*Innovation Competitions - Full Submission*

*Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Project code | ENWT206 | Question Number | Q16 |
| Question date | 31 July 2014 | Answer date | 4 August 2014 |
| Submission section question relates to | Appendix A3 | | |
| Topic | Carbon impact | | |
| Question | The report claims that the putative carbon carbon reductions in the facilitation of connection of LCTs is accounted for distinctly; where is this done? | | |
| Notes on question |  | | |
| Answer | The sentence is a missing ‘not’. It should read “These putative reductions are not accounted for distinctly due to their inherent uncertainty, and risk of double counting”.  Electricity North West believes that a detailed carbon impact assessment should be undertaken on the interventions and the Tyndall Manchester report was submitted in addition to the requirements specified by Ofgem for reporting facilitated carbon reductions (see Appendix A1). As the facilitated carbon is dealt with in Appendix A1 the Tyndall Manchester report only considers asset and operational carbon impacts.  We will update Appendix A3 accordingly in the next version. | | |
| Attachments |  | | |