# NETWORK INNOVATION COMPETITION NATIONAL GRID GAS TRANSMISSION



In Line Robotic Inspection of High Pressure Installations

July 2014



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

## **Section 1: Project Summary**

1.1	<b>Project Title:</b>	<b>In Line Robotic</b>	<b>Inspection of High</b>	<b>Pressure Installations</b>
-----	-----------------------	------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------

#### **1.2 Funding Licensee: National Grid Gas Plc (Transmission)**

#### **1.3 Project Summary:**

National Grid Gas Transmission (NGGT) aims to move away from predictive asset type modelling towards condition based monitoring of its critical assets. These assets are ageing and many have already reached the end of their intended asset life.

Partnered with two Small Medium Enterprises (Synthotech and Premtech) which have proven records of success in innovation projects, NGGT is seeking to introduce in line inspection of below ground pipework at high pressure installations (AGIs), in order to determine the true condition of these assets. This will allow for pre-emptive fault detection, more targeted planned interventions to be undertaken, thereby extending the life of assets which remain in good condition and cost optimisation.

There is currently no available technology which can in line inspect below ground pipework at pressure above 2Barg. AGIs operate pipework at up to 100Barg. Current methods of inspection for below ground pipework AGIs involve visual inspection via excavation which is both financially and environmentally expensive. As such it does not regularly take place and reliance on survey techniques to target excavations is favoured. These surveys only provide a partial view of asset condition and can be inaccurate leading to unnecessary excavation to investigate potential problems. Not fully understanding the condition of our assets increases the likelihood of an asset failure at a high pressure installation which is also critical to our national infrastructure, such as those which supply power stations.

NGGT has a proven history of developing effective in line inspection technology, evidenced through In Line Inspection (ILI) of pipeline via Pipeline Inspection Guages (PIGs). As such it believes it can develop a robotic in line inspection device which can operate at up to 100Barg. This will allow NGGT to implement an intelligent and proactive asset management strategy, reducing the requirement for inefficient and expensive excavations, extending the life of assets and reducing the likelihood of an asset failure at a high pressure installation thereby securing our national resilience.

#### 1.4 Funding

- 1.4.1 NIC Funding Request (£k): 5674.51
- **1.4.2** Network Licensee Compulsory Contribution (£k): 630.5
- 1.4.3 Network Licensee Extra Contribution (£k): 0
- 1.4.4 External Funding excluding from NIC/LCNF (£k): 0
- 1.4.5 Total Project cost (£k): 6,305.01



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 1: Project Summary continued

**1.5** Cross industry ventures: If your Project is one part of a wider cross industry venture please complete the following section. A cross industry venture consists of two or more Projects which are interlinked with one Project requesting funding from the Gas Network Innovation Competition (NIC) and the other Project(s) applying for funding from the Electricity NIC and/or Low Carbon Networks (LCN) Fund.

**1.5.1 Funding requested from the LCN Fund or Electricity NIC (£k, please state which other competition):** 

**1.5.2** Please confirm if the Gas NIC Project could proceed in absence of funding being awarded for the LCN Fund or Electricity NIC Project:

YES – the Project would proceed in the absence of funding for the interlinked Project

NO – the Project would not proceed in the absence of funding for the interlinked Project

**1.6** List of Project Partners, External Funders and Project Supporters:

#### Premtech Ltd

Unit 5 Charter Point Way Ashby Park Ashby de la Zouch Leicestershire LE65 1NF

#### Synthotech Limited

B2b Crimple Court Hornbeam Square North Hornbeam Park Harrogate HG2 8PB

#### 1.7 Timescale

1.7.1 Project Start Date: Jan 15	<b>1.7.2 Project End Date:</b> 26 Nov 18
----------------------------------	--

1 0	Ductort	Manager	Comboot	Datalla
<b>T.O</b>	Project	manager	Contact	Details

1.8.1 Contact Name & Job Title: Tony Jackson	1.8.3 Contact Address:			
Engineering Manager – Pipelines & AGIs	Gas Transmission Asset Management			
<b>1.8.2 Email &amp; Telephone Number:</b> Tony.r.jackson@nationalgrid.com 01926 656244	Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill CV34 6DA			



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 2: Project Description

This section should be between 8 and 10 pages.

#### 2.1

#### a. Aims & Objectives:

Traditionally the onshore pipeline industry has only been able to in line inspect high pressure pipelines using PIGs. In line inspection of pipelines provides the most accurate and reliable information on the condition of buried pipelines, other inspection methods external to the pipeline have a number of limitations. This innovative robotic technology will however increase precision in our predictive methods. Ultimately, below ground pipework on AGIs however cannot currently be in line inspected because of a number of engineering challenges associated with complex pipework geometries, lack of access and retrieval points and flow factors.

The project has 4 key objectives:

- To accurately and reliably determine the condition of high pressure below ground pipework at AGIs using an internal inspection robot.

- To generate a proactive, rather than reactive, risk based approach to the management and maintenance of aging assets, based on the knowledge of the actual condition of pipework.

- Minimise the occurrence of annual unnecessary excavations and eradicate premature replacement of assets reducing significant carbon emissions and generating cost savings of circa £58m over 20 years.

- Minimise the likelihood of asset failure through proactive asset management, thereby significantly reducing the risk of a high pressure gas release into the atmosphere and the consequential financial, environmental and reputational impact.

#### b. The Problem which needs to be resolved:

NGGT has an obligation to operate and maintain our network in a safe and reliable manner, to do this we must understand the condition of our assets. We believe there is significant scope to improve our asset management strategy for below ground pipework at AGIs which contains 350kms of unpiggable pipework.

Pipelines are accurately asset managed through, amongst other activities, in line inspection i.e. inserting a device within the pipeline. Currently we in line inspect over 7,000 kms (99.5%) of our National Transmission System (NTS) using PIGs. However, PIGs are not suitable for pipework on AGIs and some other pipeline sections, for a number of reasons including, most notably:

• AGIs have complicated geometries associated with both above ground and buried pipework e.g. tight bends and changing pipe diameters which PIGs cannot negotiate.

#### In addition:

• PIGs are dependent on the flow speed of the gas for drive, and the gas flow through an AGI can be highly variable (either faster or slower than a PIG requires).

# Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Description continued

• There is a lack of appropriate launch and receive facilities.

We have over 200 unpiggable high pressure installations on the NTS, including terminals, compressor sites, multi-junctions and offtakes, which are critical to the operation of the network and the security of the country's gas supply. Our asset management strategy on these involves external visual inspection of above ground assets. Below ground pipework however is currently not so easily inspected. We rely upon the original design safety features i.e. thick walled pipe, external coatings and cathodic protection combined with Close Interval Protection Surveys (CIPS), which are used to ensure that the cathodic protection is working effectively and finally asset life modelling.

If it is suspected that below ground pipework is compromised, we currently excavate the relevant area to expose the pipework for more detailed inspection thereafter potentially undertaking remedial action. Both operations are performed at fully or slightly reduced pressure. Depending on the circumstances this may require the full isolation of the site pipework as well as its complete vent and purge. This procedure is both costly and environmentally challenging and inevitably risks disruption to the operation of the network and consequently disruption to customers.

Importantly such excavation and disruption may be entirely unnecessary as CIPS and other current techniques are by no means infallible. CIPS simply provides complex data which upon human interpretation can indicate whether the cathodic protection of pipeline is in all probability working effectively or *may* be compromised. Importantly the data can be affected by a number of external factors which can highlight an alleged issue with the cathodic protection where there is in fact none.

Additionally reliance on whether cathodic protection is working effectively fails to account for other ways in which assets could be compromised. Corrosion due to external interference (rock damage for example) or on areas where CIPS cannot collate data (i.e. disbondment) are concerning and expose the network to much risk. Network licensees currently do not have equipment or methods to tackle this and as the age of our assets continues to grow, reaching the end of their original design life (40 years +) this shortfall in capability becomes evermore more worrying. As such, the ability to understand asset condition, particularly below ground pipework at AGIs, is vitally important to enable us to develop effective risk based maintenance and asset replacement strategies and prevent potential major disruptions to the national gas network and environmental damage.

#### c. **The Method being trialled to solve the problem:**

An in line inspection robot designed to provide an accurate assessment of the current condition of the below ground pipework at high pressure gas installations.

#### d. The Trials being undertaken to test the method works:

The in line inspection robot will undergo a series of offline and online trials to ensure it can effectively solve the current asset management challenge of inspecting below ground pipework at high pressure installations. A bespoke trial pipe configuration will be built by a third party contractor in order to trial the robot within high pressure, it may also undergo trials at Eakring, before it is trialled on complex and simple live sites such as Bacton Gas Terminal and Lupton respectively.



#### e. The solution that will be enabled by solving the problem:

There are three clear solutions which will be enabled by solving the current challenge NGGT has with its asset management strategy for below ground pipework at high pressure installations.

1) Repair and maintenance of critical assets will be better targeted allowing for a reduction in unnecessary excavations.

2) The life of assets will be extended due to an accurate understanding of condition ensuring that premature replacement will be prevented.

3) The likelihood of a high pressure release will be significantly reduced.

#### 2.2 Technical description of the project:

IN SCOPE				
• Design, development and construction of a remotely controlled robot able to take and supply visual and physical information from within live, high pressure ( $\geq$ 100 barg) pipework.	• Design, development and construction of a modular trials facility, to fit within a 25m x 25m footprint, to allow off-site, but under pressure, field trials of the robot within an inert medium such as compressed air or nitrogen.			
• Design, development and construction of a portable 'launch and retrieval' device for the robot.	• Creation of drawings to show the expected extent of the live trials.			
• Survey of the three UK sites selected for the live trials to allow creation of representative digital 3D models.	• Potential trials at Eakring.			
• Carry out Formal Process Safety Assessments to cover all trials.	• Live trials and demonstration of the robot on typical large, medium and small AGIs on the UK NTS.			
• Production of detailed design documents covering the launch and retrieval device.	• Production of detail design documents covering development of the robot.			
Production of procedure documents.	• Production of specification documents.			
OUT OF SC	OPE			
• Trials on sites other than those selected.	• The robot shall not be deployed in pipework carrying anything other than high pressure Natural Gas.			
Robotic inspection of anything other than specified high pressure installation pipework.	Unplanned trials e.g.     emergency.			





Fig 1: Innovative Robot within pipework.

Overview of Project: To design and develop a remotely operable robot that can be inserted into live, high pressure (≥100barg), mild steel pipework systems to undertake both visual and physical inspection of the otherwise inaccessible buried sections of the system. The robot will be selfpowered, highly articulate and able to move at will throughout the pipework.

The Project Management Plan can be found at Appendix D and is extremely comprehensive. It lays out the in line robotic inspection of AGIs project in **five clear stages**, which each have a dedicated time allocation and budget.

The project stages are explained in summary below:

#### Stage 1: 3D Prototype Stage (Alpha)



Stage 1 of the design process involves the development of 3D computational models by Synthotech in accordance with the initial design scope and specifications. These 3D computational models will then be printed using a technique known as 'Rapid Prototyping' that uses powders and plastic print 3D space models, the models will then be fitted with off the shelf electrical, electronic, and pneumatics / hydraulics to provide limited functionality. These space models are used to test first principles of concept design and will be developed further during stage 2 (Beta). Key outputs from this stage are detailed below:

- Critical Path Timeline Identifying all key tasks and their inter-relationships.
- Manufacture / Print and Assembly of 3D Prototypes.
- Testing and Development Reports.
- Review environmental, statutory and regulatory requirements.
- Stage 1 Technical Report (including Financial Review).

Simultaneously, Premtech will design and develop the insertion and extraction device. Its design must be specific to the robot proposed by Synthotech, therefore a close working relationship between Premtech and Synthotech will be required. It will be designed and appraised in accordance with relevant National Grid design codes.

Site surveys and laser scan profiling will be carried out to support the data taken from asbuilt drawing records of each site. Production of 3D digital models of the chosen live trial sites will be produced from this data for numerous uses later in the project (example in the diagram below).







Once Stage 1 (3D Prototype Stage) has been completed, the next phase will be the Bench Test Prototype Stage (Beta). At this stage the project should be very close to reaching the desired outcomes. This phase will see the development of the 3D space models with conversion to metallic and plastic components as well as the addition of bespoke electronic (circuits and motors) drive mechanisms (gear boxes), software development, and power. Key outputs from this stage are detailed below:

- Implement Design and Specification changes from Stage 1 to Stage 2.
- Manufacture and Assembly Bench Test Prototype.
- Laboratory / Workstation Testing.
- Stage 3 Strategy and Testing Matrix Plan: Offline and Online Testing this will ensure statistically sound and sufficiently robust approach is taken during Stage 3.
- Design Review and Design for Manufacture Analysis (DFM).
- Stage 2 Bench Test Demonstration.
- Stage 2 Technical Report.

Using the 3D trial site models, insertion and extraction points selection will take place early in this stage to allow site design changes to be carried out and reported. The selection of the insertion and extraction points will also allow robot route selection and determination, the extent of which will be limited by its design the parameters and illustrated on a 'go nogo' style drawing (example in the diagram overleaf).

A large scale test assembly for field trials will be developed and designed in accordance with NGGT standards and specifications to allow the robot to be tested through pipework with geometry similar to that it will encounter on the sites whilst in a pressurised environment, it may also be trialled at Eakring.



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Description continued



Fig 3: Colour coded routes

Obstacles such as process drains (pictured below) found throughout AGI pipework will be identified and either navigated through, modified or avoided.

Fig 4: Process Drain

A wide variety of pipe diameters are found on AGIs; anything between 50mm- 1200mm is likely, this will require a robot that is able to adapt to suit changes in diameter. It is understood that it may not be feasible to produce a single design that is capable of expanding and contracting to cope with the full range of pipe sizes used on an AGI, as such, it is anticipated that an initial robot be developed which will cover the range 750mm to 900mm diameters, a size range which covers a large portion of the AGIs on the NTS.

The insertion and extraction device will also require much planning and development. It must operate within pipework whilst it is pressurised. It is proposed that the insertion and retrieval operation also be carried out whilst the pipework remains at high pressure. This will be achieved with the use of a device similar in nature to a pigtrap. Unlike a PIG operation however where the PIG is launched at one end of a pipeline and received at the opposite end, it is anticipated that the robot will be launched and retrieved from the same point. The insertion and retrieval device is conceptually shown in the picture below but will likely undergo much testing and adjusting prior to the field trial stage.



Fig 5: Insertion and extraction device

Following connection of the launcher and satisfactory isolation (double block and bleed) downstream of the connection point, the robot will be loaded through the door. The



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Description continued

launcher will then be pressurised by interconnecting small bore pipework until the pressure equals that within the pipework. The ball valves, used to achieve the block, will then be opened to allow the robot to enter the pipework under its own power.

A key requirement for this project is that the robot can operate within pipework whilst pressurised thereby eliminating the need for outage periods or specific isolations during deployment. Although the majority of the AGIs on the NTS operate at  $\leq$ 85 barg, they are constructed predominantly of Class 600 components, which have a Maximum Operating Pressure (MOP) of 100 barg; as such, the MOP of the robot has been set to match this figure.

AGIs are positioned all across the UK on feeders (pipelines) supplying gas both directly to industry and Gas Distribution Networks (GDNs), as such the flow rates vary considerably between AGIs. Those near to high demand consumers are likely to experience greater flow rates than elsewhere. Clearly the body of the robot will be positioned directly in the gas flow and will create a degree of drag, manifesting itself as a (generally) axial load that must be reacted by whatever restraining devices it is furnished with. The robot shall be able to withstand the flow rates typically found in pipework near to high demand consumers. It will be advantageous to choose the time at which a robot is deployed to coincide with times of lower demand, such as during summer months.

The construction of the field trial assembly will be completed during this stage (similar to that illustrated in the diagram below) as well as any modifications to live trial sites in order to allow the trials to take place.

Using knowledge gained during the site design changes in Stage 2 future site design requirements will be identified and reported. All of the preceding work at this point will be used to determine the inspection carbon footprint.



At the end of Stage 2, analysis of measurement and inspection data obtained during the field trials will take place. It is proposed that condition assessment algorithms may be developed to allow high confidence estimations to be made on the condition of pipework that is unable to be accessed by the robot. Data will also be used to establish a site condition index and condition assessment criteria.

Fig 6: Field trial assembly

Stage 3: Offline / Online Testing (Gamma)



Page **10** of **96** 



Stage 4 is focused on the development of the robotic platform into a commercially viable solution and the validation of data generated during the complex testing during stage 3. The data validation will be carried out independently of Synthotech and Premtech by Pipeline Integrity Engineers (PIE) in order to ensure third party assurance.

Stage 4 may require further online field trials on an AGI, but at this stage this will be purely to refine data capture results to allow the creation of algorithms to within an agreed standard deviation so that data collected can provide an accurate indication of the asset condition.

From a product validation perspective all online test will be used as an opportunity to train the agreed process owner, which will be Pipeline Maintenance Centre (PMC).

Key outputs of Stage 4 are defined below:

- Validation of offline & online data.
- Further online testing at AGI.
- Development of asset health algorithms.
- Design review & modifications to provide a pre-commercial robotic solution.
- Process owner training.
- Stage 4 technical report.
- Development of mobilisation plan.

#### Stage 5: Commercialisation and Mobilisation



Stage 5 relates purely to the activities required to provide a pre-commercialised robotic solution to the agreed end user, this involves the dissemination of all data required for operation, calibration and maintenance.

The project will provide one pre-commercialised robotic platform and one launch and retrieval system. It will be pre-commercialised as it will not be a new robot, it will simply be derived from the previous stages of the development process. All design specifications for a commercialised robot and associated launch and retrieval system will be completed at this stage and as such this will be an approved product allowing further purchases of commercialised systems by both NGGT and other UK Gas network licensees.

A suite of specifications will be written to cover installation design, insertion and extraction device, insertion and extraction procedure, robot design and the online and offline testing trials.

#### 2.3 **Description of design trials**

During the design trials which will take place in stage 3 there will be a series of offline and online tests. The results will be recorded on a table much like the one below at fig 7.



Task	Distance			Direction of travel		Obstacle Negiotistion					
Operation	0m to 30m	50m to 100m	100m to 150m	200m	Forward	Backwards	Number of Bends	Pig traps	Vertical Sections	T-Bends	Pipe Diameter Change
	×			3			<b>t</b> 3		<b>7</b> 8		1
	×		1.14		24.5	×					
X	54	×	1.24		х	x		•	+		
- E - I	1.4		x		x	x	+		+		- C+
1				x	x	x					5a
2	×				×	×	1		+	- 22	
÷	×			•	×	x	2	•		-	
	×	- ×	24	. 4	x	×	8		(e)	(4)	21 <b>4</b>
	x				x	x	4			+	- 3¥

Fig 7: Example Testing Matrix

*Offline Testing:* This will be carried out on the specifically developed simulation rig, to provide a safe testing facility to validate the robot's ability to carry out tasks and determine improvement in design related to function or performance prior to commencing any live trials. The simulation rig will reduce the overall development timelines significantly as the development of the robotic platform is not reliant on access to the AGI. This simulation rig will allow planning and preparation for live trials, launch and retrieval, simulation of routes, obstacles, change in pipe diameters and simulated faults. This simulation rig will act as a training facility for all parties involved in the trials, including disaster and emergency drills. It is a vitally important component within the risk management plan.

Online Testing: This will be carried out under live gas conditions on selected NGGT AGIs during summer outage. The purpose of these trials will be to validate the design and performance of the robot in the 'real world' and allow for refinement of designs and process for inspection of unpiggable sections of the AGI. Due to the nature and time constraints of online testing, further offline testing will be carried out to ensure that any concerns or improvements identified during initial online testing are addressed prior to commencement of Stage 4. During both the online and offline testing, key project stakeholders (NG, NIC Panel, OFGEM) will be invited to witness testing, to allow for learning to be shared and knowledge dissemination. During the final phase of offline testing there will also be an opportunity to share this learning with the other UK gas asset owners and operators, academic partners and affiliated technical organisations (IGEM, PIG, IMECHE). On completion of the offline and online testing phase demonstrable data will have been collected to provide statistically sound results, and at this stage a review of the carbon footprint savings achieved will be reviewed this may allow for the identification of potential opportunities to increase this carbon footprint saving e.g. use of technology on high pressure (>69 Barg) gas distribution pipelines and other gas assets. A key part of the Stage 3 development program will be confirmation of the commercial deployment of the robotic platform. A decision will need to be made in relation to the future operation of the equipment and the inspection of the AGIs, namely who will take ownership of the process on completion of Stage 5 of this project. Once a process owner has been identified the focus of Stages 4 and 5 will be to provide the underpinning knowledge, training and operation of the equipment. This will likely be PMC.

#### 2.4 Changes since Initial Screening Process

As part of a thorough risk analysis it has now been deemed important to build a bespoke pipe configuration (simulation test rig) upon which the robotic inspection device will undergo offline trials prior to trials on live gas sites. This will cost an additional £XXXX. It was also decided that a contractor (Pipeline Integrity Engineers) should provide third party assurance, their costs are an £XXXX and have been added to the costs in appendix A.



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 3: Project Business Case

This section should be between 3 and 6 pages.

#### 3.1 Background

The business case for this project is based upon four critical aims and objectives which will improve the asset management of below ground pipework at AGIs:

- To accurately and reliably determine the condition of high pressure below ground pipework at AGIs using an internal inspection robot.

- To generate a proactive, rather than reactive, risk based approach to the management and maintenance of ageing assets, based on the knowledge of the actual condition of pipework.

- Minimise the occurrence of annual unnecessary excavations and eradicate premature replacement of assets reducing significant carbon emissions and generating cost savings of circa £58m over 20 years

- Minimise the likelihood of asset failure through proactive asset management, thereby significantly reducing the risk of a high pressure gas release into the atmosphere and the consequential financial, environmental and reputational impacts.

3.2 **NGGT existing inspection procedures and future requirement** (5-10 year business plan inc how this project links to it)

There are a number of inspection policies currently used at NGGT. Of note exisiting policy dictates that the following must be achieved:

- Pipeline/pipework must be protected against corrosion.
- Pipeline/pipework must be protected against external interference.
- Pipeline/pipework should not be adversely influenced by ground movement or natural or man-made causes (i.e. geological faults or mining).
- Modification, maintenance and repair of the pipeline/pipework are carried out in such a way that its integrity is preserved.
- The pipeline/pipework is not adversely affected by fatigue.

AGIs typically operate at prescribed stress levels categorised as %SMYS (specified minimum yield strength). The flow chart beow is an example of current inspection and survey philosophy for pipework up to 30% SMYS.





Using the flowchart above it is clear to see that the high pressure in line robotic inspection proposal could significantly improve upon our current asset management approach. Over the short to long term this innovative equipment will provide more robust data rather than continued reliance on the survey techniques which are currently the only inspection tools prior to actual excavation.

It would be highly beneficial to have in line inspection to complement existing inspection methods in order to make sure that the condition of an asset is understood and consequentially effectively maintained. NGGT does this through PIG inspection of pipelines which as highlighted earlier cannot take place at over 200 high pressure installations. This in line inspection robot will bridge a current capability gap, which if addressed, could reduce the likelihood of an asset failure and the consequential financial, environmental and reputational damage.



#### 3.3 **Customer benefits**.

NGGT has a variety of direct customers, which notably, are wholesale customers rather than UK domestic consumers. That said we do have an impact on UK end consumers and as such a reduction in the cost of our operations as well as a lower risk of unplanned disruption, will ultimately benefit them.

Benefits both financial and environmental are clear and compelling and examined below:

a. **Environmental**. The project is expected to deliver environmental benefits to customers in three main areas which are listed below and explained in detailed within section 4.1:

- Avoidance of premature asset replacement through the re-lifing of critical assets.
- Minimisation of potential asset failures leading to the release of environmentally damaging high pressure gas.
- Avoidance of unnecessary excavations for inspection through excavation of targeted areas with known symptoms of corrosion or defect only.

#### b. Financial

If the project is successful it will reduce the costs associated with maintaining and replacing below ground pipework on AGIs. The current assets are approaching the end of their design life requiring more surveys, excavations and ultimately replacement; activities which could be disruptive to all customers.

#### • Planned work

Project success will set the conditions for accurate, evidence based information to be generated which will then, via the use of algorithms, determine much more accurately the condition of our critical assets. This will inevitably decrease the requirement for ad hoc excavation, based on potentially unreliable CIPS results and will ensure only targeted excavations take place on areas where there is reliable evidence to suggest there is an asset health issue. This would then allow us to determine the appropriate course of action before commencing any site works, reducing the depressurised time and any associated outages, whilst minimising personnel risk.



	undertake the excavation a number of activities need to be undertaken e.g.:
	- Ground Penetrating Radar survey to establish accurate location.
	<ul> <li>Isolation (under Non Routine Operation), depressurisation and excavation to a depth of 600mm below the problem area including provision of safe access and egress and removal of any ground water to allow for inspection.</li> </ul>
	- Design additional temporary supports, if required.
	- Visual examination by a Competent Inspector.
	- Completion of any minor remedial works as identified during inspection.
	- If the inspection demonstrates that major remedial works are necessary, development of a capital project to replace affected area.
	In undertaking an excavation there are also a number of risks to be managed:
	- There is a risk the isolation may not be achieved due to isolation valves not fully sealing. If this occurs then the valve would be repaired or replaced which would require a full station and/ or pipeline outage due to the location of the necessary additional isolation valves.
	- There is a risk of adverse weather conditions leading to build up of ground water. If this occurs dewatering will be required.
	<ul> <li>Personnel risk, working within an excavation and undertaking operations on high pressure natural gas equipment.</li> </ul>
we	If the above issue had occurred at a customer offtake or at more critical part of the network it may have been necessary to "turn-off" a customer e.g. a power station as at the lower pressure we may have been unable to meet the customer's required operating conditions. In such cases, we have XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	At present we undertake only a limited number of excavations at AGIs, but we anticipate this increasing with the ageing of the asset. Our assumption is that by the start of RIIO-T2, we will undertake 15 excavations per annum of which half could be avoided, assuming an average cost per excavation, including the constraint cost,XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	Replacement
	Undertaking work based on a CIPS surveys, allows us to maintain the integrity of the network, but largely on a reactive basis. The inspection robot will enable us to be more proactive, through better understanding of the condition of our assets. As



condition of each site and develop a prioritisation matrix to ensure replacement expenditure is appropriately targeted and timed. It will also importantly allow us to re-life assets which, have exceeded their design life but remain in good condition. Both financially and environmentally this will generate cost savings as assets will continue to be utilised in a safe and efficient manner until asset deterioration is identified by the robotic platform. At that point, based on tangible evidence a replacement programme will be initiated. In addition if problems are identified early enough minor remedial actions may be able to be undertaken, which prolong the life of the asset.

#### • Unplanned Event

#### • Gas Transmission Summary

The total estimated long term financial benefits to be shared with customers over a 20 year period are ca.  $\pounds$ 60m. These estimated savings result from a reduction in the total expenditure associated with unnecessary excavation, the premature replacement / maintenance of below ground pipework and importantly the minimisation of the likelihood of a high pressure asset failure.

#### 3.4 Wider UK Gas Industry Benefits

The benefits described above relate to the National Transmission System, but we would expect to see similar, if not greater, benefits on the Gas Distribution Networks (GDNs) as well as other third parties, including distribution and transmission networks abroad. The table below highlights the proportion of unpiggable pipework on other UK based GDNs. It is clear from the numbers involved this new technology would be hugely useful to many other



(	organisations who would be able to thereafter deliver cost savings to the UK gas consumer.				
	GDN	Local Transmission (above 7 barg) Systems			
	ODN	Installations	Unpiggable Pipelines (Km)		

	(AGIS & PRS)	
National Grid Gas Distribution	640	1147
Northern Gas Networks (NGN)	216	271
Scotia Gas Network (SGN)	1084	563.9
Wales & West (W&W)	316	1605
Third party direct connections (power stations, industrial and storage sites)	55	N/A
Total	2311	3586.9

By way of ratification we also have a number of letters of support from UK GDNs (found at appendix F) who have approached NGGT in order to express an interest in utilising this robotic platform if successful.

We have also approached network licensees abroad who have confirmed that they too suffer from the same asset management challenge within their high pressure installations. Both the Dutch and Danish operators have responded positively to our proposal and have expressed interest in utilising new technology. Importantly the Danish remarked that so far 'no technology has proved useful and effective' and as such they simply rely on 'CP and occasional dig ups'. The Dutch commented that they replace assets after they exceed their design life which is circa 50 years.

Both comments highlight the fact that networks in UK and abroad suffer from similar high pressure pipework asset management problems and deal with them in similar ways with the inherent limitations. Thereby necessitating the requirement for technological advances and development in this area.

#### 3.5 **Project Financial Analysis**

The mandated NIC costings spreadsheet can be found in Appendix A with the benefits spreadsheet at Appendix B. A summary of key figures per year is below:

Year	Cost (£)
1	400,800
2	1,774,641
3	1,788,917
4	1,373,675
5	966,971

NIC bid preparation costs are likely to be in the region of £175,000 which will be funded through NIA bid preparation costs, and include bid preparation, legal and procurement activities. The project will be subject to robust project management practices, including a stage gate process throughout. NGGT will establish a Project Management Team with the other partners and appoint a project manager to ensure the project is delivered to time and on budget. For all procurement activity, NGGT will wherever possible, undertake competitive tendering.



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 4: Evaluation Criteria

*This section should be between 8 and 10 pages.* 

4.1 Accelerates the development of a low carbon energy sector and/or delivers environmental benefits whilst having the potential to deliver net financial benefits to future and/ or existing Customers.

This project unequivocally accelerates the development of a low carbon energy sector and delivers a range of environmental benefits with the potential to deliver financial savings through avoiding unnecessary excavations, extending the lifetime of assets, and from avoiding a high pressure failure – all achieved through pro-active and more accurate monitoring. A detailed examination of each aspect is below and at Appendix I:

a. **Minimised requirement to excavate reducing carbon emissions and generating cost savings:** Excavations are carried out for sites where it is considered likely that replacement of network pipework/components is required – largely on the basis CIPS survey results and maintaining records of asset age. There is the opportunity to avoid excavations where better inspection capability indicates asset condition is adequate for continued operation.

The Bacton preliminary Life Cycle Analysis estimated the excavation and reinstatement of material produced 1,381 tonnes  $CO_2e$  for the 3,700 linear metres of buried pipeline replaced. For the purposes of this study it is assumed that this a broadly representative site, around 10% of the size of Bacton, would require excavations generating around 138 tonnes  $CO_2e$ .

'Unnecessary' excavations are deemed to be those where excavation is undertaken, and the visual and other inspections indicate that replacement or repair of components is not necessary. In the majority of cases, where an excavation has been carried out, then there will be some repair or replacement necessary. Occasionally replacement will be carried out after excavation as the relevant parts are available (having been previously ordered) and it is preferable to avoid repeated disruption for replacement in the future.

A simple calculation can be carried out based on assuming in 50% of excavations there is no replacement or repair of components. Based on 15 sites per year of this scale, typical excavation emissions nationally would be around 2,072 tonnes  $CO_2e$ . Avoiding 50% of these would equate to a national reduction in carbon emissions for AGIs of approximately **1,036 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e per year**.

b. **Avoidance of premature asset replacement and increasing the life span of assets:** The Bacton LCA provided an estimate of carbon footprint for embodied components replaced of approximately 62,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. This represents the energy used to extract, process and manufacture pipeline, bends, tees and valves replaced. The 62,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e was for pipeline and components replaced at Bacton, an estimated 50% of which was assumed to be below ground.

A typical location is expected to be around 10% of this scale, with the embodied carbon of below ground assets at such a location estimated at 3,126 tonnes  $CO_2e$ .

Typically the lifetime of components in the network are assumed to be approximately 40 years. The important benefit offered by robotic inspection is that this effective lifetime can



be extended – and this means the annualised carbon footprint (i.e. the carbon footprint spread across the lifetime of the components) becomes smaller. While some components may fail before 40 years, it is reasonable to assume that the proportion for which life is extended is greater than the proportion that needs to be replaced before 40 years. Indeed In Line Inspection (ILI) has allowed life-limiting defects in pipelines to be identified and repaired. This has significantly increased the useable life of the National Transmission System and provided reassurance that the most critical flaws have been addressed.

Assuming a standard 40 year lifetime for a typical installation suggests an annualised carbon footprint of 78 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year of life. Extending the lifetime of components offers the following benefits:

Lifetime extension (years)	Annualised carbon footprint for typical high pressure installation – below ground components only (tonnes CO2e)	% improvement
0	78	0%
10	63	20%
20	52	33%
30	45	84%

This indicates the carbon benefit of extending the operating lifetime of components in the network. Every year that the lifetime is extended is a year where new components (with all the embodied energy that they include) do not need to be installed.

Clearly this consideration cannot be extended across the whole network, the age profile varying considerably across assets. An exercise was carried out to model the likely benefits across the network, based on the potential extension in operational life achieved through use of robotic inspection. Example age profiles were produced for the purposes of this calculation as shown below:

	Proportion of components in each lifetime bracket				
Age brackets	Business-as-usual	With programme of robotic inspection			
0-40 years	10%	5%			
40 – 50 years	50%	20%			
50 – 60 years	30%	50%			
60 – 70 years	10%	25%			

Extending the lifetime of components at a typical site would equate to approximately 6 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year per site per year. Extrapolating this across the national network would suggest a carbon saving of around **1,109 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e nationally each year**.

c. **Minimisation of Potential Asset Failures leading to the Release of High Pressure Gas:** By moving to a proactive process of monitoring using inline technologies the risks of unforeseen failure are significantly reduced. The impact of a large scale unforeseen failure would be considerable and could potentially result in large releases of methane to the atmosphere. The Global Warming Potential of methane is around 34 times that of Carbon Dioxide over the 100 year time horizon. A



hypothetical loss of 200 tonnes of natural gas from a high pressure failure would equate to around **6,800 tonnes CO\_2e** emitted to the atmosphere. In addition to this emission of methane would be the significant broader impacts arising from disruption to industry, businesses and households; endangering of human health; and associated knock-on effects.

#### **Conclusion:**

Based on these estimated age profile changes across the network a saving of around 1,109 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year is delivered from avoided replacement, and 1,036 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year from avoided excavations. This reduction is per year that the robotic technology is deployed.

Combining these annual benefits provides an estimated saving of around 2,145 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year.

To put some context on the estimate of 2,145 tonnes <u>annually</u> saved through extending the lifetime of assets:

- OFGEM estimate is typical household energy consumption of 16,500 kWh of gas, and 3,300 kWh of electricity
- This amounts to an estimated energy footprint of approximately 4.5 tonnes per year for a typical household
- The carbon saving through extension to asset life and avoided excavation at a national scale is equivalent to the carbon emissions from energy consumption for approximately 477 UK households, and the benefit is present each year.

#### 4.2 Value for money for gas customers

The consumer will benefit either directly at the start of RIIO-T2 with lower forecast replacement costs and/or through the totex incentive. Any saving either capital expenditure or operating expenditure is subject to the totex incentive and the consumer in principle benefits at a rate of 56p for every one pound saved.

Value for money for gas customers and by association the UK domestic consumer will also be achieved through the following:

a) Through better asset condition assessment, knowledge and understanding, will allow National Grid to plan and focus expenditure and costs where needed.

b) By extending the operational life of existing assets, the requirement for new projects and associated expenditure can be reduced, removed or delayed. Asset replacement can be planned or unplanned, reducing either will provide both cost and carbon benefits to the Gas Customer.

c) Increased assets condition knowledge, should reduce the risk of assets failure and disruption, reducing the potential for Gas Customer interruption and outages. NGGT liabilities and costs associated with disruption and potentially failure



to supply, will be less likely or reduced.

d) Inspection of buried pipework systems using the robot system will reduce the needed for excavations, which can be both complex and costly.

#### 4.3 Generates knowledge

Several aspects of the project will provide learning and knowledge to both NGGT and the other Network Licensees, as defined below:

**Condition Knowledge** – The project involves the deployment of a robot within existing live pipework on three differently sized AGIs. Results gained from the trials should lead to new approaches being taken in managing assets by allowing more accurate estimations as to their current condition in specific areas. Algorithm models will be used to assess the asset health, and therefore AGI risk. They will use a points scoring system to:

a) assign a score to the pipeline condition attributes (all input data that allows the pipeline condition to be understood and modelled) which represent the inherent risk of failure due to different damage mechanisms.

b) define the necessary prevent/mitigation factors which reduce the likelihood of failure by further condition deterioration.

c) calculate a consequence factor based on the site operating parameters.

d) calculate a total risk/ condition score for the damage mechanisms.

The benefits which will fall out of the use of algorithms include:

a) allows comparison and ranking of different AGI designs, maintenance aspects and operational characteristics.

b) separate and rank aspects associated with inherent risk, risk reduction measures, and consequences.

c) allows the effects of risk reduction to be identified and measured to assess where most effective mitigation should be applied.

d) provides a rapid means of assessing relative AGI risks, but founded on principles and methodology used for full quantified risk analysis.

• **Design Knowledge** – The robot will require suitable flanged connection points to facilitate its insertion. Should the use of robotic inspection devices be deemed to be the ideal solution for inspecting buried live pipework then the knowledge gained during this project will be invaluable in determining what is required to allow such devices to be installed. The knowledge gained may be used during the design phase



of new sites or incorporated within designs for planned modifications to existing sites to facilitate their installation.

- Available Technology Knowledge As part of the project an extensive patent and technology search has been undertaken to ascertain what is currently available to the gas industry to allow in line inspection of buried pipework. This knowledge will be applicable to all operators, allowing them to focus their efforts on any alternative solutions.
- **Operational Knowledge** The project will provide an excellent opportunity to train operators of the robot and field staff. The use of the test rig will allow staff to undergo 'blind testing' to ensure competency and increase learning and development. The test rig will also be available to other network licensees to utilise for training and development. This will allow opportunity to further disseminate knowledge and learning across gas distribution and gas transmission which will ultimately be advantageous to NGGT customers and the UK gas consumer.

## 4.4 Is innovative and has an unproven business case where the innovation risk warrants a limited development or demonstration project to demonstrate its effectiveness

Due to the ageing assets on both the transmission and distribution networks it has been necessary to create new and innovative ways of establishing the integrity of and maintaining assets such as pipework. Current procedures can be inaccurate as well as financially and environmentally expensive, and as such it is necessary to draw upon new techniques in order to bridge capability gaps.

There is currently no robotic inspection device that can internally inspect below ground pipework on AGIs at pressure above 2 barg. This innovative design bridges the gap in capability allowing for internal inspection at up to 100 barg pressure. Scotia Gas ratifies this in their letter of support at Appendix F. Increased pressure has a huge affect on inspection devices/procedures. The diagram below demonstrates the difference between operating at 2 barg up to 450 barg and highlight the uniqueness of a robotic device which can operate under such high pressures.



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Evaluation Criteria continued



• Techniques associated with tethered and wireless in line inspection robot systems.

• Technologies to improve geo-location and tracing capability of below ground



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Evaluation Criteria continued

inspection systems.

This joint proposal categorically differs from previous robotics projects, in particular, Scotia's 2013 NIC funded Robotics project. The fundamental difference is that Scotia's inspection robot capable of conducting repairs to mechanical joints can only operate up to pressures of 2 barg. In lower pressurised gas distribution networks this constraint is entirely acceptable however in high pressured environments, in particular (but exclusively) in gas transmission environments this robot simply cannot operate. It is vitally important for the assets at gas transmission sites, notably below ground pipework at AGIs to also be inspected accurately and maintained effectively as a consequence. The Synthotech/Premtech inspection robot (likely prototypes pictured below) can operate effectively in pressurised environments up to 100barg, making it entirely unique and innovative technology.



To be absolutely clear on the difference between current in line inspection robotic technology and this project see the comparison table at Appendix K.

#### 4.5 Involvement of other partners and existing funding:

NGGT held a workshop with internal stakeholders to generate ideas and identify priority themes for the 2014/15 NIC. It also enthusiastically welcomed all other ideas and innovative suggestions from across its organisation. The workshop consisted of representatives from Asset Management, Safety and Sustainability, Market Operation, Capital Delivery and RIIO Delivery functions. The workshop identified six key themes which were then circulated to potential partners and suppliers and published on NationalGrid.com/ innovation. The themes were:

- Facilitation of highly flexible powerplant.
- Heat recovery from Transmission pipeline network.



- Unconventional gas facilitation.
- Towards a zero emissions compressor station.
- Alternative pipeline materials and methods.
- Outage avoidance (plug and play compressors, self-propelling in line inspection).

These themes were also shared with the other gas networks at the Energy Networks Association Gas Innovation and Governance Group meeting. As a result of this activity, a number of proposals were received, compared and assessed against the NIC criteria and National Grid's innovation strategy. The joint proposal received from Premtech and Synthotech on in line inspection of high pressure installations was chosen as the successful candidate. Below is an overview of each of the partner companies:

a. **Synthotech Limited:** a UK-based Small Medium Enterprise (SME) specialising in the innovation, engineering and delivery of 'Must Have' technologies for the utilities industries. Specialising in the development of robotic inspection solutions for pipes 4" to 48" diameter, Synthotech have delivered three IFI Projects and two NIA projects on time and within budget. These highly innovative technological solutions focus on providing asset condition intelligence from within the pipe, under live gas conditions. This approach ensures gas supplies to consumers are not interrupted, and ground excavations minimised, reducing environmental impact.

b. **Premtech Limited:** Premtech's primary focus is to provide engineering, consultancy and design management services for onshore pipeline and associated installation projects of all sizes. As a UK-based SME we are able to work closely with our clients which include almost all of the major UK Gas Distribution Network owner/operators as well as NGGT, gaining a place on several of the major framework agreement contracts. In addition to the professional services listed above we have been instrumental in delivering a number of innovation projects for NGGT, the most significant of which being the Building Information Modelling (BIM) Demonstration project; now in its second phase. Other projects include renewable Power for Installations, Pigtrap Door Seal Study and Direct Replacement Pre-heat Package (DRPP).

In order to provide Synthotech and Premtech with the support they require throughout this complex and ground-breaking project we have asked Pipeline Integrity Engineers (PIE) to provide third party assurance. They will support the technical team in developing and implementing the technical strategy, and providing integrity consultancy support in translating inspection results to asset management strategies and procedures.

Importantly the project is not simply a collaboration between NGGT, Synthotech, Premtech and PIE there are a number of other stakeholders and support partners each bringing their own value to what is a unique and innovative step forward in high pressure in line inspection asset management.

The diagram below illustrates the number of companies and organisations who are involved



in some way with this project. You will also note, that there are project partner and stakeholder letters of support at Appendix F.





Project Code/Version No: NGGTGN02

## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 5: Knowledge dissemination

This section should be between 3 and 5 pages.

Please cross the box if the Network Licensee does not intend to conform to the default IPR requirements.

#### 5.1 Role of data, knowledge and learning dissemination

#### a. Categories of data, knowledge and learning.

This project will deliver significant opportunity for knowledge dissemination across NGGT and The Gas Distribution Networks. Knowledge such as the below:

- **Technical Knowledge:** The ground breaking technology which is being developed throughout the course of this project regarding in line inspection robotics is something which all network licensees both domestic and abroad will benefit from. The Tech Watch at Appendix G highlights the range of available technology and importantly the fact that the technology sought for this project is simply not available. Several areas of technical knowledge which will be disseminated as appropriate will involve design, installation, commissioning and asset management.
- **Operational Knowledge:** Important knowledge regarding implementation including maintenance, repair procedures, risk management, safety processes and data collection.
- **Data analysis:** The knowledge gained as a result of the robotic inspection will allow certain algorithms to be implemented in order to predict asset condition across any given network. The way in which this is collected, processed and analysed must be shared if the robotic technology is to transcend across distribution networks.

#### b. Key responsibilities for knowledge dissemination and learning.

Ultimate responsibility for knowledge dissemination and learning will lie with the Project Manager and his project team. As such he will produce a sound communications plan which will include knowledge and learning dissemination procedures both internally and externally. Despite this, the two prominent partner companies (Premtech and Synthotech) will also have a role and will contribute to the dissemination of knowledge as well as learning from the project. Synthotech, for example, will play a vital role in partnering with Leeds University who, as an academic institute and one of the leading Universities in terms of robotics technology in the UK, can add real value, particularly in the gathering, recording and dissemination of data, its consequential interpretation and therefore production of knowledge.

#### c. Primary stakeholders of project data, knowledge and learning.

A number of organisations both internal and external to National Grid will have an



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Knowledge dissemination continued

interest in the project data, knowledge and learning which falls out of this robotics project and will be known as stakeholders. These will include:

- Premtech
- Synthotech
- Leeds University
- Pipeline Industry Guild
- IGEM (Institute of Gas Engineers and Managers)
- All Gas Distribution Networks.
- Pipeline Integrity Engineers
- Ofgem
- The Energy Networks Association
- NGGT staff, contractors and Direct Service Developers and Manufacturers
- United Kingdom Offshore Pipelines Association (UKOPA)

#### d. Methods of dissemination

These will be extensively laid out in the Communcations Plan which will be written by the Project Manager as part of the comprehensive Project Management Plan but will likely include:

- Web based sharing techniques (project website, online knowledge seminars, social media).
- Press releases.
- Networking events/ industry conferences /seminars.
- Publications/journal/documentary.

Specific events which the project team will attend and potentially present to are:

- Yorkshire & North East Innovation Event April 2015
- Water Research centre Innovations Event May 2015
- IGEM Section Events (Presentations) Various dates during year.
- PIG Section Events (Presentations) Various dates during year.
- IGEM Gas Awards May 2015
- IGEM Annual Engineering Update June 2015
- WGC World Gas Conference 1-5 June 2015
- Pipetech Summit June 2015



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Knowledge dissemination continued

- Gas and Storage Annual Conference June 2015
- No Dig Live September 2015
- International Gas Research Union September 2015
- Low Carbon Networks & Innovations October 2015

Specific publications which the project manager will target are:

- Gi Magazine IGEM
- PE (Professional Engineering) Magazine IMECHE
- Pipeline and Gas journal
- Pipeline Industries Guild (PIG)
- United Kingdom Society for Trenchless Technology

The project manager will ensure that his communications plan commits to a number of timings and deliverables. These are:

- A public, web based launch on 5 Jan 15 to include a short promotional video and an interactive forum (perhaps a twitter account) so that questions can be asked to the project team as the project develops.
- At the end of stage 1 (30 Oct 15) the first knowledge and dissemination review will take place, the results of which will be appropriately presented and sent to all stakeholders identified at 5.1.d.
- An event to promote and demonstrate the pipework configuration made for the purpose of testing the new robot.

#### 5.2 Intellectual property rights (IPR)

We have an agreement where all parties are committed to the default IPR position. The high level principles of this agreement are as follows:

- All Intellectual Property developed as part of the NIC project will be registered in the name of National Grid Gas Transmission (NGGT) this is referred to as Foreground IP. The IP will include any patents, designs and materials. Copyright for the US market will also be covered where appropriate.
- A free Licence will be granted to Synthotech and all our other project partners to exploit this IP on either a non exclusive or time limited exclusive basis.
- NGGT will receive a discount on the normal purchase price of the product (s) or service developed through this project. The discount will reflect the IP developed through the project. The above approach for NGGT will also apply to purchases by other Network Licensees.



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Knowledge dissemination continued

For all other sales to third parties, NGGT will receive a royalty which will be based on the difference between the sale price to the third party and the price paid by NGGT.



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 6: Project Readiness

This section should be between 5 and 8 pages.

Requested level of protection require against cost over-runs (%): 5%

Requested level of protection against Direct Benefits that they wish to apply for (%): Nil

#### 6.1 Evidence as to why the project can start in a timely manner

Much time has already been spent by both NGGT and its partner companies Synthotech and Premtech to ensure that the in line robotic inspection project is ready in terms of project management procedures. The following management systems and preparatory work has already been implemented:

• **Global Tech Watch (GTW)** (a shortened version can be found at appendix G, the full version can be accessed upon request) - Synthotech have carried out a detailed GTW to provide clarity on existing and new technology that could be transferred, adapted or was emerging that could be used for this project. The purpose of a GTW is to ensure that there are no existing solutions and therefore prevent unnecessary duplication of solutions.

Critical to every successful innovation project is the systematic process of gathering, auditing and reviewing all available information (due diligence) using 'best of breed' resources that are essential to establishing a robust project management structure including composition of the project management team.

The aim of the GTW is to provide clarity on existing and new technology that could be used as part of the development project. Synthotech undertook the GTW by carrying out a detailed and systematic analysis of technology that may already exist that could be used for all aspects of the initial scope.

Initial Scope:

- Robotic tool / platform.
- Robot to provide high quality camera survey.
- Laser scan of a specific area for inner surface profile.
- Wall thickness measurement of pipe, at set locations.
- Robot to know where it is in the AGI network Location.
- Robot Suitable for 24" to 48" pipe. 36" most common size on NGGT network.
- Up to class 600 100barg Operating Pressure.
- Bend Negotiation.
- Obstacle negotiation.
- Deployable technology for inspection of smaller pipes within network.
- Relaying of data.



These searches were used to determine the technology / solutions that will be taken forward to the concept stage for the 3D Prototype (Alpha) design phase of the project. The GTW output process can be broken down into four specific phases:

- Scope / Design audit Premtech / Synthotech Define operational requirements.
- Data collection Online Searches, Journals, Published Papers, Patents These searches are not only gas they are designed to look at available technologies from all utilities and Engineering sectors and disciplines.
- Analysis & Review of the data collected this is appraised on the basis of the Technology Readiness Level (TRL), these are measures used to assess the maturity of evolving technologies (devices, materials, components, software, work processes, etc) during their development and in some cases during early operations.



Once the above assessments have been undertaken a suitability matrix is developed to score the technology that has been identified on the basis it is Transferable (No real change needed), Adaptable (modifications to process or product), and Emerging. The table below is an example of a suitability matrix.

Motion System		Suitability Criteria													
		24-42" Pipelines	Metallic Pipelines	Variable Speed	Steerable	Climb Steep Gradents and Vertically	Against flow	High pressure & High Flow	Negotiste Paralel Barred Tees	Multiple Bends	Torque	Range	Payload Capacity	Total Score	Rank
Wall Press	Dual- contact	4	4	4	-4	4	- 4	з		4	3	3	-4	41	1
	Multi- Contact	à.	4	4	4	*	4	4		4	4	3	4	43	2
Free weight	Wheeled	-4-	-4	-4	-4	α	4	0	4	4		3	- 3	35	6
	Tracked	4	4	4	4	0	4	0	4.		2	3	3.	36	\$
Push Rod	Manual	4	4	-4	4	2		2	4	2		2	2	35	6
	Propelled	-4	4	14		3	. 4	3	18 C	2	/2	2	2	38	5
Pig		-4	+	0	.0	4	0	4	8	4	3	4	14	31	7
Telescopic		14	4	1	4	- 6-	- 1	2	4	10	4	.0	2	40	
Walking		4	4	-4	-4	2.	4	3	4	4	2	.3	2	40	
Magnetic	Wheeled	1	4	14	-4	100	4		4	4	1	3	3	41	3
	Tracked	4	4	2.2	12		1	3	44	10.1	.3	33	- 3	44	1

Project Code/Version No:

### NGGTGN02 **Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Readiness continued**

This detailed search identified that there is a significant amount of technology that could be transferred or adapted in relation to visual / non-destructive testing and location. In terms of the robotic platform designed to carry the inspection technology, no off-the-shelf solution was identified which could complete the above scope.

There are four main systems identified that can undertake some elements of the inspection of unpiggable pipes found in an AGI, the issue with the systems identified is their ability to cope with the pressures, flexibility for bend negotiation and distances. Additionally there were also a concerns about the limited available market data on actual operation in relation to the requirements of this project.

- 1. Pipetel
- 2. Pipecrawlers
- 3. Rosen
- 4. Diakont

Therefore it is the recommendation of Synthotech that a bespoke design is required for this project, this is due to the scope and in-pipe complexity in coping with required distances, bends, entry and egress and 100 barg environments. Synthotech will work with third parties that were identified in the GTW, to use the technology that was identified as being transferable or adaptable to ensure that design effort is not focussed on duplication.

**Patent Search** (in full at appendix H) – Synthotech have engaged with a third party specialist organisation to undertake a detailed analysis of patents in relation to techniques, technologies, application that may affect the direction of the project.

The aim of the patents search was to identify relevant prior patent documents (granted patents or pending applications) that could affect freedom to operate in the UK, as well as documents that may also be relevant to patentability (novelty) of technology to be developed as part of the project. Prior art includes previous patents, trade journal articles, publications (including data books and catalogues), public discussions, trade shows, or public use or sales anywhere in the world. The searches were limited to patent documents in order to manage the amount of work required to be done. It is useful to undertake a search in respect of granted patents and pending patent applications since the documents identified may affect the direction of the project.

The Patent Search was undertaken by our approved third party patent attorney (Mark Yeadon of Yeadon IP Limited). Following detailed technical discussions about the scope of the project initial search criteria was developed:

- Pipeline inspection system comprising of robotic devices for inspecting unpiggable pipes; particularly relatively narrow, bendy pipelines that are unpiggable.



- Launch devices for launching robots or pigs into pressurised pipelines.

The results and findings from the search criteria were then analysed by Yeadon IP, prior to review by the Synthotech Project Team for inclusion in the Global Technology Watch.

#### AGI Robot Search

This was the search in respect of Unpiggable pipeline inspection. The results were informally classified according to device type (pigs, robots/crawlers, micro-machines and probes/other). The search was biased towards robots since the search was to reflect the requirement to inspect unpiggable pipelines rather than piggable pipe lines; however some pig related technology was found.

In terms of total numbers of patents, the AGI inspection robot search identified a total of 110 documents in the main search, out of over 3000 documents searched. Of these 110 documents, approximately 6 are granted and in force GB patents or pending applications that could mature into GB patents.



In conclusion, it appears that robot devices suitable for inspecting unpiggable pipelines are well known and some have been in the public domain for long enough that valid, granted patents can either no longer exist for the specific devices described in the documents, or are expected to expire imminently.

#### Launch Device Search

For the launch device search a total of 239 patent documents were identified in the main search. Of these, 70 of these are documents older than 20 years and therefore present little or no infringement risk. A number of these documents disclose
# Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Readiness continued

technology that is believed will be of interest to Synthotech / Premtech, and which could form the basis for a technology platform with no freedom to operate issues.

Of the remaining 169 documents, 30 are pending applications that could lead to a patent being granted in the UK, or are granted patents that are in force in the UK already. A preliminary review of these documents indicates that routes to work around the technologies protected are very likely to exist.

In conclusion, it appears that devices suitable for launching pipeline robots into pressurised pipes are well known and some have been in the public domain for long enough that valid granted patents can either no longer exist for the specific devices described in the documents, or are expected to expire imminently.

#### <u>Risks</u>

As there is no clearly defined device as yet, risk levels are difficult to assess, however it is felt that the overall risk is relatively low. The risk levels associated with using relatively old technology (20 years or more) are very low, and a number of such technologies were identified. On this basis it is believed that development of technology for inspecting AGIs per se is not blocked. Should the project need to use third party protected technology, Synthotech will look to obtain a license.

- **NIC Review** Synthotech have conducted a technical appraisal of the SGN/ULC NIC 2013 Awarded Project, and have confirmed that the projects are fundamentally different due to the operating pressure and environment that the robotic platform and inspection technologies will be required to work within. See table appendix K for further detail.
- **Pipe Modelling** (Premtech) To determine operation scope for the robotic platform, i.e. size, pressure, bends, distances.
- Stakeholder engagement and management procedures.
- **Project Management Plan** to include risk plan, communications plan, quality control and assurance plan, health and safety plan, compliance procedures and finance plan.
- **Risk Register** Two risk registers have been compiled (appendix E) which highlight perceived risks regarding the technical aspects of the device as well as the project as a whole, these will help formulate a comprehensive risk plan.
- **Forecasted costs and timeframe** A schematic of the project costs and projected timelines can be found at Appendix A.

The project will be assured and assisted by the NGGT Project Management Office (PMO) and



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Readiness continued

the Project Manager will report directly to the Project Sponsor.

The project team's organisation structure, showing lines of reporting can be found in Appendix C. One of the key criteria for building a robust Project Plan was in the selection of the relevant project participants and the forming of a competent project team.

As part of the proposal, NGGT has ensured that all members of the Project Team can commence project work in January 2015, are aligned to the specific project deliverables and are able to commit to and meet their scope of work and defined outputs. The work schedules have been developed together with Synthotech and Premtech to ensure the project will start in a timely manner as detailed in the project management plan at Appendix D.

#### 6.2 Evidence of how the costs and benefits have been estimated

A comprehensive study of projected costs is at Appendix A. The likely benefits (environmental) have been third party reviewed by Arup Consulting, whose thorough report from which elements have been extracted and included in the main content of this proposal, can be found at Appendix I. The mandatory benefits table can be found at Appendix B.

### 6.3 Evidence of the measures a Network Licensee will employ to minimise the possibility of cost overruns or shortfalls in Direct Benefits

Project assurance methods such as Earned Value Management techniques, and benefits analysis methods will be utilised throughout the project lifecycle in order to forecast project health in terms of cost and time overruns. At every gate review these project assurance methods will produce results and those results will shape decisions regarding the future of the project i.e. does NGGT continue through to the next stage of the project.

#### 6.4 A verification of all information included in the proposal

A number of external companies have been contracted by NGGT, Synthotech and Premtech in order to validate the information that has been included in this proposal. At Appendix I you will find a full carbon report from consulting company Arup who interrogated our environmental and financial benefits figures to ensure absolute accuracy. PIE have also been asked to support the technical team in developing and implementing the technical strategy, and providing integrity consultancy support in translating inspection results to asset management strategies and procedures.

## 6.5 How the project plan would still deliver learning in the event that the take up of low carbon technologies and renewable energy in the Trial area is lower than anticipated in the submission

This project will still deliver learning as it is focused on providing the asset owner with demonstrable data in relation to asset health. The project will deliver carbon savings as described in this submission, as currently there is no available technology that can be used to obtain this data without the need for excavations to physically inspection the asset. The project will deliver a robotic solution that through visual and non-destructive assessments will prevent the need for excavations.

# Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Project Readiness continued

This robotic platform will allow asset owners to review the ageing asset, to determine if the life of the asset can be extended, if remediation work is required to specific areas, or if the asset needs to be replaced.

If this data can be used to extend the life of the asset then this will have significant benefits associated to the carbon footprint, as the costs and environmental impact associated to replacement of an asset are significant.

6.6 The processes in place to identify circumstances where the most appropriate course of action will be to suspend the project, pending permission from Ofgem that it can be halted.

Throughout this project NGGT will employ Association of Project Management sanctioned methods and procedures for analysing the health of the project in terms of time, budget and quality. If, at any point during each of the five stages, the project management assurance techniques of Earned Value Management for example highlights that the project is in a poor state of health an integrated baseline review will take place immediately and the best courses of action laid out.



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 7: Regulatory issues

This section should be between 1 and 3 pages.

Please cross the box if the Project may require any derogations, consents or changes to the regulatory arrangements.

#### 7.1 Regulatory Impact

It is not considered that the project will require any derogation, licence consent or licence exemption. Consequently, there are no regulatory hurdles to the project commencing or completing on the desired timescales.

#### 7.2 Long-Term Regulatory Impact

There is currently no perceived long term regulatory impact as a result of this project.



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 8: Customer impacts

This section should be between 2 and 4 pages.

#### 8.1 Direct customer impacts

a. The project will not have a direct impact on customer's premises nor is it planned to cause any interruptions to suppliers. The project does not require any customer disconnections or interruptions during installations or operation of the in line robotic equipment. The safety and security of supply will have the highest priority throughout the project duration with existing safety precautions being maintained or improved during every operational change or engineering operation.

b. **Risk of interruptions:** Risk of interruptions in the supply of gas to customers is low. Prior to the robot being subjected to a live trial it will undergo a series of rigorous staged tests and proving trials to demonstrate its readiness for each subsequent trial. Tests and trials will ensure the robot is subjected to conditions equal and greater in severity to those expected within the pipe. Only following successful results will it progress to the next stage. The live trials will be carried out under NRO with suitable contingency plans in place should failure of the robot occur. The chosen route of the robot will be such that gas may be routed in an alternative way to maintain supply should the section it is within be compromised or blocked. All likely failure modes at this stage will be managed by suitable contingency plans.

c. **Contingency Plan:** As part of the project management plan there will be a detailed risk policy, which will include a number of contingency plans (conplans). The offline tests at both Eakring and on the simulation rig will allow for these conplans to be rehearsed and practised. Conplans such as actions on the robot being lost, damaged or unresponsive will be implemented at Eakring and on the simulation rig under pressures ranging from 1 – 100Barg.

#### 8.2 Indirect customer impacts.

There are no identifiable indirect customer impacts as a result of this project.



# Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Section 9: Successful Delivery Reward Criteria

This section should be between 2 and 5 pages.

## 9. Genuine actions linked to outputs of the project with a realistic and challenging deadline, e.g milestones and criteria must be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely).

Our project is set over 5 clear stages. In the following section we set out criteria (9.1 - 9.8) which take place throughout these 5 stages and state the evidence we propose Ofgem should use to assess performance against the criterion.

#### 9.1 Solution Development Complete by 30 Oct 15:

Solution development occurs throughout stage 1 of our project. The completion & submission of the stage 1 report will take place on 30 Oct 15 and will include evidence that all conceptual design work required to move through to the next stage of development has been completed. The following measurables which are both achievable and relevant to the progression of the project will have taken place:

- Concept design study of robotic platform completed and scope clearly defined.
- Creation and validation of 3D models for each trial site, that accurately represent pipework configuration.
- Launch and retrieval device designed to allow robot insertion into high pressure installation. Design validated to minimise venting and manage pressure up to 100Barg.
- Robotic platform conceptual design(s) completed, computer models and 3D prints produced, conceptual design(s) demonstrates potential to achieve objectives of travelling 100metres around 2 bends and taking visual readings and wall thickness measurements in buried pipework of up to 100Barg pressure.
- Documentation for all of the above deliverables uploaded to internal sharepoint site and project file, external version uploaded to website.

#### 9.2 Development Testing Complete by 9 Sep 16:

Development testing occurs throughout stage 2 of the project. Completion and submission of the stage 2 report, will take place on 9 Sep 16. It will include the following measurables which are both achievable and relevant to the progression of the project:

- Robot access and inspection routes for all three trial sites developed and validated including the formulation of Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSAs).
- The offline testing facility designed and distributed for competitive tender. Contract in place for its completion.
- Manufacture of a robotic platform primary solution in order to test and further develop the robotic design and meet the objectives of withstanding pressure of up to 100Barg whilst travelling 100 metres, negotiating two bends and taking visual and wall thickness measurements. This will involve successful bench testing (simulation) in a controlled environment of up to 6m with one bend.
- Launch and retrieval device manufactured to withstand pressure of 100Barg and minimise venting.
- Documentation for all of the above deliverables uploaded to internal sharepoint site and project file, external version uploaded to website.



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Successful Delivery Reward Criteria continued

#### 9.3 Successful Offline trials completed by 30 Apr 17.

Stage 3 of the project comprises of 3 phases. Phase 1 is the offline testing stage which will be completed by 30 Apr 17. The offline tests will ensure that the robotic inspection device can effectively and accurately inspect and collect accurate data from live sites without compromising network flow and capacity. A completion report will be written by 30 Apr 17 which will ensure that the next phase (online trials at the specified live sites: Bacton, Hatton and Lupton) can begin. The following measurables will be achieved:

- Offline test rig manufactured and positioned at readiness to conduct offline trials.
- Functional robotic platform manufactured and tested on offline testing facility to work in 100 barg pressure (Simulated), travel 100m and negotiate two bends conduct visual inspection and wall thickness meansurements A minimum of 10 Offline Tests will take place.
- Establish and publish Disaster Recovery Plan for live trial sites.
- Documented evidence that robotic platform can negotiate measurables listed above via project website.
- Successful data collection/ problem indentification by robotic platform in response to test scenarios (i.e. tactically placed corrosion, defects and oil spillage etc).

#### 9.4 Successful Online trials completed by 30 Sep 17.

Phase 2 of stage 3 is the online testing of the robotic platform phase. Online testing will take place at the identified sites of Bacton, Hatton and Lupton. The completion report will be provided by 30 Sep 17. The online tests will ensure that the robotic inspection device can effectively and accurately inspect and collect accurate data from sites without compromising network flow and capacity. The following measurables will be achieved:

- Successful insertion of launch and retrieval device into all three live sites.
- Undertake testing to deliver a functional robotic platform and associated tools (condition assessment) to work up to 100 barg pressure (live), travel 100m conduct visual inspection and wall thickness measurements- A minimum of 3 online tests per site will take place.
- Documented evidence that robotic platform can negotiate measurables listed above via project website. Publication of successful site mapping on website and recorded in project file.

#### 9.5 **Delta Proto-type Complete by 26 Mar 18.**

At the end of the online and offline testing, a stage 3 report will be completed and submitted (phase 3 of stage 3). This will be completed by 26 Mar 18. The following measurables will be achieved:

- Successfully complete testing to deliver a functional robotic platform to work in 100 barg pressure (Simulated), travel 100m and negotiate two bends, providing condition assessment data (visual and wall thickness measurements) - A minimum of 10 Offline Tests
- Successfully complete testing to deliver a functional robotic platform to work in



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Successful Delivery Reward Criteria continued

- 100 barg pressure (Simulated), travel 100m and negotiate two bends, providing condition assessment data (visual and wall thickness measurements) A minimum of 3 Online tests.
- Publication that robotic platform has achieved measurables above via project website and documented in project file.

#### 9.6 **Data analysis systems in place by 6 Jul 18.**

Data analysis will take place throughout stage 4 of the project in two phases. In phase one. The following measurables will be achieved:

- An analysis of data collected will take place by PIE.
- Condition assessment algorithms will be derived by PIE.
- A site condition will be developed.
- Condition assessment criteria for high pressure installations will be established.
- All of the above deliverables will be documented in project file and published on external website.

#### 9.7 **Completion of Data Analysis and Stage 4 by 3 Sep 18.**

The final aspects of data analysis will be completed by 3 Sep 18 and will signify the completion of stage 4 via a data analysis completion report. It is achievable and measurable and is relevant as it will allow the network to begin procedures for implementation of the robotic inspection device into Business As Usual (BAU). The following measurables will be achieved:

- Based on the deliverables in phase 1 of stage 4 a review of all algorithms will take place in order to determine changes to the required inspection equipment.

- An end of stage 4 report will be produced and will signify the successful delivery of condition assessment via robotic data collection and algorithm utilisation.

#### 9.8 **Implementation into BAU completed by 12 Nov 18:**

Implementation of the robotic platform into BAU is stage 5 of the project. Completion & submission of stage 5 report will be complete by 12 Nov 18, this will set out specific implementation methods and will see the robot transferred into BAU. The following measurable will take place:

- Design, manufacture and deliver a pre-commercialised robotic in line inspection platform.



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma Successful Delivery Reward Criteria continued

Specifications 100% complete checked and approved for in line robotic platform that are acceptable by National Grid as specifications suitable for company use.
Deliver an agreed mobilisation strategy to NGGT including training package for all future operators.
Operating procedures (inc H & S) written and published on project website and recorded in project file. Robotic platform to be included as standard operating practise within NGGT asset management policy.





Section 10: List of Appendices

**Appendix A: In-Line Robotic Inspection of High Pressure Installations NIC Spreadsheet.** 

Appendix B: NIC Benefits Table.

Appendix C: Project Organogram (proposed project team structure)

**Appendix D: Project Plan** 

Appendix E: Risk Report, including Project Risk and Robotic Device Risk.

Appendix F: Project Partners – Letters of Support (including Synthotech, Premtech, PIE, UKOPA, Leeds University, Scotia Gas, Wales & West Utilities, IGEM)

Appendix G: Tech Watch – shortened version highlighting available technology in this field currently. Full version available on request.

**Appendix H: Arup Consulting Carbon Benefits Report.** 

Appendix I: Comparison table between Scotia Gas robotic platform and our proposed robotic platform.



Project Code/Version No:

### **APPENDIX A**

(Please note that the spreadsheets at Appendix A have been cropped to allow the A3 prints to be legible. The columns are empty and have been hidden but are active and viewable in the uploaded documents).



### **APPENDIX B**



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

### <u>KEY</u>

Method	Method name
Method 1	In Line Robotic Inspection of High Pressure Installations (AGIs)
Method 2	[Insert method names here]
Method 3	[Insert method names here]

#### **Gas NIC – financial benefits**

	Financial benefit (£m)											
		Mothod	Basa Casa		Benefit							
Scale	Method	Cost	Cost	2018- 2020	2021- 2030	2013- 2050	Notes	Cross-references				
Post-trial solution (individual deployment)	Method 1	60m	150m	3.75m	28.75m	57.5m	Over 32 years the estimated financial savings are <b>90.8m</b> . These are realistic but slightly cautious figures and could actually equate to much more (upper limit cannot be realistically estimated) if for example, more than one asset failure was prevented over 20 years.	<ul> <li>Financial benefits are analysed at length in Sect 3.3b. In short they are based on:</li> <li>1.9m saving per year in preventing unnecessary excavation.</li> <li>20m over RIIO-T2 &amp;T3 in prevention of premature asset replacement.</li> <li>10m over 20 years in prevention of an asset failure at a medium sized AGI.</li> </ul>				



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

<i>Licensee scale</i> <i>If applicable, indicate the number of relevant sites</i> <i>on the Licensees' network.</i>	Method 1 Method 2 Method 3			The above figures really represent the net financial savings if the robotic technology were deployed post 2018 on all un- piggable AGIs on the NTS.	N/A
<b>GB rollout scale</b> If applicable, indicate the number of relevant sites on the GB network	Method 1			Impossible to calculate at present as the total high	N/A
	Method 2			all network licensees is not known, neither is the total	
	Method 3			cost of modification and roll out on all distribution networks.	

#### Gas NIC - carbon and/ or environmental benefits

				Carbo	on and/ o	r environ	umental benefit (MtCO2e)	
Scale	Method	Method Cost	Base Case Cost	2018 - 2020	2030	2050	Notes	Cross-references
<b>Post-trial solution</b> (individual deployment)	Method 1	0.0332	0.0753	0.0021	0.0115	0.0286	Over 32 years the estimated environmental savings are <b>0.0422 Mts</b> . These are realistic but slightly cautious figures and could actually equate to much more (upper limit cannot be realistically estimated) if for example, more than one asset failure was prevented over 20 years.	<ul> <li>Environmental benefits are analysed in detail in Sect 4.1 of the proposal and at Appendix I in the third party environmental consultancy (Arup) report. They are in short:</li> <li>1036 tonnes saving per year in preventing unnecessary excavation.</li> <li>1109 tonnes over RIIO-T2 &amp;T3 in prevention of premature asset replacement.</li> <li>6800 tonnes over 20 years in</li> </ul>



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

								prevention of an asset failure at a medium sized AGI.
Licensee scale If applicable, indicate the number of relevant sites on the Licensees' network.	Method 1						The above figures really represent the net financial savings if the robotic technology were deployed post 2018 on all un-piggable AGIs on the NTS.	
<b>GB rollout scale</b> If applicable, indicate the number of relevant sites on the GB network.	Method 1						Impossible to calculate at present as the total high pressure installation across all network licensees is not known, neither is the total cost of modification and roll out on all distribution networks.	
If applicable, indicate any environmental benefits which cannot be expressed as MtCO2e.	<b>Post-trial solution:</b> [Explain any environmental benefits which cannot be expressed as MtCO2e]						Beyond purely carbon emissions there are broader benefits from avoiding excavation and extension of asset lifetimes. Any project requiring excavation will produce	
	Licensee scale: [Explain any environmental benefits which cannot be expressed as MtCO2e]         GB rollout scale: [Explain any environmental benefits which cannot be expressed as MtCO2e]						<ul> <li>localised impacts from:</li> <li>Dust and air quality from excavation</li> <li>Vehicle transport emissions and congestion</li> </ul>	
							<ul> <li>Noise and other nuisance</li> <li>Potential pollution incidents from site runoff</li> </ul>	



Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

### **APPENDIX C**

Page **51** of **96** 



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma





**Competition Full Submission Pro-forma** 

### **APPENDIX D**

Page 53 of 96



# Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

D		Task	Task Nam	ie .			Duration St	lart	Finish	Predecessors		20	014										2019
	_	Mode										20	014										2019
	0										Mar	Oct	_	May	1	Dec	Jul	Feb	Sep	Apr	Nov	Jun	Jan
1	•	3	NG NIC I	HP Project Managemer	nt		1174 da Fi	ri 14/03/14	Mon 26/11/18				<b>1</b>									_	,
2		3	Projec	tt Start			0 days Fr	ri 14/03/14	Fri 14/03/14				<b>e</b> 14	1/03									
3	o	3	Execu	tive Board Reviews			894 day W	/ed 30/04/14	Wed 29/11/17				1	m				Ш					
48		3	NIC Pr	reparation - Initial Subr	mission		16 days Fr	ri 14/03/14	Fri 04/04/14				•										
55		3	Full Bi	id Submission			149 day W	/ed 07/05/14	Tue 02/12/14				-		-								
68		3	Projec	ct Management & Plan	nning		95 days T	ue 04/11/14	Mon 30/03/15						-	Ψ.							
69		3	Ma	nagement & Co-ordina	ation Plans		19 days T	ue 04/11/14	Fri 28/11/14						Ψ								
75		3	Des	sign & Co-ordination re	egisters		5 days T	ue 04/11/14	Mon 10/11/14		1				Ψ								
79		3	Pro	ject Management			34 days T	ue 04/11/14	Fri 19/12/14						ΨΨ								
85		3	Tea	m Mobilisation & Set-	up		95 days T	ue 04/11/14	Mon 30/03/15						-	Ψ.							
94		3	STAGE	E 1: Solution Developm	nent		220 day N	ton 05/01/15	Fri 13/11/15								-						
95		3	Cor	mmence STAGE 1			0 days N	fon 05/01/15	Mon 05/01/15	70,71,72,73					٠	05/01							
96		2	Dev	velop technical strategy	y document		20 days N	fon 05/01/15	Fri 30/01/15	95	1												
97		2	Dev	velop Initial Basis of De	isign Document (BoD	D)	20 days N	ton 05/01/15	Fri 30/01/15	95	]												
98		3	Cor	ncept & Methodology (	Documents		40 days N	ton 05/01/15	Fri 27/02/15							Ψ.							
105		3	Rec	cords & Surveys - Existi	ing Sites		70 days N	ton 05/01/15	Tue 14/04/15		1												
113		-	Site	e Modelling			100 day N	ton 30/03/15	Thu 20/08/15		1					<b>-</b>							
118		3	Rob	oot Insertion & Extracti	tion Device - Design		150 day N	fon 02/03/15	Fri 02/10/15							ų—	Ţ						
127		2	Rep	placement Asset Carbo	on Footprint		70 days N	ton 30/03/15	Thu 09/07/15							ų—	Ŧ						
132		2	For	mal Process Safety Ass	sessments (FPSA)		40 days N	ton 07/09/15	Fri 30/10/15								ΨΨ						
137		2	Pro	ject Concept Study			40 days N	fon 05/01/15	Fri 27/02/15		1					Ψ							
				-																			
				Task		Summary			Inactive Task			Du	ration	n-only	,			_	Finish-onl	Y	3		
Project	: NGN	C Draft4		Critical		Project Summar	y 🛡		Inactive Mileston	• •		Ma	nual	Sumn	nary R	ollup e		_	Deadline		+		
Date: 1	Date: Thu 26/06/14		Split		External Tasks	-		Inactive Summary	~			nual	Sumn	mary				Progress		_		-	
	Milestone 🔶 External Mi		External Milesto	istone I N		Manual Task	C		Sta	rt-on	ły		c										
				1					Page 1														



## Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma

D		Task	Task Name	Duration Start	Finish	Predecessors	2014	2019
	0	Mode					2014 Mar Oct May Dec Jul Feb Sep Apr Nov	2019 Jun Jan
154		3	Alpha Prototype	110 day Mon 02/03/15	Thu 06/08/15			
172	1	3	Stage 1 Completions	180 day Mon 02/03/15	Fri 13/11/15		¢¢	
177		3	STAGE 2: Development Testing	210 day Fri 13/11/15	Fri 23/09/16			
178		3	Commence STAGE 2	0 days Fri 13/11/15	Fri 13/11/15	176	¢1 <sup>13/11</sup>	
179	1	3	Update BoDD & Technical Strategy document	20 days Mon 16/11/15	Fri 11/12/15	178	*	
180	1	3	Robot Insertion & Extraction points	120 day Mon 16/11/15	Thu 19/05/16			
188	1	3	Robot inspection route drawings	120 day Mon 22/02/16	Thu 11/08/16			
196	1	3	Site specific insertion & extraction device design	120 day Mon 22/02/16	Thu 11/08/16			
207		2	Field Trial Design	100 day Mon 16/11/15	Wed 20/04/16		~ <b></b> ~	
215		2	Beta Prototype	160 day Mon 16/11/15	Thu 14/07/16		·	
239		-	Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSA)	40 days Thu 21/04/16	Thu 16/06/16		<b>~</b> ~	
244		-	STAGE 2: Completions	50 days Fri 15/07/16	Fri 23/09/16		~	
250		-	STAGE 3: Field Trials	384 day Fri 23/09/16	Wed 11/04/18			
251		3	Commence STAGE 3	0 days Fri 23/09/16	Fri 23/09/16	249	◆23/09	
252		•	Update BoDD & Technical Strategy document	20 days Mon 26/09/16	Fri 21/10/16	251	*	
253		2	Field Trial Procurement, Manufacture & Construction	200 day Mon 26/09/16	Fri 14/07/17			
258		3	Field Trial Design updates	60 days Fri 07/07/17	Fri 29/09/17		~	
262		7	Live site Inspection, Procurement, Manufacture & Construction	260 day Mon 10/10/16	Mon 23/10/17		·•	
267		7	Live Site design updates	60 days Fri 07/07/17	Fri 29/09/17		~~	
271	•	7	Gamma Development	194 day Mon 26/09/16	Thu 06/07/17			
295		7	Future Site Design Requirements	120 day Fri 07/07/17	Fri 22/12/17			
			Task Summary	÷ <b></b> ÷	Inactive Task		Duration-only Finish-only	
Proje	ct: NGNI	C_Draft4	Critical Project Summar	y <del>ç ç</del>	Inactive Mileston	e 0	Manual Summary Rollup Deadline 🔶	
Date:	Thu 26/	06/14	Split External Tasks		Inactive Summary	y 🗢	Manual Summary Progress	
			Milestone   External Milesto	ine 🔶	Manual Task	C	Start-only	
			1		Page 2			h,



### Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma





Project Code/Version No:

### **APPENDIX E**

Page 57 of 96

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report details the outcomes obtained from the Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission by National Grid Gas Transmission Project Threat Workshop No.1, held at National Grid House in Warwick, on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> September 2014. In addition there was a follow up Teleconference on Thursday 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2014.

Within the report there are three sections which are as follows;

- 1. Executive Summary.
- 2. Procedure.
- 3. Appendices.

The objective of the meeting was to create and complete the Project Threat Register which lists the significant Threats that may have an impact on the successful delivery of the Project as well as identifying possible Threat Mitigation Control Measures. This Project Threat Register can be seen within Appendix A.

The report also includes two charts: Appendix B - Project Threat Ranking Plot - Current Scores Pre Mitigation and Appendix C - Project Threat Ranking Plot - Target Scores Post Mitigation.

The Plot from Appendix B can be seen below and uses the Current Threat Score to rank the Threats in order of which will potentially cause the highest impact to the Project, and as a result, highlights the Threats which are the most important for the Mitigation Control Measures to be prioritised and implemented.

### **RIO NIC Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma**



### **RIO Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma**

The Plot from Appendix C can be seen below and uses the Target Threat Score to rank the Threats in order of which will potentially cause the highest impact to the Project after all Mitigation Control Measures have been put in place. As a result this helps to identify the Threats that will still be most prevalent following the implementation of the Mitigation Control Measures and which therefore will require very close monitoring and management.

		Threat	Score		
0	5	10	15	20	25
Design of Robot Launch and Receive Vessel					
Launch and Receive Vessel Tether Arrangement					
Potential for Delays in Design and Development					
IP / Patent Issues with Desired Technology					
Pressure / Flow Conditions					
Potential for Failure on a Live Site					
Project Requirement - Wall Thickness Measurement					
Potential that Project does not Receive Funding					
roject Requirement - Making the Robot Platform adjust between 24 and 36 Inch Pipe Diameters	-1				
Project Requirement - GPS and Location					

It is also important to note that due to the nature and characteristics of some of the Threats they will have to be managed in different ways. These ways are;

- **Treat:** a Plan which attempts to reduce either the Likelihood or the Impact on the Threat without fundamentally altering the work.
- Avoid: a Plan which recommends not undertaking the Activity which may lead to the Threat impacting the works.
- **Transfer:** a Plan which recommends changing the Ownership of the Threat to a Third Party.
- Tolerate/Accept: with some of the Threats it may not be possible to fully mitigate the impact by using some/all of the methods above. As a result the Project may have to accept that some of the Threats may impact the works and as a result ensure that the Threats are fully managed and, where possible, contingency plans are put in place to manage the outcomes of any Threats that do occur during the works. It is important to note that the Project is aware of this possibility due to the nature of the works that will be undertaken, i.e. their new, inventive and exploratory nature.

### Gas Network Innovation **Competition Full Submission Pro-forma PROCEDURE**

This report details the outcomes obtained from the Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission by National Grid Gas Transmission Project Threat Workshop No.1, held at National Grid House in Warwick, on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> September 2014. In addition there was a follow up Teleconference on Thursday 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2014.

The objective of the meeting was to create and complete the Project Threat Register which lists the significant Threats that may have an impact on the successful delivery of the Project (in terms of Cost, Schedule, Reputation etc.) as well as identifying possible Threat Mitigation Control Measures and the resultant Action Owners.

A list of Threats were created and reviewed in relation to the following:

- Likelihood Score both Current (Pre Mitigation) and Target (Post Mitigation).
- Impact Score both Current (Pre Mitigation) and Target (Post Mitigation).
- Threat Mitigation Control Measures.
- Threat Mitigation Action Owner/s.

The Risks and Opportunities, once identified, were scored on a Rating Level of 1 to 5 for the Likelihood Level and the Impact Level to the Project (in terms of Cost, Schedule, Reputation etc.) by those present at the Project Threat Workshop. This was done for both the Pre Mitigation and Post Mitigation positions. These Ratings Levels that were agreed upon during the Project Threat Workshop can be seen in the tables below.

Project Threat Likel	Project Threat Likelihood and Impact Scoring Matrix Levels											
Threat Level	Likelihood Score	Impact Score										
Very High	5	5										
High	4	4										
Medium	3	3										
Low	2	2										
Very Low	1	1										

	Project Threat Likelihood and Impact Scoring Matrix												
	Impact - Very Low	Impact - Low	Impact - Medium	Impact - High	Impact - Very High								
Likelihood - Very High	5	10	15	20	25								
Likelihood - High	4	8	12	16	20								
Likelihood - Medium	3	6	9	12	15								
Likelihood - Low	2	4	6	8	10								
Likelihood - Very Low	1	2	3	4	5								

Also included within this report are the following appendices;

- Appendix A - Project Threat Register.
- Project Threat Ranking Plot Current Scores Pre Mitigation. Appendix B

**RIO NIC Gas Network Innovation Competition Full Submission Pro-forma** 

• Appendix C - Project Threat Ranking Plot - Target Scores Post Mitigation.

Threat ID	Threat Name	Throat Description / Stage of Project	Current li	mpact - Pre N	Aitigation	Throat Mitigation Control Measures	Threat Mitigation Action	Target Im	pact - Post M	Vitigation
ID	meat Name	inteat Description / Stage of Project	Likelihood	Impact	t - Pre Mitigation         Threat Mitigation Control Measures         Threat Mitigation Control Measures           score         a) The Project is to be managed by National Grid to existing National Grid standards, including the development of a full Project Management Pian - Quality Control, Compliance, Corms Strategy etc. Three will be a full Project Organizam developed, including Clear and Defined Roles, Critical Skills, Job Role Descriptions etc.         NGGT - Tony Jac NGGT - Tony Jac NGGT - Tony Jac Compliance, Corms Strategy etc. Three will be a full Project Dropiets success ensuing people can be replaced if required.         NGGT - Tony Jac Compliance, Corms Strategy etc. Three will be a full Project Management based on project success ensuing people can be replaced if required.         NGGT - Tony Jac Compliance, Compl	Owner/s	Likelihood	Impact	Score	
						a) The Project is to be managed by National Grid to existing National Grid standards, including the development of a full Project Management Plan - Quality Control, Compliance, Comms Strategy etc. There will be a full Project Organigram developed, including Clear and Defined Roles, Critical Skills, Job Role Descriptions etc.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
				b) Key Project Individuals will be Identified and Contingency Plans will be created and developed to cover issues if individuals leave or are not available to the Project. It will also be ensured that no on single individual is to be deemed as key to Project success ensuring people can be replaced if required.	i NGGT - Tony Jackson					
	Potential for Issues / Failure due	c) All activities will be identified on the Project Programme to allow the activities to be fully resource loaded. A Resource Breakdown Structure (RBS) will be generated from the Project Programme.	NGGT - Tony Jackson							
T-001	to Adequate and Competent Project Resources	All 5 Stages.	3	5	15	d) Clear Work Packages, Clear Contingency Plans (including Succession Planning) and the Responsibility Assignment Matrix (RAM) will be developed.	NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	5	5
		e) The use of the Regular Project Progress Meetings to identify any potential future issues are identified and managed. f) Ensure that a Project Escalation Plan is put in place, including a Single Point of Contact.	NGGT - Tony Jackson							
			NGGT - Tony Jackson							
						g) The Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd elements of the Project will be led by Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd Company Directors who will have day to day responsibility for the Project. Premtech Ltd will also employ a full time Design Coordinator.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
						<ul> <li>h) Ensure that all Resource Training needs are to be identified early through Competence Assessments.</li> </ul>	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						a) The Project is to be managed by National Grid to existing National Grid standards, including the development of a full Project Management Plan - Quality Control, Compliance, Comms Strategy etc. There will be a full Project Organigram developed, including Clear and Defined Roles, Critical Skills, Job Role Descriptions etc.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						b) Progress Meetings (which will include an agreed Standard Agenda) at Regular, Key and Convenient Intervals at Agreed Location/s.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						c) Regular communication with the Project Manager to ensure that all Project Objectives are met as progress is made.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
	Detection for large / Foilure due					d) Conduct regular threat meetings during the Project to review and update the Project Threat Register at Regular, Key and Convenient Intervals at Agreed Location/s.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
T-002	to Project Coordination - Between the Parties Involved	All 5 Stages.	3	5	15	e) For the Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd Project Elements and Deliverables, Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd will hold regular Design Coordination, Review and Progress Meetings. These Meetings will be open to all Project Partners to attend, Formal Minutes will be issued.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	5	5
						f) Production of Monthly Dashboard Reports to Summarise Progress and Highlight Potential Future Issues. Including Monitoring Progress against an Agreed Programme.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
						g) Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd will deliver the Project in accordance with their Accredited Quality Environmental Health and Safety Management System (QEHSMS).	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
						<ul> <li>h) Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd will input and fully participate in the Overall Project Management and Coordination Activities.</li> </ul>	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			

Threat	Threat Name	Throat Description / Stage of Project	Current Ir	npact - Pre N	Aitigation	Threat Mitigation Control Measures	Threat Mitigation Action	Target Im	pact - Post I	Aitigation
ID	initeat Name	Threat Description / Stage of Project	Likelihood	Impact	Score	militation control measures	Trreat Mitigation Action Owner/sTarget Impact - Pos ItikelihoodPlatform. A Basis of iel. The BoDD will ance Requirements.Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez LittlePremtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Vez LittlePremtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Vez LittlePremtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez LittlePremtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Lt	Impact	Score	
						a) Premtech Ltd will work with Synthotech Ltd on the Launch Platform. A Basis of Design Document (BoDD) will be developed for the Robot Vessel. The BoDD will clearly identify the Design Parameters, Operating and Performance Requirements.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
		Stage 1 - Solution Development.				b) An Options Report will be developed based on the BoDD, this will include Options Drawings and Appropriate Involvement / Input from Manufactures / Suppliers.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
T-003	Design of Robot Launch and Receive Vessel	The development of a Launch Platform that enables the Robot to enter the Pipe Network. The Tether will need to be Housed inside the Launch Platform at High	3	5	15	c) Existing Technologies from In-Line-Inspection (ILI) Operations will be utilised where appropriate i.e. Enclosure (door) Design.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	2	5	10
		Pressure. This gives Limited Access during Operation.				(FPSA's) will be used to evaluate Options and Designs.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						f) The Robot Vessel will be subject to Detailed Assessment and Analysis i.e. Stress and	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt			
		Stage 1 - Solution Development. Using a Tether simplifies the design as it allows Power and Control to be				a) An Options Report will be developed early within the Project to fully Identify, Consider and Determine the Tether Seal arrangement through the 100 Barg Vessel. Techniques used in the other Industries, including Offshore, will be fully Identified	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
T-004	Launch and Receive Vessel Tether Arrangement	easily fed to the Robot Platform. This would lower the cost of development of the Project and also the Lead Time of Development. There is however a Risk that the Tether could become caught causing the Robot to become stuck. Tetherless Technology would be expensive to implement and take a long time to test and approve on the Pipe Networks. A Wireless System would also have to rely on Battery Power so run time would be limited. Additional problems could include Signal Loss etc.	4	5	20	and Evaluated. Including Consultation with potential Manufactures / Suppliers. b) Alternatives to a Tether Seal Arrangement through the 100 Barg Vessel Wall, will be considered, such as a Tether Arrangement fully contained within the Vessel.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	2	5	10
						c) Ensure that the Technology is not pushed above the well proven Technology Ready Level (TRL).	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
		problems could metade signal coss etc.				d) Challenge and Review (C&R) Techniques and Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSA's) will be used to evaluate Options and Designs.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
		Stage 1 - Solution Development.				a) The location of the Test Facility is to be Determined and Agreed Early within the Programme in order for Site Surveys / Evaluations (Access and Safety) to be conducted.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
T-005	Location of the Test Facility	Without full Testing the of the Platform prior to the Live Launch the risk of In- Pipe issues is greatly increased. The Rig will need to fully Simulate all In-Pipe conditions the Robot is expected to encounter once in use.	2	3	6	b) The Location and Positioning of the Test Facility is to be subject to Challenge and Review (C&R) Techniques and Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSAs).	NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	3	3
						c) There are a number of Locations that can be used, ensure that all requirements are considered to ensure that the correct locations are used within the Distribution Network.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						a) An Options Report will be developed which will be based on the BoDD, this will include Layout Drawings and Material Take Offs (MTO's). The Report will also address Optimising the Flexibility and the Benefit of the Test Facility.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
		Stage 1 - Solution Development. Stage 2 - Development Testing.				b) A full Testing Schedule / Requirements Document is to be developed. This is to include Testing of Robot Platform, Vessel, Tether Arrangement and Technologies. This is also to include Success Criteria.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
T-006	Design and Delivery of Test Facility	The building of a Test Rig to enable full Testing of the Platform. Without full Testing the of the Platform prior to the Live Launch the risk of In- Pipe issues is greatly increased. The Rig will need to fully Simulate all In-Pipe conditions the Robot is expected to encounter once in use.	3	4	12	c) A Specific Programme will be developed for the Test Facility to ensure that it is delivered on time. The Test Facility will be treated as a Project within a Project and as a result competitive tendering for this aspect will be considered.	NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	4	4
						d) Challenge and Review (C&R) Techniques and Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSA's) will be used to evaluate Options and Designs.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						e) Designs will be subject to T/PM/G/35 Approvals and Appraisals.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
T-007	Cost of the Delivery of the Test Facility	Stage 1 - Solution Development. Stage 2 - Development Testing	3	3 4 12		a) Ensure that the development of Full Scope of Requirements is put into place (this will be dependent on the Output from Design).	NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	4	4
	. domity					b) Ensure the use of Competitive Tendering takes place.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
Threat	Threat Name	Threat Description / Stage of Project	Current In	npact - Pre N	Aitigation	Threat Mitigation Control Measures	Threat Mitigation Action	Target Im	pact - Post M	Aitigation
D			Likelihood	Impact	Score		Owner/s	Likelihood	Impact	Score

T-008	Accurate 3D Modelling of Existing Sites	Stage 1 - Solution Development.	3	4	12	<ul> <li>a) A Procedure is to be developed to ensure Models are accurately generated from Site Records and Site Survey Information. Procedure to include Delivery of Information to allow Model Analysis.</li> <li>b) Model Checking and Verification Procedure to be developed.</li> </ul>	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	4	4	
T-009	Confidence of 3D Model of AGI (Identification of Extent of Robot Inspection) and Development of Site Specific Design Requirements for Robot Inspection	Stage 1 - Solution Development. Stage 2 - Development Testing.	2	5	10	<ul> <li>a) A Procedure to be developed to Consider and Assess the extent of the Site the Robot can Inspect, considering Pipework Complexities, Access and Egress and Robot Capability.</li> <li>b) A Risk based approach is to be developed. Areas within the Site to be identified using a Traffic Light System i.e. Red is Not Suitable for Robot Inspection. The Extent and Benefit of Robot Inspection is to be fully determined.</li> <li>c) Designs will be subject to T/PM/G/35 Approvals and Appraisals.</li> </ul>	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	5	5	
T-010	Potential for Delays in Design and Development	Stage 1 - Solution Development. Stage 2 - Development Testing.	4	5	20	<ul> <li>a) The Project is to be managed by National Grid to existing National Grid standards, including the development of a full Project Management Plan - Quality Control, Compliance, Comms Strategy etc. There will be a full Project Organigram developed, including Clear and Defined Roles, Critical Skills, Job Role Descriptions etc.</li> <li>b) Progress Meetings (which will include an agreed Standard Agenda) at Regular, Key and Convenient Intervals at Agreed Location/s.</li> <li>c) Regular communication with the Project Manager to ensure that all Project Objectives are met as progress is made.</li> <li>d) Make use of Earned Value Management (EVM) Techniques to fully monitor Progress against the Project Programme.</li> <li>e) Conducted regular meetings during the Project to review the Project Threat Register at Regular, Key and Convenient Intervals at Agreed Location/s.</li> <li>f) For the Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd Project Elements and Deliverables, Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd will hold regular Design Coordination, Review and Progress Meetings. These Meetings will be open to all Project Partners to attend, Formal Minutes will be issued.</li> <li>g) Monthly Dashboard Reports to Summarise Project Programs and Highlight Potential Future Issues. Including Monitoring Progress against an Agreed Programme.</li> <li>h) Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd will deliver the Project in accordance with its Accredited Quality Environmental Health and Safety Management System (QEHSMS).</li> <li>i) Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd will input and fully participate in the Overall Project Management and Coordination Activities.</li> <li>j) Hot Desks will be provided within Premtech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd and Synthotech Ltd offices for Project Partners to Utilise.</li> </ul>	NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt	2	5	10	
T-011	Potential for Access Issues to Specified NG Test Sites	There is a risk that the Project may be delayed if access cannot be gained to the required NG sites due to incidents, other Project requirements etc.	3	4	12	<ul><li>a) Ensure the availability of planned sites as and when required.</li><li>b) Ensure that the identification of alternative sites takes place.</li></ul>	NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	4	4	
T-012	IP / Patent Issues with Desired Technology	There is a risk that Re-Design may be required if the Project matches existing Technology during development.	4	5	20	<ul> <li>a) Explore any alternative options to key pieces of Technology.</li> <li>b) Ensure the continuation of Patent Searches takes place to allow early identification of any potential issues.</li> <li>c) Ensure the continued use of Patent Partner.</li> <li>d) Make use of Patent Pending on New Technology as it is developed.</li> </ul>	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson	2	5	10	
Threat	Threat Name	Threat Description / Stage of Project	Current Impact - Pre Mitigation		Aitigation	Threat Mitigation Control Measures	Threat Mitigation Action	Target Impact - Post Mitigation			
ID			Likelihood	Impact	Score		Owner/s	Likelihood Ir	Impact	Score	
						a) Ensure the full development of the full required Parameters needed for use.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little				

		Damage to the robot will occur if there is High Gas Pressure / Flow Rate or if				h) Curleys the extential of reducing December ducing testing	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt			
		there is any Debris in the Flow.				b) Explore the potential of reducing pressures during testing.	Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
T-013	Pressure / Flow Conditions	Design becomes and the greater the risk to the Platform. This will increase the Time and Cost of Development ensuring Robust Design.	3	5	15	c) Explore the use of Filters against Debris.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	2	5	10
						d) Development of solutions as a result of Offline Testing using the Test Facility to prove the suitability for Live Sites.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
						a) Utilise Existing Technologies where possible to ensure confidence.	NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little			
						b) Development of bespoke algorithms that are based on the gathered information (results) that allow the asset condition to be determined.	PIE - Gary Senior			
T-014	Confidence in Results	There is a risk that the results produced are not as envisaged and cannot be verified or interpreted into meaningful data.	3	4	12	c) Compare the gathered information (results) with other existing inspection systems such as CIPS.	NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	4	4
						d) The test facility will be used to assess the accuracy and determine the confidence in gathered information. Known pipework features can be incorporated within the test facility which can be used to verify / assess the gathered information.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt			
T-015	Potential that Project does not Receive Funding	There is a risk that the Project does not receive funding through the currently desired route.	2	4	8	a) Explore the potential for alternative funding Partners. For example the Network Innovation Allowance funding route.	NGGT - Tony Jackson	2	4	8
						a) Ensure that the development of Full Scope of Requirements is put into place (this will be dependent on the Output from Design).	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
T-016	Cost Overruns / Scope Creep	There is a risk that there may be delay and/or increased costs during development.	3	4	12	b) The Project is to be managed by National Grid to existing National Grid standards, including the development of a full Project Management Plan - Quality Control, Compliance, Comms Strategy etc. There will be a full Project Organigram developed, including Clear and Defined Roles, Critical Skills, Job Role Descriptions etc.	NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	4	4
						c) Ensure that the Project has a clear and fully defined set of Objectives.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
						d) Explore the option of funding any additional works (e/o current scope) via the Network Innovation Allowance funding route.	NGGT - Tony Jackson			
	Company Buy-Out, Bankruptcy of	There is a risk that a change in circumstances of one of the Project Partners, Subcontractors and/or members of the Project Supply Chain may result in	2	r	10	a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners	PIE - Gary Senior Premtech		5	_
1-017	Partner etc.	delay to the Project.	2	5	10	a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.	Ltd - Wez Little	1	5	5
1-017	Partner etc.	delay to the Project.	-	5	10	a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.	Ltd - Ywez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1		5
T-017	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits.	1	5	5	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	5	5
T-017	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits.	1	5	5	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	5	5
T-017 T-018 T-019	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits. There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting.	1	5	5	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	5	5
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits. There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting. There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons.	1	5	5 6 5	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the full development of Health &amp; Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	5	5
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits. There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting. There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons.	1 2 1 Current In	5 5 3 5 mpact - Pre N	5 6 5 1itigation	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the full development of Health &amp; Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Threat Mitigation Action	1 1 1 1 Target In	5 5 3 5 5 5 9 9 9 7 5 7	5 5 3 S Vitigation
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020 Threat	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working Threat Name	delay to the Project.         There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits.         There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting.         There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons.         Threat Description / Stage of Project	1 2 1 Current In Likelihood	5 5 3 mpact - Pre IV	5 6 5 litigation Score	a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Partners. a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives. b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach. c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit. a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact. a) Ensure that the full development of Health & Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project. Threat Mitigation Control Measures	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Threat Mitigation Action Owner/s	1 1 1 1 Target In Likelihood	5 5 3 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5 5 3 3 Vitigation Score
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020 Threat ID	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working Threat Name	delay to the Project.         There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits.         There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting.         There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons.         Threat Description / Stage of Project	1 2 1 Current II Likelihood	5 5 3 s mpact - Pre M	5 6 5 1itigation Score	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the full development of Health &amp; Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project.</li> <li>Threat Mitigation Control Measures</li> <li>a) Ensure thorough Testing on the Specially Built Facility.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little <b>Threat Mitigation Action</b> <b>Owner/s</b> Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt	1 1 1 1 Likelihood	5 5 3 spact - Post I Impact	5 5 3 Vitigation Score
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020 Threat	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working Threat Name Detectial for Failure on a line fit	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits. There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting. There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons. Threat Description / Stage of Project There is a Risk while undertaking the Live Trial of the System on 100Barg Pipe Networks. If the System is under/poorly tested the Platform might not	1 2 1 Current II Likelihood	5 5 3 mpact - Pre N impact	5 6 5 litigation Score	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the full development of Health &amp; Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project.</li> <li>Threat Mitigation Control Measures</li> <li>a) Ensure the full development of Recovery Plan/s.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Threat Mitigation Action Owner/s Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ing Suttle	1 1 1 1 Likelihood	5 5 3 spact - Post I Impact	5 5 3 Vitigation Score
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020 Threat ID	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working Threat Name Potential for Failure on a Live Site	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits. There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting. There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons. Threat Description / Stage of Project There is a Risk while undertaking the Live Trial of the System on 100Barg Pipe Networks. If the System is under/poorly tested the Platform might not perform to the required brief. The platform could also get stuck in the Pipe Causing a significant blockage in the Pipe Network.	1 2 1 Likelihood	5 5 3 mpact - Pre M Impact	5 6 5 Nitigation 1 Score 1	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the full development of Health &amp; Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project.</li> <li>Threat Mitigation Control Measures</li> <li>a) Ensure the full development of Recovery Plan/s.</li> <li>c) Challenge and Review (C&amp;R) Techniques and Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSA's) will be used to evaluate Options and Designs.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - lan Butt Synthotech Ltd - lan Butt	1 1 1 1 Likelihood	5 5 spact - Post I Impact 5	5 5 3 S Vitigation Score
T-017 T-018 T-019 T-020 Threat ID	Partner etc. Potential that Technology does not meet Current Estimated Benefit Minimisation of Venting Insurance of Safe Working Threat Name Potential for Failure on a Live Site	delay to the Project. There is a risk that once completed the Technology does not bring the currently estimated benefits. There is a risk that the Project exceeds the currently desired level of Venting. There is a risk that the Project may be delayed due to Health & Safety related reasons. Threat Description / Stage of Project There is a Risk while undertaking the Live Trial of the System on 100Barg Pipe Networks. If the System is under/poorly tested the Platform might not perform to the required brief. The platform could also get stuck in the Pipe causing a significant blockage in the Pipe Network.	1 2 1 Current li Likelihood	5 5 3 npact - Pre N Impact	5 6 5 litigation Score	<ul> <li>a) Ensure that regular and open contact takes place between the Project Partners.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the delivery of Product that meets current Project Scope, Project Requirements and Project Objectives.</li> <li>b) Make use of a Stage-Gated approach.</li> <li>c) Explore other areas that the Technology can be of a benefit.</li> <li>a) Ensure that all options are explored to minimise Venting through the Project to minimise Environmental Impact.</li> <li>a) Ensure that the full development of Health &amp; Safety Plans takes place and that they are strictly adhered to through the Project.</li> <li>Threat Mitigation Control Measures</li> <li>a) Ensure the full development of Recovery Plan/s.</li> <li>c) Challenge and Review (C&amp;R) Techniques and Formal Process Safety Assessments (FPSA's) will be used to evaluate Options and Designs.</li> <li>d) Designs will be subject to T/PM/G/35 Approvals and Appraisals.</li> </ul>	Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Threat Mitigation Action Owner/s Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson	1 1 1 Target Im Likelihood	5 3 s s s s s 5 5	5 5 3 Vitigation Score 10

T-022 P S N	Project Requirement - Enable the system to Travel 100m and Negotiate 2 Bends in the Pipe	There is a Risk that the Tether may get caught when returning (reversing) to the starting point if the Design is not correct. The Existing Technology enables this to be undertaken at Lower Pressures.	2	4	8	<ul> <li>b) Ensure that all forms of existing Technology are fully explored.</li> <li>c) Development of solutions as a result of Offline Testing using the Test Facility to prove the suitability for Live Sites.</li> </ul>	NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	1	4	4
т-023 t С	Project Requirement - Making he Robot Platform adjust between 24 and 36 Inch Pipe Diameters	The larger the Pipe Range available to the Platform the more Pipelines it can survey. However a balance needs to be struck between flexibility of the Platform and complexity of Design. The smaller sizes between 24 and 36 inch are the most complex as there is less space for the Robotic Systems.	3	3	9	<ul> <li>a) Ensure the Scope of Requirements are fully developed.</li> <li>b) The pipes will be mapped out to show diameter changes to allow plans to be put in place.</li> <li>c) Development of solutions as a result of Offline Testing using the Test Facility to prove the suitability for Live Sites.</li> </ul>	NGGT - Tony Jackson Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	2	3	6
T-024 C	Project Requirement - Visual CCTV Inspection	Camera Technology exists and can be used on the Project. Cleaning Systems might be required to remove Debris from Visual Systems. The Higher Operating Pressures will also require Greater Complexity of Design and could cause increased Time and Cost over the Lower Pressure System.	2	5	10	a) Examine alternative technologies. b) Examine particle (oil, residue, foreign bodies etc.) simulation on the Offline Test Facility. c) Initial Sample Investigations to Test the State of Locations with Similar Environments.	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson	1	5	5
T-025 L	Project Requirement - GPS and ocation	The location of the Robot inside the Network and also the location of In-Pipe Features will be unknown. The Technology exists but needs upgrading for Higher Pressures involved in the Project. The accuracy of GPS may not be good enough depending on the In-Pipe Geometry (i.e. No of Bends). The increased accuracy of the System to understand Bend Negotiation will need to be developed. This will increase cost and development time.	3	4	12	a) Ensure that the correct conditions will apply to the Test Rig to ensure the GPS models correctly (i.e. in the $x/\gamma/z$ axis).	Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little	2	3	6
т-026 р	Project Requirement - Optical Profiling	Using Lasers to accurately measure the In-Pipe Features and Obstacles won't work at High Pressure. The existing Technology exists but needs upgrading for the Higher Pressures involved in the Project.	3	4	12	a) Explore the current capabilities of the Existing Technology. b) Fully define the requirements for optical profiling.	NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson	2	3	6
T-027 T	Project Requirement - Wall hickness Measurement	There is a risk that in Pipe Measurement of Pipe Wall conditions cannot be undertaken. Technology for Piggable Pipes exists but is poor at Scanning Bends and Complex Geometries expected for this Project. This is a low risk to the Project as the Project can proceed and add these features at a later date if required.	4	4	16	<ul> <li>a) The Project scope currently does not include wall thickness measurements around bends.</li> <li>b) Explore the potential of talking to other Parties to see if this is possible.</li> <li>c) Ensure that the Results can be combined with CP Checks and Single Point Checks.</li> <li>d) Explore the possibility to introduce this through further Projects.</li> </ul>	NGGT - Tony Jackson NGGT - Tony Jackson Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little Premtech Ltd - Ian Butt Synthotech Ltd - Wez Little NGGT - Tony Jackson	3	3	9
							1			

### APPENDIX F



Wales & West House TV Wales & West Sooner Close Spooner Close Celtic Springs Celtic Springs

Telephone/160x.0400.912.29.99 Cel/Lans/160x.040192.29.99 Cel/Lans/160x04010360076 Lund/Fbott-enquirios@wwwnilides.co.uk www.ww0ilides.co.uk

Coedkernew Coedcernyw Newport NP10 8FZ Casnewydd NP10 8FZ

Lamsin Kashap/Tony Stonehewer National Grid Transmission National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

25<sup>th</sup> June 2014

Our reference:

#### Letter of support for NIC Project Proposal

Dear Tony Stonehewer

In response to your email of 1/th June 2014, please accept this letter as support in principle to your NIC project proposal to develop a system for online inspection of High Pressure Installations using robotic systems and tools.

Internal inspection is recognised by the industry as the best means of assuring the continuing integrity of transmission pipelines but at the moment station pipework cannot be inspected with conventional in line inspection (ILI) tools.

Understanding the condition of your assets enables you to target your investment in a better way to manage risk effectively.

We look forward to learning of the developments made in this project.

Yours sincerely

theh-

Grant Rogers

Smell gas? Call us! Arogli uwy? Flontwch ui! 0800 111 999

All caits well be recorded and may by memitated Bydu ye boll alwastari in card ou cofnorti ar fe tablet gaci ou modifie



Woles & West Digities Unabled Registered Office Woles & West Inside, Sources Close, Cette Sacinga, Considerment, Alexyson: REVID 872 Registered in Capturd and Wates monther 50 in 791 23<sup>rd</sup> June 2014



National Grid Transmission National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

Dear Sir/Madam

#### Re: Network Innovation Competition 2014

We, at the, Institution of Gas Engineers and Managers, (IGEM), are delighted to provide our support and recommendation for your NIC project involving the inspection of high pressure assets using robotic technologies.

In particular the proposal sets out a 'first' for the UK Engineering community and places us firmly as leaders of innovation and excellence. We believe it will drive forward and cement the UK's reputation as a world leader for safety in the gas industry. Furthermore, we believe it will significantly extend the lifetime of the gas infrastructure assets as well as delivering significant carbon savings that will provide an overall positive impact on the UK economy.

At IGEM we believe that this type of collaboration, engineering excellence and innovative thinking is long overdue and have no hesitation in recommending and supporting your proposal.

Yours faithfully

٢

Sarb Bajwa Chief Executive Officer

Scotia Gas

National Grid Transmission National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

24th June 14

Dear Sir/Madam,

#### **Re: Network Innovation Competition 2014**

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your NIC project 2014.

Having successfully implemented robotics into low pressure gas distribution pipework, we have seen at first hand the potential financial, operational and environmental benefits associated with this type of in-pipe technology. As you are aware, we have been recently awarded (2013) NIC funding for our own innovative robotics project, and have undertaken this in partnership with ULC Robotics, so can see similarities in the approach taken by National Grid Transmission in their partnership with Synthotech and Premtech. We have ourselves worked with Synthotech on a robotics and other innovation & technology projects under NIA.

It appears that this project will need to develop robotic technology which is, at present, unavailable across the NTS and all distribution networks both in the UK. The development of a robotic inline inspection device capable of inspecting complex pipework, operating at up to 100barG will face a number of unique and complex challenges. If successful however, it will potentially allow the network to operate at full capacity whilst conducting proactive and efficient asset management.

We do not think that this project conflicts with the above mentioned NIC awarded to our network for the following reasons:

- 1) Designed for Unpiggable pipeline configuration
- 2) Designed for significantly higher pressures (100barg)
- 3) Targeting inspection of asset condition only not remediation or replacement

We believe that there may be an opportunity to share some learning between both projects in relations to asset condition measurement methods. Although the pipeline operating environment will be very different due to the pressures involved, the sensors we are developing as part of our NIC project may be applicable, or there may be some learning from the sensor review we have completed. We will be submitting our SDRC 3 report in the coming months and will share the relevant outcomes.

We would also be happy to support technical peer review at the end of the project, and would invite you to do the same for ours.

Similar to the NTS, our transmission network is nearing the end of its design life and as such the requirement to conduct inspection to validate asset health is critical to maximising asset life and extending this asset life by demonstrable data.

Yours Sincerely

Angus McIntosh Innovation & New Technology Manager SGN

### School of Mechanical Engineering

University of Leeds Leeds, LS2 9JT
T +44 (0) 113 243 1751 T (Direct line) +44 (0) 113 3432156 F +44 (0) 113 242 4611

Dear Sirs,

The University of Leeds has a world class track record in robotics and has recently secured funds to form the EPSRC National Facility for Innovative Robotic Systems; a world class nationally focused £4.3M facility for designing and creating robotic systems. We have a very strong research theme in exploration robotics, which covers robotic systems designed to collect data and move in environments that are remote from, or inaccessible to, humans. Example research areas include: robots for live water pipe inspection, search and rescue robots, mine rescue robots and miniature mobile robotics for surgical intervention. One of our highest profile projects developed robotic systems to climb within shafts of the Great Pyramid of Giza, Egypt, discovering writing hidden for thousands of years. We also developed autonomous mobile robots that were finalists in the 2008 UK Ministry of Defense Grand Challenge.

I am delighted to confirm our support to Synthotech Ltd, for the Network Innovation Competition, to develop an online robotic inspection system for high pressure gas installations. Synthotech is a local, highly innovative company whose expertise aligns exceptionally with our research and the proposed project.

The challenges of operating robotic vehicles in pressure of up to 100 barg should not be underestimated. The pressure, combined with maneuvering in pipes of varying sizes that contain obstacles whilst performing visual inspection and material characterization, make this project extremely technically challenging.

I have a good knowledge of Synthotech, their technological capabilities, current projects and have no doubt they have the skills and capabilities and resource to undertake the work. We would be very pleased to work with Synthotech to contribute our technical knowledge and academic facilities towards this project.

Dr R.C.Richardson, CEng, FIMechE Director of the EPSRC National Facility for Innovative Robotic Systems School of Mechanical Engineering University of Leeds Leeds LS2 9JT Email: R.C.Richardson@leeds.ac.uk 19/6/2014

National Grid Transmissions National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

Therefore, I fully support this application and very much hope that it is successful.

Yours sincerely,

Dr R.C.Richardson

262A Chillingham Road Heaton Newcastle upon Tyne NE6 5LQ England

Tel. +44 (0) 191 2765300



262A Chillingham Road Fax +44 (0) 191 2765451 www.pieuk.co.uk

National Grid Transmissions National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

Dear Sir / Madam

#### **Re: NETWORK INNOVATION COMPETITION 2014**

Pipeline Integrity Engineers (PIE) wish to formally record our support for the Innovation proposal by National Grid Transmissionin in respect to Online Inspections of High Pressure Installations Using Robot Systems and Tools.

Having had experience in R&D over many years in the Oil and Gas sector, we fully understand the importance of R&D initiatives that deliver new techniques and products which solve engineering challenges in a safe and cost effective manner.

The National Grid innovation proposal can make a significant contribution to the inspection and therefore integrity management of High Pressure installations in the UK. These installations have limited accessability, therefore any non-intrusive technique that can accurately and repeatedly inspect for damage or deterioration will have major benefits to UK industry.

Yours faithfully

**G Senior** Director

20th June 2014



#### **United Kingdom Onshore Pipeline Operators' Association**

UKOPA Chairman Ripley Road Ambergate Derbyshire DE56 2FZ

20 June 2014

National Grid Transmissions National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV34 6DA

#### **Re: NETWORK INNOVATION COMPETITION 2014**

We thank you for your invitation for United Kingdom Onshore Pipelines Association (UKOPA) to review and work alongside National Grid Transmission in the above mentioned application. UKOPA exists to provide the recognised and authoritative view of UK pipeline operators on strategic issues relating to safety management, operations and integrity management of onshore hydrocarbon pipelines. It seeks to effectively influence the development and implementation of pipeline-related legislation and standards for the mutual benefit of all stakeholders and promote safety and best practice in the pipeline industry. As such we actively encourage innovative new ways for all oil, gas and petrochemical pipeline operators to improve their maintenance and safety procedures. This then helps to reduce costs to all customers as well as decrease the pipeline sector's carbon footprint.

It is reassuring to see National Grid Transmission working with two carbon neutral companies (Synthotech and Premtech) to generate a new, ground breaking, in line inspection robot which can operate effectively within pressures of up to 100bar. Given that this technology is simply not available at present and the current methods of inspection at Above Ground Installations (AGIs) are inconsistent and often inaccurate (necessitating the occurrence of premature and unnecessary excavations), it is encouraging to see that a more intelligent solution to AGI inspection is being invented which we believe will have wider use within the oil, gas and petrochemical industry.

Yours faithfully,

Roger Ellis UKOPA Chairman

# National Grid

Hinckley Brick Kiln Street LE1O ONA Office (Ext): 01926 654893 e-mail: steven.vallender@nationalgrid.com National Grid Transmission National Grid House Warwick Technology Park Gallows Hill Warwick CV346DA 10July14

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Network Innovation Competition 2014

National Grid Distribution is delighted to offer support to National Grid Gas Transmission in respect of their in-line inspection of high pressure installations robotics project.

Our Gas Distribution Network (GDN) is comprised of complex pipework and has over 596 AGIs which are pressured at >7barg. Similarly to the NTS it is nearing the end of its asset life and as such the requirement to conduct inspection, maintenance and repair is becoming ever more important. Current reliance on survey based asset management and ad hoc, occasionally unnecessary excavation is inefficient and expensive. We would prefer to in-line inspect our high pressure assets if the technology became available and we believe that given some modification, this in-line inspection robot could be transferred onto the GDN network. We believe that this technology will not only significantly increase the lifetime of our infrastructure assets but will deliver carbon savings which will contribute to National Grid's commitment to reduce carbon emissions in line with the UK Government's Carbon Plan.

We are also extremely keen to share knowledge and learning with National Grid Gas Transmission. We believe that they have a robust knowledge dissemination plan and are committed to sharing best practise and look forward to sharing in this innovative thinking and engineering excellence.

Yours faithfully,

Stephen Vallender National Grid Network Innovation and Investment

## **APPENDIX G**

### NIC Technology Watch & Patents Overview- On-Line Robotic Inspection of High Pressure Installations Ref – FO8/GTW&P/OV/0614 Version 1

#### 1.0 Introduction

This document summarises the detailed Global Technology Watch (GTW) and Patent Search as a precursor to the NIC submission of the Synthotech F08 Project.

The GTW is broken down in four main areas of investigation: Motion, Vision, Condition Assessment and Location Tracking. The highlights of the extensive searches are detailed later in this document.

Preliminary patent searches have been conducted to assess freedom to operate in respect of the inspection of unpiggable pipelines. One aim of the searches was to identify technologies relevant to the inspection of unpiggable pipelines that offer no 'freedom to operate' limitations. A further aim of the search was to obtain an overview of the state of the art technology in respect to unpiggable pipeline inspection. The preliminary conclusions are that there is good scope for the company to develop inspection technology for unpiggable pipeline systems with freedom for the company to make, sell and use the technology in the UK.

Through both detailed packages of work, no solution was identified, that could meet the specific requirements of this project. This was based on one or a combination of the design scope requirements, namely the pipe size range, change in diameter, bend / obstacle negotiation, distance to be travelled, use in "live" gas, complexity to evolve / develop, or operating pressures.

#### 2.0 Global Technology Watch

Synthotech have undertaken a comprehensive global technology watch associated with the technology that would be required for in line robotic inspection of high pressure installations, focusing on the building blocks of a robotic platform, motion, vision, condition assessment and location tracking.

**2.1 Motion** - Various different methodologies are used for the transportation of robotic systems along pipelines. Basically they can be split into two options, powered and non-powered. Powered systems almost all use electric motors, actuating either wheels or tracks. The main differences come from the size of the technology, and the technique used to maximise the traction. The non-powered systems use the flow of the pipeline or a differential pressure created to propel the device along the pipe.

Propulsion technology covers the following areas / techniques and principles:

- a. Wall Press Exert mechanical force through the areas where the drive system contacts the pipe, in order to increase the friction level between the two and hence allow a higher tractive drive force to be applied
- b. Free Weight Use gravity to create traction and drive themselves
- c. Push Rod
- d. Intelligent Pigs
- e. Telescopic
- f. Walking
- g. Magnetic Pipeline Crawlers

A significant number of systems were identified in each of the above categories. These were then reviewed against the requirement of the NIC project and a matrix was developed. (Table 1). Each of these systems is covered in detail in the GTW submitted to NGGT. The following are key systems identified, albeit no solution was identified, that could meet the requirements of this project. This was based on one or a combination of the design scope requirements, namely the pipe size range, bend / obstacle negotiation, distance to be travelled, use in "live" gas, complexity to evolve / develop, launch and retrieval (access) or operating pressures.

The below systems where indentified as having elements that could be applied to the NIC project, and if the project is approved, NGGT and Synthotech will approach these companies to look further in to the opportunity for technology transfer or learning.

In addition to the global technology watch and patents Synthotech have engaged with the University of Leeds (UoL) about the development of this robotic platform, to that end the directors of the EPSRC National Facility for Innovative Robotic Systems (Dr Rob Richardson and Prof Anne Neville) have agreed to provide academic support to this project, in particular around the development of the platform to within stand pressure and to look at taking material analysis.

**Pipetel - Pipeline Explorer** - *An un-tethered remote-controlled robot for inspection of underground gas mains, multiple drive units along the length of the system, with small articulated modules allow for manoeuvrability through piping including 90° bends. Parts of the system are patented. The robot also has multiple sensor arrangements for in pipe measurements including deformation sensors and magnetic flux leakage technology. This system is the closest to the NIC brief but does not meet the required specification in terms of pressure rating i.e. only up to 50 barg. It is also unclear how the robot would cope with wireless command controls in the pipeline environments envisaged for the project.* 

Rosen – Robotic survey system - Unpiggable pipeline solutions, Rosen have many years of experience in the unpiggable pipeline industry. They have multiple robotic platforms for use in different pipe environments with a complete array of modular sensing systems that can be deployed for in pipe analysis. The robotic platforms have wheels in various wall press formats to create drive and enable it to stay stable in gas or liquid flow. The smallest is the Robotic Helix tool. This uses a helical drive with MFL sensors to assess multiple bend pipelines. Bigger systems include the RSS or robotic survey system. This is configurable for pipelines in the survey size of the NIC project. The system is limited to 1.5xD bends but can operate in pipes with high levels of debris in up to 20 barg pressure.

Pipe crawlers - tethered intervention crawler - Unpiggable pipeline robot with brush drive. The intervention robot uses a brush drive system to travel along the pipe there are some patents on this technology. This enables the device to travel forwards and backwards in the pipeline. The device can traverse bends but little information is available on how many or what radius it can achieve. The system carries multiple sensors to scan the pipeline. These can be daisy chained together to add as many functions as required. The systems also has the pressure and travel distances required by the NIC project.

Quest Integrity Group - InVista<sup>™</sup> and HYDRA<sup>™</sup> - Lightweight intelligent pig platform, The InVista system is capable of detecting pipeline wall loss and corrosion in unpiggable or difficult-to-inspect pipelines. It can navigate most bends apart from mitered joints. The system does not have any steering control and would therefore not be suitable for many of the pipe configurations envisaged for the NIC project. The system can inspect 6-24 inch mains. As the system is pig based it uses the pipeline pressure differential created to travel.

Motion System	Suitability Criteria	Sc

		24-42" Pipelines	Metallic Pipelines	Variable Speed	Steerable	Climb Steep Gradients and Vertically	Against flow	High pressure & High Flow	Negotiate Parallel Barred Tees	Multiple Bends	Torque	Range	Payload Capacity	
Wall	Dual- contact	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	0	4	3	3	4	41
Press	Multi- Contact	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	3	4	43
Free	Wheeled	4	4	4	4	0	4	0	4	4	1	3	3	35
weight	Tracked	4	4	4	4	0	4	0	4	4	2	3	3	36
Push	Manual	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	2	1	2	2	35
Rod	Propelled	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	2	2	2	2	38
P	ig	4	4	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	3	4	4	31
Teles	scopic	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	0	2	40
Wa	king	4	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	2	3	2	40
Magnetic	Wheeled	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	2	3	3	41
maynetic	Tracked	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	44

Table 1 Motion / Propulsion / Kinetics: 0 (Not relevant, 1 (Relevant), 2 (Effective), 3(Very Effective) 4 (Optimum Solution)

Other systems reviewed that are unsuitable for the project scope are summarised below to show that there has been a detailed search associated to robotics:

**ULC Robotics – CISBOT** - A robotic unit that is capable of repairing and sealing cast iron joints. The system is not specifically designed for negotiating bends and would struggle to operate around multiple bends.

**IBAK** - **MultiGator** - A robot designed for sewage pipe inspection and repair. The IBAK MultiGator and it's family of robots utilise a unique system of inflatable bags between the wheel sets that when inflated exerts a force which acts through the wheels and increases friction to produce a reliable grip in a range of pipe 230-800mm in diameter.

**Inspector Systems – INSPECTOR Type 6000** - Pipeline inspection robot for 440-750mm diameters. These all press into the wall of the pipe and can provide propulsion in vertical pipelines. The system is capable of up to 500m travel distance. Pipe bend negotiation is achievable with a bend radius of up to 1.5D and 90 degree swept bends can also be negotiated. The robot is not steerable at a tee junction.

**Foster-Miller - RoboScan™ Inspection Robot –** *A modular transformer concept robot that is polymorphic and adapts to its surroundings. The project was cancelled in 2004.* 

**Kiwa Gas Technology – Pirate -** *Development of an autonomous gas distribution system inspection robot, developed for small diameter pipe. The technology has not been developed into a commercialised solution.* 

**Diakont - ILI RODIS** - Pipeline inspection and multi sensor platfor., The inspection robot performs a comprehensive pipeline examination in a single run, measuring wall loss, dents, corrosion and visual data. The robot can navigate mitre bends and unbarred tees, traveling up to 1300 ft. It is unclear if the platform can operate under pressurised conditions.

**ULC Robotics - Large VGC Crawler** - Variable geometry crawler for pipe inspection. The system uses variable geometry to adapt to pipeline diameter and geometry ranging from 20" to 48" pipes. The system has a 250 metre maximum travel distance from the insertion point. The system was

developed for use in gas distribution mains but could not be used in the pressure range of this project.

**RedZone – Solo** - Autonomous pipeline inspection robot for use on sewage pipe, the robot is designed for use on 8-12" diameter pipes. This amount of autonomy would be complex to setup for the intended NIC project.

**RedZone – Responder** - This is the larger version of the solo robot designed for 36" and larger drains. This comes with multi-sensor inspection, combining conventional CCTV with synchronized laser and sonar dimension information.

**Synthotech – Synthotrax** - Pipeline Inspection Robot for gas pipelines, tracked pipelineinspection robot, capable of surveying up to 500m from one vertical 'live' launch excavation. Pipelines ranging in size 12" to 48" can be surveyed up to 2 barg pressure.

**Adroctech – ADX -** Robot for visual inspection of pipes and reactor vessels that are under water. The robot is capable of only 50m of travel in pipe sizes above 200mm in diameter.

**Inuktun – Versatrax** - Uses modular track units and cameras that can be adapted to different pipe scenarios. Some setups allow long distance surveys (up to 2km), others allow for vertical climbing and multiple bends in various pipe sizes. This means the system falls into the free weight and wall press categories. This will be a key feature to the NIC project, allowing different configurations of the platform depending on the in pipe environment encountered.

**AM Industrial - SP300 MaxiCam -** Crawler systems for visual pipe inspection. AM Industrial produce robotic systems using 4 large wheels. Pipe sizes can vary from sizes 225-2000mm. Many other companies produce similar crawler robots for this purpose.

**Inspector Systems – MAKRO plus** - Untethered manoeuvrable service robot, Drive wheels on short modules allow the robot to fit round the maximum bend radius. The robot is primarily designed for small diameter pipelines outside the scope of the NIC project.

**Quest Inspar – Robotic Lining Systems -** A tethered remote-controlled robot for coating pipelines from 6" to 36" in diameter. Drive system features tracks at a high level of camber similar to the Inuktun system, but on a much larger scale. It is unclear if this can be used in pressurised pipelines.

**Pure technologies – PureRobotics -** Water and sewage pipeline surveying robot. The robot is not designed for under pressure applications but does use a fibre optic tether for fast data connection speeds.

**AIST – MTRAN3** - A "modular transformer" polymorphic robot that adapts to its surroundings.

**TiTech – SSR (Slim Slime Robot) or Active Cord Robot (ACR)** - A tethered, remotecontrolled, snake-like robot that has not been used in the application of pipelines.

**4 front Robotics - Cricket UGV** - Walking robot with track feet. Highly articulated and reconfigurable robot for operations in complex confined spaces. Using a sophisticated 3D control and navigation algorithms this vehicle can go up ladders, manoeuvre inside pipelines navigating around difficult obstacles. The system is not designed for pressurized environments.

**SRI International - Electroadhesive robot** - *Newly developed system, featuring novel technology to stick to surfaces and move across them.* 

NR21 - C-Bot - Gecko inspired wall climbing robot. Based on biomimetics.

**ULC Robotics** – MMC -Robot uses magnetic casing and tracks to 'stick' to the pipeline and travel along it. 85m maximum travel distance.

**Inuktun – NanoMag** - A miniature crawler system with built-in rare earth magnets, allowing it to travel vertically, horizontally and even upside down on ferrous metal surfaces. The robot has a maximum travel distance of 30m.

**Honeybee Robotics** - Pipe Inspection Robot - Robot used to inspect the annular space in lined pipes. This robot is currently still under development by Honeybee Robotics.

**2.2 Vision -** Vision is a key feature of almost all of the robots. Collecting visual data may be the sole purpose of the robot, or it can be used to help the operator perform tasks such as navigate and locate scanning equipment. Most units feature standard cameras with LED lighting or pan and tilt camera systems. Some systems feature novel use of technology in conjunction to these standard technologies.

This search looked at the following areas that would be applicable to the project scope:

- a) Cameras Digital Camera & Analogue.
- b) Infrared Camera Systems
- c) Machine vision

The searches have showed that technology exists that can be adapted or evolved to meet the requirements of the project.

**2.3 Control Link -** This search focused on two areas tethered and untethered robots. The tether connects the robot to the control unit allows data and power transmission between the two. If a robot is untethered any power needs to be stored on-board. This search looked at fibre optics, wifi, data transfer rates and pro's and con's associated o untethered and tethered robots.

**2.4 Condition Assessment -** This search focused on what technologies that exist to perform condition assessment, it is not the intention of this project to develop new condition assessment technology, the project will focus on building a platform that existing technology can be attached to and operated from to perform the required non visual inspections, this is summarised in table 2:

- *a)* Magnetic Field Inspection Techniques *Magnetic Flux Leakage (MFL), Transverse Field* Inspection (TFI), Multi-axis MFL, Eddy Current Techniques (ECT, RFEC and SLOFEC), Magnetic Particle Inspection
- b) Sound Wave Inspection Techniques *Electromagnetic Acoustic Transducer (EMAT), Ultrasonic (UT), Acoustic Pulse Reflectometry*
- **C)** Visible and Near-Visible Light Inspection Techniques UV Inspection (Ultraviolet) and Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (FPI), Axial Laser Scanning, Circumferential Laser Scanning, Shearography, Infra-Red (thermography or IR)
- d) Microwave Inspection Techniques
- e) Ionising-Radiation Inspection techniques X-Ray Inspection, Gamma Ray Inspection
- f) Mechanical Inspection Techniques Caliper Technologies

Defect Group	Macro-	fault	Micı		le a	¥		
Defect Type	Geometric Faults	Mechanical damage	Cracks	Metal Loss	Othe r	ce t ce t	Tot: Scol	Ran

#### **Condition Assessment Matrix**

Pipeline Defect Inspection Technology	Ovality	Dents	Buckles	Pipe misalignment	Internal gouges, grooves and other mechanical damage	External gouges, grooves and other mechanical damage	SCC cracking	Circumferential cracks	Axial cracks	Internal corrosion	External corrosion	Laminations and inclusions		
MFL	0	4	4	0	4	0	2	4	0	4	0	0	2 2	3
TFI	0	4	4	0	4	0	2	0	4	4	0	0	2 2	3
Multi-axis MFL	0	4	4	0	4	0	2	3	3	4	0	0	2 4	1
ECT	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	3	3	4	4		2 3	2
MPI	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2	2	0	3	0	0	
EMAT	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	3	4	4	4	2 4	1
UT	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	3	4	4	4	0	
APR	0	3	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1 3	6
UV and FPI	0	0	0	0	4	4	3						0	
Laser Scanning	4	4	4	3	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2 1	4
Shearography	0	3	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	4	0	
IR	0	1	1	0	4	4	0	1	1	4	4	4	0	
Microwave	0	4	4	0	3	3	0	0	0	3	3	4	0	
X-ray	0	3	3	1	3	3	0	2	2	3	3	3	0	
Gamma	0	3	3	1	3	3	0	2	2	3	3	3	1	
Caliper	4	4	4	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	5

Table 2 Condition Assessment: 0 (Not relevant, 1 (Relevant), 2 (Effective), 3 (VeryEffective) 4 (Optimum Solution)

#### 2.5 Location Tracking

Tracking the location of the pipeline assessment tool will be necessary to give information regarding the location of any defect or fault found in the pipeline. This can be done in several ways:

- a) Radio Location
- b) GPS Entry/Exit
- c) Odometer
- d) Tether Meterage
- e) Acoustic Tracking
- f) External Sensors
- g) Electromagnetic Tracking Systems
- h) Magnetic Field Positioning
- i) Radio Triangulation
- j) Inertial sensors
- k) Combination of technology Combining GPS entry with odometer and inertial technology can provide a total location solution.

Tracking	Defect Tracking	Pipeline Tracking	E US	e 9	k k
				-	

Location Technology	Global coordinat e position	Distance from entry	Global coordinat e position of features	Corner recognitio n	Corner angle and direction recognitio n	Height and/or depth of pipeline	Length of pipeline		
GPS entry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Odometer	0	4	0	2	2	0	4	1 2	4
Acoustic Tracking	0	1	0	0	0 0		0	0	
External sensors	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	6
Electromagnetic tracking	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5
Gyro sensors	0	1	0	4	4	4	1	1 4	3
Combination Technology									
GPS and odometer	4	4	4	2	2	0	4	2 0	2
Gyro and odometer	0	4	0	4	4	4	4	2 0	2
Gyro, GPS and odometer	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2 8	1

Table 3 – Location Techniques (Not relevant, 1 (Relevant), 2 (Effective), 3 (Very Effective) 4(Optimum Solution)

**2.6 Conclusion of Global Technology Watch -** At present, it is unclear as to which technologies are likely to be used this will be determined once the project commences and will be the focus of the concept study. It can be said with some confidence that from the GTW:

- A bespoke motion-system will be developed using some form of wall-press technique and/or magnets
- A multitude of cameras will be used, these are likely to be off the shelf and adapted to work with the requirements of the system
- The condition assessment technology will be dependent on the carrier of the payload a fullbore wall-press system is much better suited to delivering MFL technology than a partialbore walking system
- Location technology will also be dependent upon the motion system an odometer cannot be used without wheels or a tether
- No system exists that meets the project scope, this is due to a either not being able to meet one or a combination of the following:
  - Propulsion (Not using gas flow, ability to travel forwards and backwards)
  - $\circ$  Bend / Obstacle negotiation
  - Operating pressure (100barg)
  - Distance
  - Entry / Exist method (Single Point)
  - Change in Diameter 24" to 48"
  - Safe operation in "live" Gas Conditions

<u>3.0 Patents Search</u> - Preliminary patent searches have been conducted by Yeadon IP Ltd, the Company is led by Dr Mark Yeadon and specialises in intellectual property protection in the physical and chemical sciences including subject matter in the fields of mechanical and electrical/electronic engineering, optics and computer software. The Patent Search was undertaken to assess the freedom to operate in respect of the inspection of unpiggable pipelines:

a) Identify technologies relevant to the inspection of unpiggable pipelines that offer no freedom to operate limitations.

b) Obtain an overview of the state of the art in respect of unpiggable pipeline inspection.

Preliminary conclusions are that there is good scope to develop inspection technology for unpiggable pipeline systems with freedom to make, sell and use the technology in the UK.

**3.1 Search Strategy** - The purpose of the present patent searching project was to gaining an understanding of the areas of pipeline inspection technology that have already been subject to patent filings. Two areas of pipeline inspection technology were considered to be particularly relevant:

- (a) Pipeline inspection robots; and
- (b) Inspection robot launch technology.

Professional searchers were employed by Yeadon IP Ltd, to identify initially a pool of potentially relevant documents in areas (a) and (b).

In respect of area (a), the searchers attempted to identify an initial pool of documents disclosing devices with the following features in common:

"A pipeline inspection system comprising a robot that travels along relatively narrow, bendy pipelines that are "unpiggable"; the apparatus may be modular and may include means for video, ultrasonic and/or eddy current inspection."

In respect of area (b), the searchers attempted to identify an initial pool of documents disclosing devices with the following features in common:

"Launchers and receivers of pigs and pipeline robots; the devices may be used for pipelines under high pressure."

It was considered that the introduction of robots to pipelines that are under pressure was potentially a difficult task, and it was considered appropriate to determine whether any major obstacles exist to the development of technology for the launching of inspection robots into pressurised pipelines. It was considered that an airlock was likely to be required, and that it would be helpful to investigate freedom to operate in respect of the provision of an airlock in addition to inspection robots themselves.

**Age of Documents -** The maximum term of a patent in the UK is 20 years from the date of filing. This limit is set in statute. Accordingly, any patent application filed 20 or more years ago can no longer be in force in the UK. Accordingly, third parties other than the patentee are in principle free to make, use and sell in the UK apparatus that is disclosed in such documents subject to the existence of other IP rights. In the context of the present project, other relevant IP rights will likely be limited to registered designs. Registered designs protect the appearance of an article in terms of 3D shape or 2D ornamentation. Subject to a case by case analysis, registered design protection is generally relatively straightforward to work around since in principle it is limited to appearance and not function of the design.

#### 3.2 Patent Search Results

(a) **Pipeline Inspection Robots -** First searches related to the inspection of relatively narrow, bendy pipelines that are unpiggable. The searches covered modular robots, and robots that may include means for video, ultrasonic and/or eddy current inspection of pipelines. A total of 110 potentially relevant documents were identified out of over 3000 documents identified in an initial pool of documents drawn up by the searchers.

For each of the 3000 documents, the searchers initially checked the title, abstract and main claims of each document. If a document appeared at face value to be relevant in light of this, the searchers then checked the description to see if the document was relevant. For each of the 110 documents identified, Yeadon IP investigated whether UK-relevant patent documents existed.

A total of 6 of the 110 documents identified by the searchers were determined to be UK-relevant patent documents. A substantial number of documents were also identified that are patent

applications filed at least 20 years ago. Accordingly, the subject matter of these documents should be wide open to exploit.

<u>D2: GB2501312 / EP2653238</u> – Relates to pig devices, and in particular to an 'intelligent pig' that can undertake ultrasonic inspection of pipelines. Pending patent applications were identified in the UK and before the EPO. The applications are still pending and so it is not easy to determine the final form of the claims. However the applications appear to be limited to pigs, propelled by fluid pressure in a pipeline. Since the concepts intend to produce a robotic inspection device that is provided with its own traction motor(s) rather than a pig-type device, this document is unlikely to be relevant to the Company's freedom to operate.

<u>D4: EP1301740B</u> – Relates to a European patent in force in the UK. The main text of the document is in French but an English language abstract is available, together with an English language translation of the claims. According to these translations, the device disclosed in the document appears to be a motor driven modular device having a train of modules coupled to one another. The pre-characterising portion of Claim 1 of the patent requires a robot free to slide inside pipework or another narrow passage, composed of a train of modules connected by flexible links. The claim indicates that the new and inventive part of the technology is that the robot has means of fixing modules to each other to stiffen the train. Based on a relatively brief review of the document it appears that the claim requires means for dynamically stiffening the train, i.e. increasing the stiffness in real time, as required. Accordingly, provided the design avoids providing a robot with all of these features, including means for varying train stiffness, it should be possible to avoid infringement of this patent.

<u>D31: EP1336792B1</u> – Relates to a European patent in force in the UK. The main claim of the patent appears to require a robotic internal gauge for remote-controlled operations within pipelines. The device apparently has a 'positioning tower' comprising supporting wheels which bear against an internal surface of the pipeline and a piston system for propelling the equipment. The claim also requires that the positioning tower can rotate about its longitudinal axis so as to change direction of movement, and that the supporting wheels are provided with a non-reversing system. Accordingly, it would appear that in principle avoid infringement of this patent by providing a robot that does not have (say) the positioning tower, and/or a non-reversing system for the wheels.

<u>D38: EP1846689</u> – Relates to a recently allowed European patent. It remains to be seen whether it will be validated in the UK. However, the claims of the patent require a 'tether-free sensor unit' (50) that is 'adapted to roll in the direction of flow of the liquid along the bottom of the interior of the pipeline, pushed by the flow of the liquid...' The unit has a magnetic sensor and/or an accelerometer to sense the number of revolutions of the unit, and an acoustic sensor for detecting the sound of a leak. It appears, on a cursory reading, that the design should be able to avoid infringement of this patent relatively easily.

<u>D59: EP2039440</u> - Relates to an intelligent pig (IP) for monitoring tubes internally. The independent apparatus claim (claim 1) requires that the IP is provided with one or more flexible sleeves which extend from the body of the IP to the interior wall of the tube. Independent method claim 11 requires a method of monitoring a tube or pipeline, wherein an IP... is driven along the interior of the tube or pipeline by fluid pressure. I understand that the design does not intend to provide a robot driven by fluid pressure. Accordingly, D59 should not present a freedom to operate issue.

<u>D68: W02013/191929</u> - Relates to an eddy current inspection probe that employs wheels to reduce friction. Although the claims may be subject to amendment in due course before the application grants, the claims as they currently stand appear to require a modular construction with a nose section, a non-destructive sensor section and a tail section. The non-destructive sensor section is required to be suspended at one axial end from a nose section of the probe with a pivot coupling that enables the sensor section a limited degree of rotation relative to the nose section. A similar connection appears to be required to the tail section. The tail section requires a centering device having a plurality of contact points with the interior wall of the tubing, each contact point being biased outward around a circumference of the tail section, with substantially equal pressure. Synthotech has indicated that this design is not of interest to them. Even if it was of interest, it should be possible to work around this patent by careful consideration of the type of sensor employed, and/or the manner in which the sensor is deployed in the robot to be developed.

**Documents filed around 20 or more years ago** – A brief review of a selection of documents relating to robots for pipeline inspection that date from around 20 or more years ago. As stated above, these designs should present relatively low freedom to operate risk issue it should be relatively easy to work around any such registered designs since they would be limited to the 3D shape of the structure.

<u>D18: JP8198104A</u> - D18 apparently discloses an intra-pipe running apparatus which permits running in a small bore bent part of a steel pipe having a small radius The device apparently has magnetic wheels accommodating permanent magnets so that the wheels are always in contact with the pipe wall. A wheel-supporting part appears to be provided for the purpose of steering, to ensure that an axial angle of the wheels to the pipe does not become zero. The wheels are apparently driven by a motor. This design appears to be potentially highly relevant and may provide a useful starting point for further robot development.

<u>D73: US5392715A - D73</u> apparently discloses an 'in-pipe running robot' and method of running the robot. The robot apparently has front and rear axles each of which is pivotable about a vertical axis of the robot to enable positioning of the robot to negotiate bends in the piping in a circumferential direction. The following diagram is helpful in understanding this aspect. Again, this design appears to be potentially highly relevant and may also provide a useful starting point for further robot development.

**(b) Pig and robot launching devices -** The second searches related to inspection robot launch technology. The searches covered launching of robots as well as pigs, including launching into pipelines under pressure. A total of 239 potentially relevant documents were identified out of over 2000 documents identified in an initial pool of documents drawn up by the searchers. Of these, 70 were documents older than around 20 years and therefore present little or no infringement risk. A number of these documents disclose technology that may be of interest to the project, and which could form the basis for a technology platform with no freedom to operate issues

Of the remaining 169 documents, 30 are UK-relevant patent documents. A preliminary review of these documents indicates that routes to work around the technologies protected are very likely to exist.

In conclusion, it appears that devices suitable for launching pipeline robots into pressurised pipes are well known and some have been in the public domain for long enough that valid granted patents can either no longer exist for the specific devices described in the documents, or are expected to expire imminently.

A number of the documents identified related to the launching of pipeline pigs into undersea pipelines. In view of the number of documents identified that were filed around 20 years ago or more, only one of the UK-relevant patent documents will be discussed here.

D18 WO0171238A1 - D18 discloses a pig launch device in which a pig is inserted into a receiver unit also referred to as a pig chamber. The receiver unit apparently provides an airlock to allow introduction of the pig to a flow of fluid in a pipeline through a valve in a branch duct of which the receiver unit is part. A pig receiver valve is movable between a position in which it is open to the branch duct and a position in which it is open to a flow duct through which fluid flows in the pipeline under pressure. The main claim of the patent also requires that a passage is provided in the housing for introduction of an operating rod for transferring a pig from the pig-receiving valve to the pig chamber or vice versa, the passage being connected by an additional valve having double seals against the outside of the device. The design concepts do\_not plan on employing pig devices in the inspection technology to be developed. However, care should be taken to ensure that launching of robot devices does not employ a launch device with the features of the main claims of this application. Since the technology being developed by the company relates to robotic technology rather than pig technology, careful consideration should be given to the use of a manipulator in the form of a rod to introduce robots into a pipeline in the manner described in D18. However, it is likely to be relatively easy to work around D18, particularly in view of documents D6 and D26 described below.

<u>D6: US5660202A</u> - D6 apparently discloses a process and 'hot tap' apparatus for insertion of robots (33) into gas distribution systems. The apparatus is disclosed to have a housing adapted to be sealably secured to a pipe and enclose a portion of the pipe. The housing forms a chamber

which is partitioned into an insertion chamber and a discharge chamber. The apparatus also has a cutting apparatus for cutting an opening in the pipe sized to receive the robot device.

<u>D26: US5139576 -</u> D26 discloses a launching mechanism for sequentially launching pipeline pigs into a gas transmission pipeline. The mechanism has a tubular pig storage and launching magazine for holding a plurality of pigs. A free piston is provided within the magazine and arranged to launch pigs into the pipeline through valve launch valve Va. Pigs may be loaded into the magazine through a closure mechanism. \_D26 essentially discloses an airlock arrangement for launching pig devices. In an adaptation of this arrangement for robots, one could imagine a robot could be loaded into the magazine instead of pig devices and the magazine pressurised to the same pressure as the pipeline. After opening valve Va, the robot could be driven into the pipeline to undertake pipeline inspection.

#### 3.3 Conclusions

It is clear from the documents cited in sections (a) and (b) above that that the principle of employing inspection robots to inspect pipelines, and the principle of loading inspection robots or pigs into gas pipelines that are under pressure through an airlock, are not subject to blanket patents preventing all freedom to operate in these areas. Rather, technologies to address inspection of pipelines under pressure using robotic devices have been in the public domain for a sufficiently long period of time that any patents relating to the fundamental principle of using those particular technologies will have expired by now.

As determined by the present search activities, and discussed above, patents do exist relating to features that apparently provide improved inspection robot performance in certain applications, and improved introduction of inspection devices into pressurised pipelines. However we anticipate that there will be good scope for working around any such patents during the course of the Company's technology development program in order to avoid patent infringement.

This report is intended to provide a guide to the Company to understand certain potential freedom to operate issues in respect of the AGI Project (hereinafter 'the Project'). This report is privileged, confidential and addressed exclusively to the Company. It may not be relied upon for any other purposes or by any other person, association or entity than the Company

This report is not to be transmitted, disclosed or circulated to anyone else, used, quoted or otherwise referred to for any other purpose without prior written consent from Yeadon IP and the Company.

The report is prepared with reference to Intellectual Property laws and principles as applicable at the date of this report. Such laws and principles are subject to change.

# **APPENDIX H**

Subject Synthotech NIS - Carbon footprint supporting statement REV C

.

Date

Job No/Ref 209273-20

### Carbon and environmental benefits of inline robotic inspection for AGIs

## Key results

- Key carbon benefits from avoiding unnecessary excavations, from extending the lifetime of assets, and from avoiding high pressure failure all achieved through proactive and more accurate monitoring
- Extending the lifetime of assets is estimated to deliver reductions in carbon emissions of over 2,145 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e per year nationally
- This is equivalent to the emissions from gas and electricity for over 477 typical UK households and is achievable each year

## 1 Introduction

Synthotech and Premtech are proposing the development of robotic inspection of high pressure gas installations which are not currently suitable for using PIGs (Pipeline Inspection Gauges). There is presently no reliable method available to survey the condition of buried pipework at high pressure gas installations due to the complexity and geometries of such installations.

The robotic technology offers multiple broad benefits: economic benefits, continuity of supply and reduced disruption included. The technology also offers a range of environmental benefits. A priority of National Grid is the contribution it needs to makes to achieving the 80% reduction in national greenhouse gas emissions by 2050, as set out in the UK Carbon Plan. It is incumbent on the National Grid to contribute significantly to this target in its role managing the national gas transmission network.

At present the identification of locations where buried pipework on high pressure gas installations requires maintenance or replacement is largely based on:

- CIPS survey techniques
- records of asset age
- excavation and testing (using visual and ultrasound methods etc)

Where it is suspected that maintenance is required then excavation will be carried out, and components inspected, assessed and potentially repaired or replaced.

This approach to monitoring and managing the state of assets results in a number of outcomes for areas of the transmission network:

- instances of excavation and inspection where none is necessary;
- premature replacement of pipework due to:

- limitations of external testing methods;
- sunk time, cost and disruption expended to excavate for inspection;
- unforeseen failure of pipework components.

These outcomes provide the basis of this paper, as they are the scenarios in which carbon emissions and environmental impact occur largely unnecessarily. This paper reviews the environmental benefits (primarily discussed in terms of carbon footprint benefits).

Some of the benefits realised by the development of the robotic inspection technology proposed by Synthotech and Premtech will be location specific, and some will offer benefits across the transmission and distribution networks, but collectively they have the potential to benefit the National Grid's whole client base, as well as contributing to national carbon targets.

# 2 Delivering carbon benefits through application of the technology

Three main areas have been identified where use of the technology offers carbon savings:

- 1. Avoided excavations for inspection;
- 2. Avoiding premature replacement of assets;
- 3. Unforeseen failure of pipework (and associated disruption).

A limited Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) was carried out for an installation at Bacton, which was reviewed as part of this study<sup>1</sup>. The assessment identified quantities of pipework, and excavation volumes, in order to estimate the carbon footprint associated with replacement of assets. This was a useful exercise in quantification of indicative carbon footprint for such a project, and took into account:

- the carbon emissions from plant and equipment used to excavate the site; and
- the embodied carbon within the pipework, bends, valves etc. which were replced.

The study did not include a number of external contributions to the total carbon footprint, such as the transportation of plant and equipment to site.

Also used to inform this note is information on the transmission network and forecasts of likely excavation exercises. National Grid Gas estimate approximately 15 large scale excavations at AGIs for a typical year, against a total of around 200 AGIs across the national transmission network.

## 2.1 Avoiding unnecessary excavations

Excavations are carried out for sites where it is considered likely that replacement of network pipework/components is required – largely on the basis of maintaining records of asset age. There is the opportunity to avoid excavations where better inspection capability indicates asset condition is adequate for continued operation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: the LCA was carried out by National Grid on behalf of Synthotech. The assessment process has not been independently verified by Arup. Outputs from the LCA have been used to inform carbon calculations in this study, but these are limited to embodied energy from pipeline components and emissions associated with excavations.

The Bacton preliminary LCA estimated the excavation and reinstatement of material produced 1,381 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e for the 3,700 linear metres of buried pipeline replaced. For the purposes of this study it is assumed that a broadly representative site, around 10% of the size of Bacton, would require excavations generating around 138 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e.

'Unnecessary' excavations are deemed to be those where excavation is undertaken, and the visual and other inspections indicate that replacement or repair of components is not necessary. In the majority of cases, where an excavation has been carried out, then there will be some repair or replacement necessary. Occasionally replacement will be carried out after excavation as the relevant parts are available (having been previously ordered) and it is preferable to avoid repeated disruption for replacement in the future.

A simple calculation can be carried out based on assuming in 50% of excavations there is no replacement or repair of components. Based on 15 sites per year of this scale, typical excavation emissions nationally would be around 2,072 tonnes  $CO_2e$ . Avoiding 50% of these would equate to a national reduction in carbon emissions for AGIs of approximately **1,036** tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year.

## 2.2 Avoiding premature replacement of components

The Bacton LCA provided an estimate of carbon footprint for embodied components replaced of approximately 62,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. This represents the energy used to extract, process and manufacture pipeline, bends, tees and valves replaced. The 62,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e was for pipeline and components replaced at Bacton, an estimated 50% of which was assumed to be below ground.

A typical location is expected to be around 10% of this scale, with the embodied carbon of below ground assets at such a location estimated at 3,126 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e.

Typically the lifetime of components in the network are assumed to be approximately 40 years. The important benefit offered by robotic inspection is that this effective lifetime can be extended – and this means the annualised carbon footprint (i.e. the carbon footprint spread across the lifetime of the components) becomes smaller. While some components may fail before 40 years, it is reasonable to assume that the proportion for which life is extended is greater than the proportion that needs to be replaced before 40 years.

Assuming a standard 40 year lifetime for a typical installation suggests an annualised carbon footprint of 78 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year of life. Extending the lifetime of components offers the following benefits:

Lifetime extension (years)	Annualised carbon footprint for typical high pressure installation – below ground components only (tonnes CO2e)	% improvement
0	78	0%
10	63	20%
20	52	33%
30	45	84%

This indicates the carbon benefit of extending the operating lifetime of components in the network. Every year that the lifetime is extended is a year where new components (with all the embodied energy that they include) do not need to be installed.

Clearly this consideration cannot be extended across the whole network, the age profile varying considerably across assets. An exercise was carried out to model the likely benefits

across the network, based on the potential extension in operational life achieved through use of robotic inspection. Example age profiles were produced for the purposes of this calculation as shown below:

	Proportion of components in each lifetime bracket								
Age brackets	Business-as-usual	With programme of robotic inspection							
0-40 years	10%	5%							
40 – 50 years	50%	20%							
50 – 60 years	30%	50%							
60 – 70 years	10%	25%							

Extending the lifetime of components at a typical site would equate to approximately 6 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year per site per year. Extrapolating this across the national network would suggest a carbon saving of around **1,109 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e nationally each year**.

## 2.3 Unforeseen failure of the network

By moving to a proactive process of monitoring using inline technologies the risks of unforeseen failure are significantly reduced. The impact of a large scale unforeseen failure would be considerable and could potentially result in large releases of methane to the atmosphere. The Global Warming Potential of methane is around 34 times that of Carbon Dioxide over the 100 year time horizon. A hypothetical loss of 200 tonnes of natural gas from a high pressure failure would equate to around **6,800 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e** emitted to the atmosphere<sup>2</sup>. In addition to this emission of methane would be the significant broader impacts arising from disruption to industry, businesses and households; endangering of human health; and associated knock-on effects.

## 2.4 Additional carbon benefits

The assessments set out above present a conservative estimate of the benefits, and exclude other considerations including the carbon impacts of transporting large plant equipment to site to support excavation. It may also be possible to achieve more efficient excavations in areas where this is required due to better intelligence on pipeline condition based on robotic inspection.

## 2.5 Other environmental benefits

Beyond purely carbon emissions there are broader benefits from avoiding excavation and extension of asset lifetimes. Any project requiring excavation will produce localised impacts from:

- Dust and air quality from excavation
- Vehicle transport emissions and congestion
- Noise and other nuisance
- Potential pollution incidents from site runoff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on GWP potential of methane of 86 over the 20 year time horizon

## **3** Conclusions

## **3.1** National benefits of the technology

As shown above, the most significant carbon benefit arises from the extension of lifetime of assets, but with avoided excavations also contributing significantly to avoided carbon emissions as a result of robotic inspection technology.

Based on these estimated age profile changes across the network a saving of around 1,109 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year is delivered from avoided replacement, and 1,036 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year from avoided excavations. This reduction is per year that the robotic technology is deployed.

Combining these annual benefits provides an estimated saving of around 2,145 tonnes  $CO_2e$  per year.

To put some context on the estimate of 2,145 tonnes <u>annually</u> saved through extending the lifetime of assets:

- OFGEM estimate is typical household energy consumption of 16,500 kWh of gas, and 3,300 kWh of electricity<sup>3</sup>
- This amounts to an estimated energy footprint of approximately 4.5 tonnes per year for a typical household<sup>4</sup>
- The carbon saving through extension to asset life and avoided excavation at a national scale is equivalent to the carbon emissions from energy consumption for approximately **477 UK households**, and the benefit is present each year.

# **3.2** Contribution to the Government's Carbon Reduction Strategy

The Carbon Plan, 2011, sets out how the UK is set to achieve the challenging carbon emissions reduction of 80% by 2050. The plan sets out a series of carbon budgets set out to begin this process, highlighting the areas where action is to be focused. Many of the measures in the short term are focused on end users and increased efficiency, but longer term the requirement is for decarbonisation of grid energy and the transition for small gas and oil boilers to distributed energy and combined heat and power technologies. Even with the transition to lower carbon electricity generation from nuclear power and renewables there will still be a need to natural gas backup, and the need to ensure this is delivered with the lowest carbon overhead possible.

The use of the robotic technology offers carbon benefits to the transition network, reducing the 'scope 3' emissions of the natural gas supply to houses and business -i.e. the carbon emitted just delivering gas to its point of use. This note has focused on the application of the technology to AGIs, but there is the potential to extend use to other components of national gas infrastructure, broadening the potential carbon benefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OFGEM Typical domestic energy consumption figures, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Based on DEFRA factors for electricity and gas from 2011

## **APPENDIX I**

Description	SGN ULC Element 1 & 2	SGN ULC Element 3	SGN ULC Element 4	HP Inspection Robot	Notes
System travels in pipe annulus	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	There is no accessible annulus
System travels in pipe bore	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Operating Under "Live" Conditions	Y	Y	Y	Y	Complexity is related to operating pressure
Operates at Low Pressure <75mbar	Y	Y	Y	Y	Element 1-4 are designed for upto 2 barg only due to use on gas distribution
Operating at Medium Pressure (75mbar-2bar)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Element 1-4 are designed for upto 2 barg only due to use on gas distribution
Operating at Intermediate Pressure (2bar- 7bar)	N	N	N	Y	Element 1-4 are designed for upto 2 barg only due to use on gas distribution
Opearting at incereased pressures (7bar-50bar)	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Element 1-4 are designed for upto 2 barg only due to use on gas distribution
Operating at Higher Pressure (50bar-100bar)	N	Ν	N	Y	Element 1-4 are designed for upto 2 barg only due to use on gas distribution
Operates on transmission line	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Element 1-4 are designed for on gas distribution only
Operates on distribution line	Y	Y	Y	N	The initial system is designed for Transmission not distibution netwroks but this technology could be easier transferred to distribution
Suitable for <6" pipelines	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Not required
Suitable for 6-24" pipelines	Y	Υ	N	Υ	Not Required
Suitable for 24 to 48" pipelines	Y	Υ	N	Υ	Yes but access and egress are more complex
Completes visual inspection	Y	Y	Y	Y	Design difference is technology would need to withstand higher pressures than Element 1 to 4

Uses a sensor array for pipeline assessment	N	Y	N	Y	Sensors to required to detect defects and wall thickness, design difference is technology would need to withstand higher pressures than Element 1 to 4
Requirement for GPS	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Need to know where the HP Robot is at all time
Can be used for repairs	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Not required
Can be used for mains replacement	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Not requried