

# *Network Innovation Competition Full Submission*

## *Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer is Confidential: ☐

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally: ☐

Project code:	WWUGN01	Question Number	6
Question date	12 <sup>th</sup> September 2013	Answer date	16 <sup>th</sup> September 2013
Submission section question relates to	Section 1.5		
Topic	Interlinked projects		
Question	In section 1.5.2 of your proforma you ticked the box to indicate that the Gas NIC portion of the CEB project would not go ahead if the LCNF portion did not also receive funding. In the project team meeting on 3 September, you made statements which seemed to contradict this. Please confirm your position on this.		
Notes on question			
Answer	At the time of the Final Submission our view was that the Gas NIC portion of the CEB project would not go ahead if the LCNF portion did not also receive funding. Since then we have had further thoughts on this point and consider that a project could potentially go ahead to demonstrate that hydrogen injection was feasible and to address the technical and regulatory issues could be successfully addressed. While this would neither affect the Problem nor Solution of the <b>NIC</b> element it would affect the Method which would be considerably simplified although it would lose the direct linkage with low carbon generation and the potential cross network benefits. The gas mixing and injection would not change but we would need to re-work and simplify the control system.		
Attachments			

Verbal Clarifications  (Consultants )	
---	--