*Innovation Competitions - Full Submission*

*Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Project code | ENWT206 | Question Number | Q28 |
| Question date | 14 August 2014 | Answer date | 18 August 2014 |
| Submission section question relates to | Section 4 | | |
| Topic | Generates new learning | | |
| Question | On page 12, you state that the Fault Level Management Tool will be procured from the same provider as the planned new NMS at ENW. Is there a risk that the tool will be specific to ENW's NMS, hindering the potential replication of FLARE (in particular the FLMT) by other DNOs? | | |
| Notes on question |  | | |
| Answer | In the FLARE Full Submission we highlighted our process for understanding the available fault level assessment functionality from the NMS market place through our Request for Proposal issued in spring 2014. The responses indicated that across the NMS providers most have the fault level assessment functionality in their existing product set but all will require configuration to act on the results of an assessment.  There is no risk for FLARE replication as a fault level assessment tool is readily available from the market place and if an NMS provider, other than the provider chosen by Electricity North West, wishes to offer the equivalent FLARE functionality they could adapt their software application to act upon the results of an assessment.  We have committed to share:   * the specification for the interface between the Fault Level Assessment tool and our NMS, the configuration specification for and the commissioning report for the Fault Level Assessment tool (SDRC 9.1.3); and * the validation report for the Fault Level Assessment tool (SDRC 9.1.4).   These outputs will provide a GB DNO with the information to replicate the interface arrangements and the methodology for validating the results of an equivalent Fault Level Assessment tool. | | |
| Attachments |  | | |