should be appointed by the Delivery Body and make their results public with the costs of this audit charged to the Refurbishing CMU.

If applicable, please state the proposed revised drafting (please highlight the change):

In Chapter 12 Monitoring, insert after 12.2.3 as a new clause:

The Delivery Body must appoint an independent auditor to audit the progress reports in 12.2.1. The auditor must make public the conclusions of its report and state:

(i) whether the expenditure has been reasonably incurred in the achievement of the Construction Plan; (ii) that the expenditure relates to the refurbishment of the CMU and has not been spent on activities that would be expected for the normal course of business.

Should the auditor conclude that either or both of (i) and (ii) apply then the Refurbishing Plant will revert to a one year contract for the Delivery Year and will not be permitted to bid for a Refurbishing contract for the Delivery Year t-1 or t-2 auctions.