*Innovation Competitions - Full Submission*

*Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Project code | ENWT206 | Question Number | Q9 |
| Question date | 31 July 2014 | Answer date | 4 August 2014 |
| Submission section question relates to | Section 3 Project Business Case  Customer Benefits, Pg 14 and Appendix A2 | | |
| Topic | Downstream reinforcement | | |
| Question | Is there a justification for excluding the downstream reinforcement? | | |
| Notes on question |  | | |
| Answer | The intention of the analysis was to illustrate the difference between the replacement of a primary switchboard with and without downstream reinforcement (ie replacement of distribution switchgear and cables) as we recognise that there will be situations when only a primary switchboard will need to be replaced. | | |
| Attachments |  | | |