Gas Transmission Charging Review

Fourth Technical Working Group Meeting

Ian Alexander, Debra Hawkin and Patrick Taylor

20th August 2014



Contents



1 Introduction

- 2 Illustrative model results
- 3 Key assumptions
- A Detailed assumptions



1 INTRODUCTION



Purpose of today

- 1. Presentation of initial runs from GTCR model and types of output produced by the model
- 2. Discuss key modelling assumptions which initial model results appear to be sensitive to
- 3. Final opportunity for the Technical Working Group to provide feedback to CEPA/TPA on modelling framework

The model and its assumptions are still in development and so the results shared with the group should be viewed as illustrative at this stage

Overview of model framework



Model framework diagram



Overview of model framework



Control sheet							We are presenting results from these 3 scenarios today					
- A	B C D E F G H	J	K L	М	N		Р	Q	R	S	Т	U
18	Select scenario for model run >>>	2	0	1		3	4	5	6	7	8	9
19 20	Scenario name	Scenario 2	Base case	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4	Scenario 5	Scenario 6	Scenario 7	Scenario 8	Scenario 9
21 22	Year when new tariff regime comes into effect?	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018	2018
23 24	Floating tariff regime?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
25 26 27	Form of tariff regime at Non-CAM points	9	1	9	9	1	11	11	11	11	11	11
28	Asymmetric tariff regime at Bacton (CAM)?	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
30	Form of asymmetric tariff regime at CAM (Bacton)	9	1	9	9	9	11	11	11	11	11	11
32 33	Does floating regime apply to existing capacity bookings?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
34 35	Inflation adjustment to existing tariffs?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
36 37	Form of inflation adjustment to existing tariffs	2	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
38 39	Capacity Product Multiplier scenario	3	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
40 41	Obligated (input 1) or forecasts (input 2) capacity used in floating adjustments?	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
42 43	Allowed revenue to be recovered from entry	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
44 45	Static or dynamic run of the model?	Dynamic	Dynamic	Dynamic	Dynamic	Dynamic	Static	Static	Static	Static	Static	Static
46 47	Future Energy Scenario	Slow Progression	Slow Progression	Slow Progression	Slow Progression	Slow Progression	Slow Progression					
48 49	Start of BBL reverse flow capability	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031	2031
50 51	Treatment of capacity charge in IC arbitrage (1= sunk cost; 2= transaction cost)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
52	Description of floating tariff regime options	ol Step 3 Impa		(+) :	4							



2 ILLUSTRATION OF MODEL RESULTS



We have modelled three scenarios (including a Base Case) ...

	Base Case	Scenario 1	Scenario 3
Description	Current charging regime – combination of capacity and commodity charges	Applies a combination of all GTCR policy options, including changes to multipliers, indexation and floating	As Scenario 1 but floating regime applies only to CAM points
Inflation indexation		Prices are indexed from start of financial year 2014/15	Prices are indexed from start of financial year 2014/15
Floating tariff methodology	Not applicable	Fixed p/KWh/day secondary adjustment applies to all capacity products from 2017 (new <u>and</u> existing capacity) calculated to account for ST product discounts and forecast capacity bookings	BACTON (CAM point) tariff is calculated under same principles as Scenario 1 but Non-CAM ASEP tariffs calculated under same principles as Base Case
Multipliers	QSEC – 1.0; MSEC – 1.0; DADSEC – 0.66; WDDSEC – 0; DISEC – 0	QSEC – 1.0; MSEC – 0.66; DADSEC – 0.66; WDDSEC – 0.66; DISEC – 0.66	As Scenario 1
NGG scenario used in modelling	Slow Progression	Slow Progression	Slow Progression

... to illustrate the model outputs and to test sensitivity of results to key assumptions



2a ILLUSTRATIVE BASE CASE RESULTS

Base case results



Capacity – commodity split in TO allowed revenue recovery*



*Note: Years shown on the graph represent financial year ending (e.g. 2015 represents financial year 2014/15)



Capacity revenue recovery by booking





Total TO capacity and commodity revenue recovery by selection of ASEPs



Base case results



NTS tariffs – NTS averages



Base case results



NTS Annual tariffs – subset of ASEPs





Annual flows







2b ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 1 RESULTS



Capacity – Commodity split





Capacity revenue recovery by booking





Revenue recovery by selection of ASEPs



NTS tariffs – NTS averages

NTS Annual tariffs – subset of ASEPs

2c ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO 3 RESULTS

Capacity – Commodity split

Revenue recovery by product

Revenue recovery by ASEP

NTS Annual tariffs - averages

NTS tariffs – by ASEP Bacton CAM tariff increases at faster rate than non-CAM points based on floating tariff 0.0700 regime as no commodity charge applies. 0.0600 0.0500 p/KWh/day 0.0400 0.0300 0.0200 0.0100 0.0000 2016 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2024 2028 2029 2015 2017 2023 2025 2026 2027 Bacton_UKCS - Easington Milford Haven -----St Fergus ---- Bacton_CAM

3 KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Model results are sensitive to:

Network user price responsiveness, including:

- 1. Approach to probability of constraint modelling
- 2. Applied model rules for booking QSEC

Supporting slides to follow in next pack of material.

To follow in next pack of material ahead of meeting

Contact us

CAMBRIDGE ECONOMIC POLICY ASSOCIATES

Queens House, 55-56 Lincoln's Inn Fields London WC2A 3LJ

Tel: 020 7269 0210 Fax: 020 7405 4699 info@cepa.co.uk www.cepa.co.uk