Scotland Gas Networks

Submission to OFGEM for increase in Revenues

due to additional costs incurred as a result of

the implementation of the Transport for

Scotland Act

June 2013



Contents

			Page	Э		
1.0	Executive Summ	hary	3			
2.0	Introduction		3			
3.0	Scottish Road W	orks Commissioner	7			
4.0	Background and	Road Works Structure	14			
5.0	Scottish Road W	/orks Register.	15			
6.0	Transport (Scotland) Act T(S)A					
7.0	Principle Areas of Impact for SGN.					
8.0	.0 Financial Impact for SGN.					
	8.1 Fixed Pena	alty Notices				
	8.2 Scottish Ro	oad Register				
	8.3 Administra	tion Costs				
	8.4 Training					
	8.5 IT					
	8.6 Manageme	ent Time				
	8.7 Other Ope	erational				
	8.7.1	Extending Working Hours				
	8.7.2	Restricted Working Hours				
	8.7.3	Vac Exc Hire Costs				
	8.7.4	Traffic Management Schemes				
	8.7.5	Special Signage				
	8.7.6	Temporary Traffic Orders				
	8.7.7	Traffic Modelling				
	8.7.8	Parking Bay Suspensions				
	8.7.9	Restrictions of Work				
		Promium Contract Rates				
		Contract Variations				
9.0	Process for Colla	ating Streetwork Costs	36			
10.0	Future Streetwor	rk Costs	37			
Appe	ndix A Analysis of	Costs				
Appe	Appendix B NRSWA legislation					

Appendix C Scottish Roadworks Community and Structure

Appendix D Number of Council Directions Issued

Appendix E Sample of Templates

Appendix F Scotland Performance Review

Appendix G Road Authorities FPN Schemes

Appendix I Notes and references

1. Executive Summary

This submission demonstrates that during GDPCR1 we have experienced material increases in the costs of operating our Network as a result of the introduction of T(S)A. We have incorporated the feedback received on our submission in 2011 and believe this paper:

- clearly demonstrates that the GDPCR1 costs assessment process for Scotland only included allowances equivalent to those set in England;
- shows the T(S)A has significantly changed how we must conduct activity as an operator in the highway and effected the associated productivity, administration and management overheads;
- justifies the resulting costs incurred as efficient, that they are material and exceed the threshold set out in the licence conditions;
- permits Ofgem to determine an income adjusting event to enable recovery of these sums in GD1.

2. Introduction

The Transport (Scotland) Act (T(S)A) was enacted in August 2005 in order to improve the quality and co-ordination of road works in Scotland. The Scottish Government introduced the bill in response to increasing problems on Scotland's roads network caused by works which were inadequately co-ordinated (thus causing disruption and inconvenience to road users) or below specification.

The T(S)A tightened and made more effective the enforcement regime for road works offences. It also enhanced and improved the system for enforcing both current and new legislation on road works. The Act increased the level of some penalties and introduced new fixed penalties for other offences.

This step change in legislation has generated material incremental street work costs for our Gas Distribution Network. We have implemented robust and comprehensive processes in order to identify, collect and verify these incremental costs. These are outlined within section 8, Page 30 of this document.

At the time of the Formula Review 2008-2013, GDPCR1, the potential costs arising from the introduction of T(S)A were neither understood nor quantifiable. As a result no operating cost allowance was made. Instead OFGEM proposed that,

"...where a licensee reasonably believes that a TMA income adjusting event (ITMA), has arisen as a result of reasonable costs incurred associated with any order or regulation made pursuant to part 3, or any provision of the New Roads and Streetworks Act 1991 amended by part 4 of the Traffic Management Act 2004, which has not been deemed by the Authority to be already included in the licensee's maximum Distribution Network Transportation Activity Revenue and where the cumulative costs have exceeded, in the period from 1 April 2008 up to and including 31 March of the relevant Formula Year, the sum of 1 per cent of the base revenue allowance, the licensee may, by notice to the Authority, propose a relevant adjustment to the ITMA term".

Adhering to this provision we made a submission to Ofgem in June 2011 for increased costs incurred as a result of the implementation of the T(S)A. At that time Ofgem did not feel sufficient evidence was available to determine appropriate streetwork allowances and requested a further submission be made at the end of the GDPCR1 price control. They requested SGN gather further evidence to support the application and demonstrate how the application of the T(S)A created material incremental costs.

In this submission we explain the effects of T(S)A on our operational activities and provide evidence to substantiate a material increase in costs, supported by detailed analysis demonstrating how these costs have been efficiently incurred.

Our Network has incurred a significant increase in operational costs in Scotland since the T(S)A was introduced. The creation of the position of the Scottish Road Works Commissioner (SRWC) under the T(S)A is central to the change in both coordination and site practices by operational staff and has led to SGN incurring additional costs of £9.7m.

Under the TMA it is straightforward to demonstrate that the introduction of Permitry Schemes led to significant additional cost being incurred. In Scotland Permit schemes were not introduced as the newly created SRWC determined that the same outcome could be achieved through the T(S)A by using the Scottish Road Works Register (SRWR). While the mechanism in England differs to that of Scotland the impact on costs is the same, in areas such as:

- Extra administration and operational costs
- Additional FPNs.
- Increased Unproductive time

This submission shows that the additional expenditure is significant, extraordinary and has increased since the implementation of the T(S)A and, in particular, the appointment of the SRWC.

The role of the SRWC encompasses the duties carried out by the Traffic Managers in England and, through the SRWR, provides the functionalities of a Permitry Scheme, tightening the regulatory framework. This in turn gives Roads Authorities more power to co-ordinate, control and direct works, with stricter requirements for reinstating roads and new provisions on resurfacing roads.

We continue to disagree with Ofgem's opinion stated in the final decision document, published in December 2011, 'that a proportion of the costs for Scotland relate to components of the T(S)A which were already enacted in NRSWA and that these were considered and allowed for in the setting of the efficient costs for all companies in GDPCR1". In this submission we highlight why the legislation and practice in Scotland prior to the T(S)A was equivalent in scope and impact to England. See also section 4.

The level of Scotland's NRSWA costs which were included in our costs when setting the PCR allowances in GD1 is approximately £0.6m. From the historic annual reporting information which we have managed to gather on street work costs, this level of cost does not vary significantly from other Gas Distribution Networks (GDN)

The table below illustrates the NRSWA legislation which was in place in both Scotland and England, at the time the allowances were set. It clearly demonstrates that the majority of the legislation was the same, with the only difference being section 74 over stay charges.

New Roads & Street Works Act (NRWSA) Costs					
Prior to TMA in England	Prior to T(S)A in Scotland				
NRSWA Defect Charges	NRSWA Defect Charges				
NRSWA Inspection Charges	NRSWA Inspection Charges				
Low level of traffic management drawings (Hand prepared)	Low level of traffic management drawings (Hand prepared)				
Low level of s56 power of directions notifications	Low level of s115 power of directions notifications				
Less Admin resource on NRSWA duties	Less Admin resource on NRSWA duties				
Managers didn't attend co-ordination meeting	Managers attending co-ordination meetings				
Less Court prosecutions					
Low level of coring costs by Highway Authorities					
s74 charges					

England and Scotland same

For this reason we believe that the allowances provided under GDPCR1 do not incorporate any of the additional costs experienced in the period 2008-2013 as a result of the T(S)A. Therefore we believe that OFGEM should determine the costs recorded in this submission as necessary and efficient and prescribe the appropriate recovery of these costs.

We have complied with Ofgem's comments within Appendix 2 of the TMA Notice of Decision, issued on December 2011, and have implemented a robust and well documented process in order to record quantitive evidence to support our position. These costs have gone through several internal audit processes before SGN decided to give notice to Ofgem.

The additional T(S)A costs included in this submission are in excess of the one percent of revenue threshold, £2.3m, as a direct result of the T(S)A legislation. The total incremental cost attributable to T(S)A legislation for the period 2008/09 to 2012/13 is £9.7m. See table B below.

We anticipate that implementation of the additional legislation and powers identified in Section 10, e.g. s74 charges, lane rentals, will result in significant further costs being incurred by during GD1. These future forecast costs in addition to the continued material costs identified in this paper will form the basis for a future Income Adjusting Event submission.

Table B – Actual Costs incurred due to T(S)A during GDPCR1

All Costs are in 2009/10 prices

		Actual Costs					
fm	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	Total	Ref
Core Costs	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	
Fixed Penalty Notices	0	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10	8.1
Scottish Road Register	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.51	8.2
Administration costs	0.28	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.23	1.19	8.3
Training Expenditure	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.27	8.4
IT Operations Expenditure	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.16	8.5
Management Expenditure	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.18	0.32	0.72	8.6
Other Operational Costs							
Working hrs - Extended - s115 notices	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.42	8.7.1
Working Hours - Restricted due to Ras	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.26	8.7.2
Hire of Vac Ex Machinery	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.29	0.62	8.7.3
Traffic Management Schemes	0.16	0.20	0.45	0.43	0.36	1.60	8.7.4
Special Signage requests	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.26	8.7.5
Temporary Traffic Orders	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.13	8.7.6
Traffic Modelling	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.07	8.7.7
Parking Bay Suspensions	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	8.7.8
Restrictions of work following road resurfacing	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.15	8.7.9
							8.7.10
							8.7.10
							8.7.11
Total Core TSA Cortr per appum	0.84	1 95	2 29	2.19	2 25	9.71	
Cumulative TSA Costs	0.84	2.79	5.18	7.36	9.71	9.71	
	0.04	2.75	0.10	7.50	2.71	J./ 1	

Table B, above, shows our cumulative expenditure in the GDPCR1 period and that it now significantly exceeds the threshold value of £2.3m.

In order to distinguish the costs that have already been recovered through RAV or the Repex Incentive Mechanism, please refer to Appendix **A** which identifies the allocation of costs between Operating, Replacement (incentivised and non incentivised) and Capital Expenditure. The costs identified as Capital or Incentivised Repex, should be disregarded in any amendments to revenue, as a result of this claim.

3. Scottish Road Works Commissioner (SRWC)

The Scottish Road Works Commissioner (SRWC) role is an independent function established under Section 16 of the Transport (Scotland) Act T(S)A and is accountable to Ministers and the Scottish Parliament.

The stated purpose of the Office of the Commissioner is to oversee improvements to the planning, co-ordination and quality of road works in Scotland. The strategy developed and set out by the SRWC within the official SRWC website² is as follows:-

- 1. Ensure that all roads authorities and utility companies understand their responsibilities and promote compliance with legislation
- 2. To minimise congestion on Scotland's road networks due to road works
- 3. Develop robust indicators to measure the performance of utilities and highway authorities and minimise congestion due to road works in Scotland
- 4. Identify those organisations operating well and those operating poorly and to promote best practice across both the utility sector and highway authorities.
- 5. Ascertain the reasons why organisations operate well
- 6. Work with the poorly performing organisations to develop action plans for improvement
- 7. Where organisations continue to perform poorly and show little sign of attempting to improve, then consider the use of Commissioner penalties

The SRWC has the power to impose financial penalties on those utility companies who fail to comply with the objectives of the commission when undertaking road works.

Objectives of SRWC

The key objectives outlined by the Commissioner which are believed to be aligned to that which the public desire in relation to road works are:-

Shorter Works Periods – works should be continuous and take no longer to complete than is absolutely necessary.

Better Co-ordination of Works – guidance on distance between works should be adhered to. Care should be taken to ensure that there are no works on sensitive parallel routes or diversion routes.

Better Traffic Management – compliance with codes regarding the placing of signs, cones and barriers and more consideration of practical issues on, for example, traffic signal timing changes which might be required and how to cater for pedestrian movements.

Better Reinstatements – when roads are dug up they need to be refilled correctly with suitable compacted material and the running surface correctly replaced to provide a long lasting repair.

Below are examples of jobs where we have had to incorporate the above expected outcomes.



South Queensferry Road, Edinburgh



Queensferry Road, Edinburgh

Based on these objectives the Commissioner has produced 5 key questions which the monitoring of road works should aim to answer:

- 1. Are roads authorities coordinating the works on their roads?
- 2. Are utility companies cooperating with the roads authorities?
- 3. Are works taking too long to complete?
- 4. Is traffic management (signs, cones & barriers associated with road works) to an acceptable standard?
- 5. Are reinstatements (refilling and resurfacing of holes) in roads meeting the specification and ensuring the structural integrity of the roads?

Activity and Powers of the SRWC

For each of the five key questions above, indicators have been introduced to monitor performance. In response to these we have experienced a step change in performance within our business.

None of these indicators alone is used to determine the performance of either a roads authority or a utility company, however, when interpreted together they provide a good indication as to those organisations which are operating acceptably and those where there would appear to be room for improvement.

The Commissioner achieves compliance through the suite of indicators recorded by Utilities within the Scottish Road Works Register (SRWR). These Indicators

demonstrate how well undertakers manage and co-ordinate their works. A copy of these indicators up to quarter 2 of 2012/13 are within Appendix F

All Utilities and Highway Authorities are required to use the SRWR and performance reviews are conducted annually for every organisation using the register in Scotland. Where the SRWC considers that the performance of an organisation requires improvement in particular areas, then an improvement action plan must be submitted giving details of how these improvements will be made.

The use of performance reviews is one of the key methods used to promote compliance with legislation and good practice. As can be seen from the latest report, the performance of our network is benchmarked against the other utilities and we are recognised as one of the most efficient operating utilities. This best practice is achieved through investment in resources, training and management that we have put in place during GDPCR1.

We aim to remain compliant with the objectives set by the SRWC. The importance of this is also demonstrated through our Stakeholders and our customers' feedback.

We strive to achieve compliance by:

Coordination

- Coordination is achieved by communication via the SRWR and the Commissioner monitors this by continually reviewing KPIs from the register which measure a range of inputs by all members of the SRWR. These KPIs measure the following;
 - RWC1: Potential Noticing Offences (PNOs)
 - RWC2: Potential Registration Failures
 - RWC2b: Total Potential Noticing Failures
 - RWC2c: Categorised PNOs
 - o RWC3: FPNs Issued for Roads (Scotland) Act (R(S)A) Offences
 - o RWC4a: Total Urgent, Emergency, Remedial Dangerous, Notices
 - o RWC 4b: Total Minor, Standard, Major, Works Notices
 - RWC6: Works Phases Overrun
 - o RWC9a: Works Phases Commenced
 - o RWC9b Works Phases Finished
 - RWC10: Early Late Starts
 - RWC12: Works Extensions

- RWC13: Undue Delay in Works (S125)
- RWC14: Interim Reinstatements Done
- o RWC15: Average Time to Complete Works Phases
- o RWC16: Works Phases Awaiting Closure
- o RWC17a: Standard Notice Activity
- o RWC17b: Inspection Activity
- RWC17c: Other Notice Activity
- o RWC17d: Comment Activity
- o RWC17e: Direction Notice Activity
- o RWC18: Interim Reinstatements Due
- o RWC19: Substandard Traffic Management
- RWC20: FPNs Status
- o RWC22: Total Excavation Works References
- o RWC23: Notices Issued

By inputting all notices to the register correctly and timely we fulfil our statutory duty. This in itself generates a significant proportion of the administrative burden under the T(S)A.

Coordination is achieved by a number of processes; correct and timely updating of the register is one of the methods of coordination as the register requires proposers to check for conflicts which may affect works as part of the updating process. Other methods employed to achieve good coordination involve communication with the Roads Authorities and other Utilities (RAUCs) either at Local and Area RAUCs meetings or directly via site meetings and telephone calls. In Scotland we continue to ensure that open channels of dialogue with Roads Authorities are maintained at all times.

Planning

 Effective planning is the key to successful coordination and hence satisfactory cooperation. Only by effectively planning works in advance, sharing and communicating the objects of the planning, and amending and restructuring the plan as new information becomes available, can the appropriate notices be posted correctly and on time. Our performance, measured by the KPIs listed above, is evidence of an effective planning process.

Management

 Management is the process of directing resources and effort to achieve the required goal. In respect off coordination and cooperation we achieve this by monitoring our performance against the outcomes measured by the KPIs above and ensuring that we have the necessary trained resource committed to achieving the goal of outstanding performance. This necessitates that we send experienced and competent representatives to Local and Area RAUC meetings; respond to queries and directions from and other utilities RAs accurately and timely; ensure that Notices and responses posted on the register are correct and timely, administered by competent trained staff.

Since 1 April 2008, the Commissioner has been the custodian of the SRWR. This function is responsible for ensuring that the SRWR is available and used effectively to plan and coordinate road works throughout Scotland. The effectiveness of the SRWR is reliant on the accuracy and completeness of the information it holds. As with any management information system, this necessitates inputting of accurate and timely data. The SRWR is no exception, with thousands of notices being input every week from planned future works through to current and historical works.

One further specific area of monitoring is the standard of road reinstatements carried out by utility companies. Due to a wide range of reinstatement standards historically, the quality of reinstatements has become an important area that the Commissioner monitors and is continually seeking improvement in.

The Commissioner made the following statement in relation to the coring programme in the Annual Report for 2010/11

"The latest National Coring Programme commenced in the autumn of 2010 and the results are due to be published in July 2011. I will carefully review these results to see if the step change improvement which I have been seeking has been achieved. I will also publish a separate report on this issue once the results have been finalised and I have had an opportunity to consider the findings in detail. If the step change being sought has not been achieved then I will have to consider the use of my powers to impose penalties up to a maximum of £50,000."

There have been recent cases where utility companies have been fined the maximum of £50,000 for poor reinstatement.

4. Background and Scottish Road Works and Community Structure

The New Roads and Street Works Act 1991 (NRSWA) replaced the Public Utilities Street Works Act 1950 (PUSWA). These acts formed the legislative framework for the coordination of street works in England, Northern Ireland, and Wales and road works in Scotland, until the introduction of the Transport (Scotland) Act 2001.

Apart from a few minor legal (terminology) differences e.g. 'roads' in Scotland, 'streets' in England and Wales, there were no material differences in the way that the legislation was applied and administered by the nationalised utilities across the United Kingdom.

The enactment of Section 74 in England and Wales was not introduced in Scotland. The proposed equivalent Section 133 in Scotland was never enacted. From historical information the level of Section 74 costs incurred by other DNs would not have had a substantial effect on the initial allowances given in this current PCR.

The list in Appendix **B** contrasts the parts of the NRSWA that applied to street works Part III in England and Wales and Part IV in Scotland. This shows that each contained identical provisions and only minor variations in the application of these provisions. The financial and logistical resources required to comply with this Act, are the same across England, Wales and Scotland. Previous price control settlements have been based on comparable NRSWA requirements (apart from s74 charges) and therefore similar cost bases. To the extent that the T(S)A changes this position SGN is therefore exposed to new, incremental costs.

To assist Ofgem's understanding of the Scottish Road Works Community, Appendix **C** highlights the relationships between the relevant bodies which operate within the Scottish Road Works Community.

5. Scottish Road Works Register (SRWR)

Since April 2008 it has been the statutory responsibility of the Commissioner to keep a register and to ensure that it is available to the Scottish road works community. The Scottish Road Works Register (SRWR) is a central computerised database system for the electronic transfer, retention and management of road works data utilising internet access.

Roads authority and utility companies operating in Scotland have access to SRWR and it is a statutory requirement for them to enter details of their road works, from the advance planning stage through to completion, for every road that they are planning on carrying out road works on. Over the 5 years of the GDPCR1 we have issued over 180,000 notices which have been carefully logged within the SRWR. Prior to T(S)A, this only applied to primary routes.

The 3 main reasons for having the SRWR are :

- A central tool for roads authorities and utility companies to assist in the planning and co-ordination of works on Scottish roads;
- A source of data for Indicators to determine performance in relation to works on Scottish roads; and
- An accurate source of information regarding future, ongoing and past works on Scottish roads.

The SRWR provides similar controls for Highway Authorities to coordinate and control street works activities to the Permitry Schemes used in England and as a result it was felt that the introduction of Permitry Schemes in Scotland was unnecessary.

The Scottish Roads Commissioner is the "keeper" of the SRWR; however the system is provided and managed by a consortium of Susiephone Ltd and the SRWR Management Group. The cost of running the SRWR is distributed between all utility companies, and is based on the number of notices issued in the previous financial year.

6. Transport (Scotland) Act 2005 (T(S)A)

The Transport (Scotland) Act 2005 (T(S)A), increased the regulatory framework that controls street works on the Roads in Scotland. Roads Authorities now have significantly greater powers, under section 155 notices, to co-ordinate, control and direct street works. The ultimate aim is to reduce traffic congestion for all road users and protect the structural integrity of the road through the introduction of stricter requirements for reinstating roads and new provisions on resurfacing roads.

The T(S)A was initially enacted in August 2005, with secondary legislation progressively enacted in various tranches from April 2007 onwards. These legislative changes have and will continue to result in significant additional operational costs for our Network. The costs we have incurred to date have been reported in Template 2.13 of each year's Annual Regulatory Reporting Pack (RRP). The impact and costs are outlined in section 8.0, which follows.

7. Principle Areas of Impact under T(S)A

Part 2 of T(S)A creates and defines the role of the Scottish Road Works Commissioner and imposes a duty on all road authorities and undertakers to supply such information as the Commissioner requires to perform his duties and to enter such information regarding road works on the SRWR as may be prescribed. The Commissioner reports directly to the Scottish Ministers, with the following functions:

- (a) Monitoring the carrying out of works in roads in Scotland;
- (b) Promoting compliance with the 1991 Act and obligations imposed under it;
- (c) Promoting the pursuit of good practice by those persons who have functions conferred on or permissions granted to them by or under that Act.

Part 2 of the T(S)A has had a significant impact on the operational practices of our network operations and has driven significant additional costs into the business. This is equivalent to Parts 2 & 4 of the TMA in England and Wales.

The appointment of the SRWC caused a step change in the way that street works are controlled and administered by Road Authorities for Utility Companies working on the Public Highway. Street works procedures that have not previously been enforced by Road Authorities have become normal practice as a result of fines/penalties being enforced by the Road Commissioner.

As a result of the changes we have, since the introduction of T(S)A in April 2008, incurred additional costs in all of the following areas:

- Submission of detailed Traffic Management Plans (CAD drawings) prior to agreeing to Opening Notices;
- Enhanced Traffic Management requirements, special signage requests, and requests for electronic variable message (VMS) signs and increased Traffic Orders;
- Noticing of projects on an individual street basis complete with grid references
- Refusal of early starts before previous planned works or other Utility works completed, causing poor;
- Section115 directions Work restrictions, extended or restricted working hours;
- Increase numbers of Section 117 Notices issued; and
- Increased Local Authority charges for temporary suspension of Parking Bays

T(S)A has also brought the following changes to street works:-

- An increase in the maximum fine to level 4 or 5 for each offence under the new Roads and Street Works Act;
- The addition of Fixed Penalty Notices given the ability of a works promoter to discharge their liability for prosecution by paying a fixed charge on a number of NRSWA offences;
- Longer advanced notification of street works;
- Amendments to section 115 which give the highway authority the ability to direct the timing of street works;
- Addition of section 115A, which gives the highway authority the power to give directions on the placing of apparatus;
- An increase in the timescales for restrictions in section 117 following road works; and
- The amendment of section 131 for advanced notification for remedial works relating to reinstatement.

8. Financial Impact on SGN

The comparison made in section 1, page 6 Table A, of streetworks legislation pre -T(S)A and the explanations provided in this submission demonstrate that the T(S)A represents a step change in streetworks legislation in Scotland which in turn result in material incremental rises in costs for the network. The implementation of T(S)A has resulted in significant additional costs in the following areas:

- 1) Fixed Penalty notices;
- 2) Compulsory use of Scottish Roads Register;
- 3) Administration/Training & IT running costs;
- 4) Management costs; and
- 5) Other operational costs:
 - a) Extended working hours
 - b) Restricted hours and day-works
 - c) Traffic Management Schemes
 - d) Traffic management Plans
 - e) Special signage
 - f) Temporary Traffic Orders
 - g) Traffic Modelling
 - h) Parking Bay Suspensions
 - i) Restrictions of Work (Road surfacing)

In addition to the incremental operational costs, a general reduction in production rates associated with gas mains replacement activities has occurred. This is due to co-ordination issues with other utility company works, timing directives or section 115 notices issued relating to certain sections of our planned works. When each project is placed on hold, productivity is lost for demobilisation and clearing sites and further cost are incurred with remobilisation. We have been issued a total of 70 section 115 notices per annum on average over the past 4 years, all of which have had an associated negative impact on productivity. (Please refer to Appendix **D**).

8.1. Fixed penalty notices costs – Costs to 2012/13 £100k

The Fixed Penalty Notice Scheme (FPN) was introduced during 2009/10. Road Authorities are able to issue FPNs for the following offences.

- Section 113 Failure to provide Advance Notification of Works.
- Section 114 Starting work without notice, or before proposed start date.
- Section 116 Failure to send a notice within 2 hours of starting emergency works.
- Section 129 Failure to send a works closed notice by the end of the next work day following completion or failure to make interim reinstatement permanent within 6 months notice.

The maximum penalty is £120 and a discounted amount of £80 applies if payment is made within 29 calendar days. By resourcing, training and equipping our network operation to effectively plan for and manage works within the highway, we are able to ensure that FPN payments are minimised and where failures occur all payments are made within 29 days at the lower rate.

To avoid all potential FPNs we would have to incur an excessive amount of additional costs in terms of management, supervision, administration and support on site. It is not efficient or justifiable to function in such a way, and therefore a reasonable level of FPNs should be expected. In the TMA Re-Opener Consultation in June 2011, Ofgem allowed a level of notification and inspection penalties based on benchmark performance.

Table C below highlights the number of FPNs issued to our Network over the past 5 calendar years. We have been issued a total of 2,418, but by reference to our careful planning and records, we have reviewed and challenged many of these and only accepted and paid 1,441. The process of challenging these FPNs involves managers' time, all of which has been recorded and included within the management time cost within Table B.

Table C – Fixed penalty notices issued and paid

	Actual Costs £M						
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13		
FPN Costs	0	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03		
Cumulative FPN Costs	0	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.10		

Accepted = '	1441
--------------	------

Issued = 2418

Roads Authority	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	Total per Authority
Aberdeenshire	0	5	9	6	16	36
Angus	0	0	0	0	0	0
ArgyII & Bute	0	5	35	2	0	42
City of Aberdeen	0	0	0	0	14	14
City of Edinburgh	0	23	44	26	29	122
Clackmannanshire	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dumfries and Galloway	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dundee City	0	0	0	5	33	38
East Ayrshire	0	0	4	4	1	9
East Dunbartonshire	0	0	6	6	2	14
East Lothian	0	1	2	0	0	3
East Renfrewshire	0	0	0	0	0	0
Falkirk	4	20	27	19	38	108
Fife	0	0	0	0	37	37
Glasgow City	0	0	0	10	39	49
Highland	3	23	13	7	16	62
Inverclyde	0	2	4	3	2	11
Midlothian	0	0	0	0	2	2
Moray	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Ayrshire	0	4	12	6	8	30
North Lanarkshire	0	0	0	18	52	70
Perth & Kinross	0	0	0	0	0	0
Renfrewshire	0	0	0	0	0	0
Scottish Borders	0	0	0	3	6	9
South Ayrshire	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Lanarkshire	0	148	136	141	124	549
Stirling	0	0	33	11	4	48
Transport Scotland	0	0	3	0	0	3
West Dunbartonshire	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Lothian	4	42	28	64	47	185
Total	11	273	356	331	470	1441
No. Authorities Issuing FPNs	3	10	14	16	18	

8.2. Compulsory use of Scottish Road Works Register – cost to 2012/13 £510k

This cost covers the use of the register and a standard charge for each notice posted on the system. The total cost is derived from the previous year's usage as determined by the register. Under the T(S)A this is a mandatory charge.

Table D: Summary of Scottish	Road Works Register Costs
------------------------------	---------------------------

	Actual Costs £m						
2008/09 2009/10 2010/11 20				2011/12	2012/13		
SRWR Costs	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10		
Cumulative SRWR Costs	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.41	0.51		

8.3. Administration Costs – cost to 2012/13 £1.19m

As the T(S)A was rolled-out additional administration resources (9 FTEs) were required by each of our operational depots (approx 1.5 FTE per depot) to ensure ongoing compliance with the new legislation. As more Road Authorities (RAs) enforce the T(S)A FPN scheme the administration resource levels will need to increase in order to successfully manage these increased workloads. No future costs are included in this submission.

The additional duties undertaken by the Administration resource are:-

- Noticing/ Amendments/Extension requests
- Coordinating Site Meetings/Planning Meetings
- Arranging various Planning & advanced notification Letters
- Validation of FPNs charges
- Maintaining Work schedules/planning programmes

Incurring these costs allows our business to comply with the requirements of the T(S)A and, as highlighted in section 7.1, enables mitigation of penalties through close working with local Road Authorities.

Initial administrative costs incurred in 2008/09 could be reduced in subsequent years as the learning and embedding of processes enabled an improvement in efficiency. Later increases in the number of authorities enforcing the FPN scheme has necessitated increased administrative expenditure. We continually seek to minimise this cost and therefore have been able to improve processes further in 2012/13.

Prior to the T(S)A, administration personnel, were only involved in issuing the notices. The subsequent significant expansion in administrative duties represents a material increase in costs not allowed under GDPCR1.

 Table E: Summary of T(S)A Administration Costs

	Actual Costs £m						
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13		
Administration costs	0.28	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.23		
Cumulative Administration Costs	0.28	0.48	0.68	0.96	1.19		

8.4. Training Costs – cost to 2012/13 £270k

In order to ensure the requirements of the T(S)A were implemented effectively, and that staff and management were competent to deliver the requirements of T(S)A and the ever more stringent administration of the Streetworks by the Roads Commissioner, a variety of training solutions were developed and rolled-out across our operational functions.

The training costs captured represent the incremental cost of delivering the training. (i.e. industrial/staff time, materials, cost of delivering the training.)

Due to forthcoming changes in codes of practices, reinstatement policies and competency requirements for supervisors and operatives working on the roads, training costs will increase during GD1 and form part of forecast future T(S)A submissions.

As Road Authorities have adopted the powers afforded under the T(S)A our training programme has rolled been out across our network.

Table F:	Summary of	T(S)A	Training Costs
----------	------------	-------	----------------

	Actual Costs £m						
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13		
Training Costs	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.00		
Cumulative Training Costs	0.08	0.19	0.27	0.27	0.27		

8.5. IT Costs – cost to 2012/13 £160k

One of the key requirements of the T(S)A is that we communicate effectively with Road Authorities. In addition to managing internal performance efficiently it has been necessary to develop new IT systems and enhance existing infrastructure. (Eton 5, CLEARMAN and MAXIMO).

As these systems are companywide, a proportion of these costs have been allocated to our Scotland Network and to the Southern Network. Now that the IT packages have been updated, the only remaining IT costs are the support staff costs of maintaining these systems. These costs of £160k have been included in Table B.

Within the Southern Gas Networks TMA submission in 2011 this incremental cost was identified and allowed by Ofgem.

Table G: Summary of T(SA) IT Operating Costs

	Actual Costs £m						
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13		
IT Operating Costs	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.04		
Cumulative IT Operating Costs	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.12	0.16		

8.6. Management Costs – cost to 2012/13 £720k

In order to manage T(S)A related activities effectively and minimise the cost and impact on our business and our Stakeholders, it has been necessary to incur additional management costs. Initially this expenditure was in the form of a project implementation team consisting of 2 FTEs and was set-up in 2007/8 to enable successful implementation of the T(S)A. The costs for this initial year do not form part of this submission. Expenditure incurred since is included within the costs outlined below.

In order to liaise with Road Authorities, keep abreast of T(S)A initiatives and legislation and to advise on compliance with regulatory guidelines, we have appointed a specialist Street Works Manager. This manager and his team work closely with the SRWC to understand the requirements of the annual performance review and implement the necessary amendments to ensure full compliance with the T(S)A.

We have shown our commitment to the Road Work Community in Scotland, through regular attendance at Local, Area and National RAUC forums, and have chaired all the Area and the National RAUC's at some time. We are also actively involved in the development of the policy and procedure necessary to support the successful cooperation and coordination that allows the common aim of reducing disruption and delay associated with utility and authority works on the Scotland road network. This effort and involvement is evident in the continued frontier performance we achieve each year amongst Utilities and Local Authorities across Scotland. In addition to the above, each of our 6 Scotland depots have a works planner, responsible for co-ordinating all projects and liaising with the local Road Authority inspectors to meet the enhanced requirements of theT(S)A.

During 2012/13, we experienced a substantial increase in the amount of management time spent dealing with local Road Authorities. Due to new consultations and legislation there has been an increase in the number of meetings with the Road Works Commissioner and other street work bodies during the year. We have also brought in house some street work activities which has resulted in higher management time being incurred during 2012/13 with a mitigation in operational costs arising from T(S)A impact.

Table H: Summary of T(SA) Management Costs

	Actual Costs				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Management Costs	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.18	0.32
Cumulative Management Costs	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.40	0.72

8.7. Other Operational Costs – cost to 2012/13 £6.76m

The T(S)A created the role of the SRWC who, in turn, has specific objectives within their function. Principally, they are required to monitor the coordination, quality and timeliness of all road works.

In order to comply with these requirements the roads authorities, and in particular Local Roads Inspectors, have enforced enhanced traffic management systems and out of hours working to minimise disruption to road users. The impact of these additional requests has increased our operational costs when carrying out street works.

8.7.1. Extended Working Hours on Site

Traditionally we have carried out planned replacement work during normal working hours (Monday to Friday) with limited non-core hours worked.

In order to minimise the time our operational teams spend on the road and to minimise disruption, we are now regularly directed to work either outside core hours, or work extended hours on replacement projects. These directions for extended working are made by local Roads Inspectors at co-ordination or site meetings. Not withstanding a small reduction in 2012/13 of section 155 notices, being issued to our business, RAs who have not historical issued section 155 notices have started to believe that this will continue in the future. Please refer to Appendix D.

Working outside normal hours results in a considerable increase to wage costs due to overtime and premium rates of pay, and enforced periods of rest as a result of overnight working. There is also an increasing trend on busy commuter routes to instruct our operations towards working in school holidays when traffic flows are low.

The cost of extended working hours up to 2012/13 was £420k

Table I: Summary of Extended working hours

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Extended Working Hours	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.14
Cumulative Extended Wrkg Hours	0.05	0.11	0.19	0.28	0.42

8.7.2. Restricted Working

Productivity is often severely affected by the Roads Authority restricting working hours or requesting additional resources to be maintained throughout certain times of the day or sometimes throughout the 'life' of the site, second team on site does not double productivity and often has to be paid using additional day work rates rather than normal contract schedule rates.

An increasingly common request is for additional labour to attend site to specifically manually control temporary traffic signals either during peak traffic hours or less frequently at all times. These costs have also been captured in the T(S)A templates. In addition, site productivity is often severely affected as a direct result of constrained working areas, specified storage areas or restricted lengths between temporary traffic signals being imposed on us by the RA. The RA may request our operational managers to close jobs down for busy periods, such as the lead-up to Christmas and New Year or local festivals and special events.

The costs of restricted working hours up to 2012/13 are £260k.

Table J: Summary of restricted working hours

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Restricted Working Hours	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.09
Cumulative Restricted Working Hrs	0.04	0.08	0.12	0.17	0.26

8.7.3. Vac Exc Charges

Due to the increased pressure to maintain and improve our activity in the highway enforced by the T(S)A and the SRWC, targeting shorter works periods, smaller excavations, less disruption and better reinstatement we have found it necessary to seek out new innovative ways of working to minimise the incremental costs would otherwise have. One such solution has been the use of Vac Exc machinery within traffic intensive areas of the network.

This technology, while not new, has been used successfully to:

- reduce the time to effect an excavation on our network;
- minimise the potential for interference with other buried assets and hence the need for further remedial works by third parties;
- limit the need for multiple vehicles using the site and the storage of spoil around the excavation.

Combined, these outcomes lead to a reduction in the time we spend in the road way and the potential for disruption to road users. In turn this limits the potential for delays in completion of jobs and an ability for the planned work to be accommodated within smaller windows of opportunity with Road Authorities.

The requirement to minimise over all costs in the GDPCR1 formula period justifies our investment into these types of machines for use across the Network.

The cost of Vac Exc Charges up to 2012/13 was £620k. These have been included as anti avoidance costs within the templates.

Table K: Summary of cost avoidance expenditure

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Vac Exc Hire Charges	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.29
Cumulative Vac Ex hire charges	0.00	0.11	0.22	0.33	0.62

8.7.4. Traffic Management Schemes

Since the implementation of T(S)A, and at the direction of the Local Roads Inspectors, the quality, scale and complexity of Traffic Management schemes have significantly increased. In addition, we can be directed to utilise third party specialists to design, set-up, manage and maintain Traffic Management Schemes (TMS) as



approved by the local authority.



Since the introduction of T(S)A ,the requirement to provide Traffic Management has become more formalised and now requires full CAD quality Traffic Management plans (an example is shown above). This process has increased the number of site meetings attended by our personnel with the road authority representatives and

traffic management specialist companies to produce more detailed professional plans before schemes are accepted and allowed to progress.

During 2012 we took the decision to bring much of this activity in house. There has been a corresponding reduction in the cost of carrying out this activity. We intend to try to expand this resource carrying out this activity, hence further reducing the costs of TMS going forward.

The cost of this activity up to 2012/13 was £1.6m.

Table L: Summary of Traffic Management Schemes

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Traffic Management Schemes	0.16	0.20	0.45	0.43	0.36
Cumulative TMS	0.16	0.36	0.81	1.24	1.60

8.7.5. Special Signage

As part of most Traffic Management schemes, Local Road Inspectors now request special advanced warning road signs to communicate forthcoming road works to road users. Increasingly, we are required to use specialist electronic variable message (VMS) signs on site. These are very expensive to hire or buy and are vulnerable to vandalism. The improved quality of Traffic Management schemes implemented as a result of the introduction of T(S)A now requires increasing numbers of special diversion & traffic direction signage which is non -standard and site specific. T(S)A also requires additional information signage for shoppers/local traders & businesses.

The volumes associated with this incremental activity are included within our accompanying templates.

The cost of special signage up to 2012/13 was £260k

Table M: Summary of Special Signage costs

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Special Signage	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06
Cumulative Special Signage	0.03	0.08	0.14	0.20	0.26



Example of Specialist Variable Electronic Messaging

8.7.6. Temporary Traffic Orders

With the introduction of the T(S)A and subsequent increase in Road Authority powers , the use of Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs) have increased in frequency during GDPCR1. These powers are used when the RA determines roads or footways are to be closed temporarily; when parking controls or speed limits are to be introduced; reversal of one way roads and other changes to the use of the highway.

TTRO's are for limited periods of time and do not require a consultation period, Notice is given instead. Notice for works of 5 days duration or less involves authorised paper notices being posted at the closure location by the undertaking. This notice usually gives details of why, where, how long and any diversion routes that are being used. In emergency situations it is often not practicable to have notices posted before the road is closed. For planned TTRO's, depending on their impact, the undertaking must place an advert in the local press, as required by the legislation. This normally costs between £400 and £1,200 depending on which Council area the Order is required.

The cost associated with this activity up to 2012/13 was £130k.

Table N: Summary of Temporary Traffic Orders

		Actual Costs £m			
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
TTO's	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03
Cumulative TTOs	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.13

8.7.7. Traffic Modelling

Traffic Modelling is a generic term used to describe the process of analysing traffic flows in a roads network under varying parameters. Traffic Modelling is required when the proposed traffic management for a project is of a complex nature or in a position of extreme traffic sensitivity, such that it is difficult for the Council to predict the effect on traffic movement either through proposed diversionary routes, or through the roads network as a whole. Where traffic modelling is required it is almost solely limited to the major city centres and often associated with TTROs, (e.g. in Edinburgh or Glasgow city centres.)

In these circumstances the analysis must be carried out by a competent and skilled resource, usually an independent consultant or contractor, who has access to the relevant information and current traffic flow information to be able to provide the necessary analysis. This can be a time consuming and expensive process dependent on the scale of the project and the availability of the necessary traffic flow information.

The cost associated with this activity up to 2012/13 was £70k.

Table O: Summary of Traffic Modelling

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Traffic Modelling	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03
Cumulative Traffic Modelling	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.07

8.7.8. Parking Bay Suspensions

When working in or around designated parking bays, we must contact the local RA and request suspension of the area for the duration of our works. The costs associated with these suspensions are £40 per occasion.

These charges only represent the suspension cost as the application of loss of revenue charges within Scotland was successfully challenged and the recharge by authorities not now permissible.

The expenditure associated with this activity up to 2012/13 was £60k.

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Parking Bay Suspensions	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02
Cumulative Parking Bay Suspension	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06

 Table P: Summary of Parking Bay suspensions

8.7.9. Restrictions of Work following Road Resurfacing (s117)

There has been an escalation in the imposed embargo period following the introduction of the Section 117 notice. Initially a 1 year restriction from working in resurfaced areas was imposed by the Roads Authority. This period of embargo was increased to 3 years in March 2008 and has had an impact on the programming of our scheduled works (we either bring work forward so that work can be completed prior to road resurfacing or delay until the 3 year embargo period has elapsed).

There are occasions where additional reinstatement (full panel / half panel reinstatement) has been 'negotiated' to allow works to progress within period of prohibition.

The cost associated with this activity up to 2012/13 was £150k.

Table Q: Summary of Restrictions of Work following Road Resurfacings

	Actual Costs £m				
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Restricted Works	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.05
Cumulative Restricted Works	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.10	0.15



9. Process for Collating Street Work Costs

The FPN charges are received directly from Authorities via the Street works Register, by the administration resource at each of the depots. Fixed Penalty Notices are then validated, challenged (where appropriate), verified by the nominated responsible manager and then paid by BACS transfer via finance department. Weekly FPN management information is issued under Director, Manager and process to allow focus in the appropriate areas to improve performance.

Administration resource levels working on T(S)A activities are collated and verified by a nominated business T(S)A representative. The Finance Manager ensures appropriate administration costs are allocated to T(S)A activities in the financial accounts, and at least every six months the business T(S)A representative reviews the nominated administration resource levels, and updates any changes where necessary.

'Other Operational T(S)A costs' are collected throughout the life cycle of all projects. The considered T(S)A costs with their description and project details are then transferred to a standard T(S)A template each month. Examples of these are in Appendix E. These are completed by the nominated construction managers/team manager, and authorised by the individual depot managers.

These templates are then submitted to a central T(S)A representative, who completes a summary T(S)A spreadsheet. The T(S)A representative along with finance audit and verified the submission.

A breakdown of Other operational costs are shown in Table B, section 1.

10. Future Street works Costs

Based on our experience of the T(S)A and working with the SWRC during GDPCR1, it is anticipated further changes to legislation will have a significant impact on our operational costs going forward into RIIOGD1. This will be a combination of the continuation of T(S)A activities which form the basis for this claim and for which no allowance was made in the GD1 final proposals, plus the introduction and expansion into new authorities of streetworks activity.

A consultation document was published in April 2013 in respect of street works in Scotland. As part of this consultation, the introduction of S133 (s74 TMA) Overstay Charges is being considered for implementation in Scotland. As these potential changes are still under consideration the quantum at this stage cannot be determined. Another major impact area of the consultation is Long Term Damage.

Other areas which are included in the current Strategic Consultation on works on Scottish Roads are:-

- Over Run Charges;
- Increased Inspections;
- Increased Guarantee Periods;
- Lane Rental Schemes;
- Permit Schemes;
- Additional FPN Schemes;
- Additional FPN Charges;and
- Increased Commissioner Penalties

There are also two new pieces of legislation that we are aware will be implemented within the next two years. These are:

October 2013 – Issue of new version of Specifications of Reinstatement of Openings and Roads

April 2014 – Rewrite of Safety Roads Works Code of Practise – The Roads Commissioner has indicated that they want two main issues of this (wind resistant

barriers and daily site inspections for unmanned sites), to be implemented in Scotland regardless of the outcome elsewhere in the UK.

All of the above will have an impact on our ongoing streetworks costs during RIIO GD1 and have not been included in this claim.

Appendix A – Allocation of Actual Costs Between Opex, Repex and Capex Expenditure

all in 2009/10 Prices

		Actuals			
£m	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Opex	0.42	0.31	0.40	0.45	0.40
Incentivised Repex	0.26	1.40	1.64	1.58	1.75
Capex	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.05	0.05
Non Incentivised Repex	0.03	0.11	0.19	0.10	0.16
TOTAL	0.84	1.95	2.39	2.18	2.35

Allocation of Costs Between Opex, Repex and Capital Expenditure

Appendix B – NRSWA Legislation in place at time of GDPCR1 Allowances were set

New Roads & Street Works Act (NRWSA) Costs					
Prior to TMA in England	Prior to TSA in Scotland				
NRSWA Defect Charges	NRSWA Defect Charges				
NRSWA Inspection Charges	NRSWA Inspection Charges				
Low level of traffic management drawings (Hand prepared)	Low level of traffic management drawings (Hand prepared)				
Low level of s56 power of directions notifications	Low level of s115 power of directions notifications				
Less Admin resource on NRSWA duties	Less Admin resource on NRSWA duties				
Managers didn't attend co-ordination meeting	Managers attending co-ordination meetings				
Less Court prosecutions					
Low level of coring costs by Highway Authorities					
s74 charges					

England and Scotland same

Appendix C – Scottish Road Works Community and Structure

Whilst the road works authorities and the undertakers are the key players in the Scottish Road Works Community, there are a number of bodies in place which have a valuable role. The following diagram sets out the relationships between these bodies:-



Scottish Ministers: - are responsible for appointing the Commissioner and for the Scottish Government.

Scottish Government: - has the responsibility for the development and procurement of any new or amended legislation required.

Scottish Road Works Commissioner (SRWC): - is required each year to give the Scottish Ministers a report on the performance of his functions. Also advises, the Scottish Government on any requirements for new or amended legislation

Policy Development Group: - consists of representatives from RAUC(S), SCOTS (the Society of Chief Officers of Transportation in Scotland), NJUG (National Joint Utilities Group), and chair of the SRWR Management Group, Scottish Government

and the Commissioner. It has a remit to take a strategic overview of road works in Scotland and advises, particularly on the need for the development of new legislation or Codes of Practice.

Susiephone Ltd: - is a non profit making company, with board members drawn from road authorities and undertakers, which under an agreement with the Commissioner continue in the role of provider of the register.

Road Authorities and Utilities Committee of Scotland (RAUC(S)): - consists primarily of roads authorities and undertakers and exists to provide a forum for discussion and liaison between roads authorities and utilities with a view to improving the planning, co-ordination and quality of road works in Scotland, it also provides support and advice to the Commissioner towards the same goal.

Scottish Road Works Register Management Group: - assists the Commissioner (as keeper of the Register) in the development and day to day operational management of the Register.

Area RAUCs: - there are 4 Area RAUCs which support and advise RAUC(S).

UK Wide Organisations

Highways Authorities and Utilities Committee (UK) (HAUC(UK)): - is a UK group consisting primarily of highway authorities, undertakers and Department for Transport (DfT) and is a forum for matters of mutual interest in relation to street works

At 55,515km, the Scottish road network is a significant asset. Within it there are over 300,000km of electricity cables, gas pipes, water pipes, sewers and drains. In addition, there is estimated to be well over 100,000km of telecommunications cables.

Appendix D– Number of Council Directions Issued

Calendar Years

Council Directions (S115, S115A & S125)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Aberdeenshire	0	0	0	0	2
Angus	0	0	0	0	0
Argyll & Bute	0	5	6	10	1
City of Aberdeen	0	0	0	6	0
City of Edinburgh	0	8	2	0	0
Clackmann an shire	0	1	5	0	1
Dumfries and Galloway	0	0	0	0	0
East Ayrshire	0	0	1	0	0
East Dunbartonshire	0	4	6	1	0
East Lothian	0	0	0	0	1
East Renfrewshire	0	0	0	0	0
Falkirk	0	0	3	3	9
Fife	0	5	1	0	0
Glasgow	0	0	0	0	0
Highland	0	0	0	0	0
Inverclyde	0	0	3	0	0
Midlothian	0	8	22	39	18
Moray	0	1	0	0	0
North Ayrshire	0	0	2	1	0
North Lanarkshire	0	1	0	3	1
Perth & Kinross	0	3	6	2	3
Renfrewshire	0	0	0	0	0
Scottish Borders	0	0	0	0	0
Scottish Government	0	0	0	0	0
South Ayrshire	0	0	0	0	0
South Lanarkshire	0	5	5	15	9
Stirling	0	6	4	0	22
West Dunbarton shire	0	1	1	0	0
Western Isles	0	0	0	0	0
West Lothian	0	1	3	11	13
Tota	0	49	70	91	80

BEPARTINEITIOE POI HILINGTOV Science Operations MONTH OCT VERIE D9 Y Nov \$xxxxx \$xxxxx YCRX 202 Polget 10 / Location 10 costs Costs costs Tele density hilders Costs FPI Alsons Costs FPI Alsons Costs			MC		RET		REMEN.	TAL T(S)A	COSTS						
VERSED DV: Arrow Arrow VERSED V: VERSE V: <t< td=""><td>DEPARTMENT/DEPOT</td><td></td><td>HILLINGTON</td><td></td><td></td><td>Scotl</td><td>and Operat</td><td>ions</td><td>00010</td><td></td><td></td><td>монтн</td><td>ОСТ</td><td></td></t<>	DEPARTMENT/DEPOT		HILLINGTON			Scotl	and Operat	ions	00010			монтн	ОСТ		
Section 115 cots Fixed Penalty Notice Fixed Penalty Notice Other and the section 115 cots Address of the section of the secti	VERIFIED BY:		Name				Signature			YEAR 2012					
Distribution Distribution Distribution Additional member Additional member Additional member Test (Construction (Construction) Test (Construction) Test (Construction) Test (Construction) Test (Construction) Additional (Construction) Test (Construction) Test (Construction) Additional (Construction) Test (Construction) Test (Construction) Construction (Construction) Test (Construction) Test (Construction				Sectio	on 115 c	osts					Fixed F	enalty l	Notices		
Additional Cests Description Weiners xt = xth th = xt tt = xt	Project No. /	Local Authority	Direct	Direct labour hours		rs Contractor		Additional Reinstatement	Additional Staff Costs		FPN Ad	lditional nin	FPN Charges	Other one-off costs	
BELLEVUE CRESCUT AVN 4/20200 Image: Contract of the second of the secon	Additional Costs Description	notice reference	$x1 \rightarrow x1\frac{1}{2}$	x1½ → x2	x1 → x2	Schedule number	Value	£	Pay No	No Hours	Pay No	No Hours	£	£	
Image: Participation Horse Participation Horse Participation Cost Image: Cost Provide Horse Participation	BELLEVUE CRESCENT.AYR	1428288					£10,257								
Image: Image:<															
Image: Cost Associated with RAUC Meetings Cost Productive Management Designs															
Image: Cost Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Cost Assoc															
Image: Image:<															
Image: Image:<															
Image: Cost Associated with RAUC Meetings Managers Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Managers Cost Associated w															
Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Cost Associated with RAUC Meetings															
Image: Cost Associated with Taffic Management Designs Cost Production Management Designs Cost Production Management Designs Cost Partial Cost Partia Cost Partial <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>															
Lost Productivity Due to Working Restrictions Pay Na Nons Charges Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>															
Lost Productivity Due to Working Restrictions Pay No Normal Charges Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs															
Lost Productivity Due to Working Restrictions Pay No Charges Image: Control of the second s											Ot	her Adr	nin		
Lost Productivity Due to Working Restrictions Pay 10 No. Hours C. Charges Total 0 <												Charge	s		
Interference Interference<			Lost Pr	oductivit	v Due to	Working Re	strictions				Pay No	No	£		
Interview Interview <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Hours</td><td>Charges</td><td></td></t<>												Hours	Charges		
Image: Total Q Q Q End End Cost Total Q Q Q El0.257 E0 Q Q E0 E0 Name Pay No Function Hours Cost Description Cost Name Pay No Function Hours TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS E50 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS E50 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS E50 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS E50 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS E50 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Manager Cost															
Total Q Q Q El10.257 E0 Q Q E0 E0 Total Q Q Q El10.257 E0 Q Q E0 E0 Name Pay No Function Hours Description Cost TISJA Co-Coordinator TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS E891 TIRO TIRO 60 E0 E0 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings TIRO 60 Cost Hillington Glasgow Glasgow Cost Cost Coatridge Dundee Dundee Cost Cost Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Name Pay No Four Social Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Total Cost Cost															
Total Q Q Q Elocation Elocation Q Q Elocation Name Pay No Function Hours TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS Cost Essential Name Pay No Function Hours TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS Essential Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Hillingtin Glasgow Essential Essential Essential Manager Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Mamager Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Essential Essential Essential Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs TM LEAD 2.0 Total Essential Essential Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs TM LEAD 2.0 Essential Essential Essential Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs TM LEAD 2.0 Essential Essential Essential Essential Essential Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Essential															
Total 0 <td></td>															
Total Q Q Q E10.257 E0 Q Q E0 Other Administration / Managers Costs One-off costs One-off costs One-off costs E88 Name Pay No Function Hours TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS Cost E88 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings ITRO Cost E88 E85 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Hillington Gissgow Cost E88 Operational Staff attending site meetings Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Name Pay No Hours Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Name Pay No Hours Cost Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Sociated With Traf															
Total 0 0 0 0 2 20 <td></td>															
Total 0 0 €10,257 £0 0 0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £0 £1 £1 £1 £1 £1 £1 £1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>															
Image: Difference of the second se	Total		0	0	0		£10.257	£0		0		0	£0	£0	
Name Pay No Function Hours Description Cost T(S)A Co-Coordinator TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS £981 TIRO £650 Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated With Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated With Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated With Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated With Traffic Management) Other Adm	inistration	/ Manage	rs Cost	s				One		 te			
T(S)A Co-Coordinator TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNS £980 TTRO £860 Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings	Name										e-oπ cos	13			
Image: Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated With Traffic Management Designs <th< td=""><td></td><td>Pay No</td><td>Funct</td><td>tion</td><td>Hours</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Descripti</td><td>on</td><td>13</td><td></td><td>Cost</td></th<>		Pay No	Funct	tion	Hours					Descripti	on	13		Cost	
Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Hillington Coatbridge Edinburgh Dunfermline Dundee Dundee Manager Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs TM LEAD Z.0 Total Quade Quade <		Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Ci	tion oordinator	Hours			TRAFFIC MAN	AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	on			Cost £981	
Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated With Traffic Management Des		Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Co	tion oordinator	Hours			TRAFFIC MAN	AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	on			Cost £981 £650	
Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Costs Associated with raffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated With Traffic		Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Ci	tion oordinator	Hours			TRAFFIC MAN/ TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	on			Cost £981 £650	
Manager Costs Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Cost Associated with RAUC Meetings Image: Glasgow Image: Cost Brain of the second secon		Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Co	tion oordinator	Hours			TRAFFIC MAN/ TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	on			Cost £981 £650	
Hillington Operational Staff attending site meetings Coatbridge Image: Staff attending site meetings Dunder Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings		Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Co	tion oordinator	Hours			TRAFFIC MAN/ TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £981 £650	
Glasgow Glasgow Gathode Coatbridge Edinburgh Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Dundee Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings Image: Staff attending site meetings <td></td> <td>Pay No</td> <td>Funct T(S)A Co-Co Associated</td> <td>tion oordinator d with RA</td> <td>Hours</td> <td>etings</td> <td></td> <td>TRAFFIC MAN/ TTRO</td> <td>AGEMENT</td> <td>Descripti</td> <td>on</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Cost £981 £650</td>		Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Co Associated	tion oordinator d with RA	Hours	etings		TRAFFIC MAN/ TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £981 £650	
Outcomunge Operational Staff attending site meetings Dundee	 Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associated Hilling	tion oordinator d with RA	Hours	etings		TRAFFIC MANA TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £981 £650	
Dunfermline Operational Staff attending site meetings Operational Staff attending site meetings Operational Staff attending site meetings Name Pay No Hours Cost Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Source of the so	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associated Hilling Glass	tion oordinator d with RA gton gow	Hours	tings		TRAFFIC MANA TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £981 £650	
Duringer Duringer Image: Duringer Image: Duringer <td< td=""><td>Mana</td><td>Pay No</td><td>Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Edinbl</td><td>tion oordinator d with RA gton gow idge urgh</td><td>Hours</td><td>etings</td><td></td><td>TRAFFIC MANA</td><td>AGEMENT</td><td>Descripti</td><td>on</td><td></td><td></td><td>Cost £961 £660</td></td<>	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Edinbl	tion oordinator d with RA gton gow idge urgh	Hours	etings		TRAFFIC MANA	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £961 £660	
Operational Staff attending site meetings Name Pay No Hours Cost Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Source and Source an	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatb Edinbi Dunferr	tion oordinator d with RA ton yow idge urgh mline	Hours	etings		TRAFFIC MANA TIRO	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £981 £650	
Name Pay No Hours Cost Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Cost Associated with Traffic Management Designs <	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Edinbu Dunfer Dunfer	tion coordinator d with RA gton gow dige urgh mline lee	Hours	etings		TRAFFIC MANA TTRO	AGEMENT	Descripti	on			Cost £981 £650	
Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: The Lead TM LEAD 2.0 Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated w	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Ci Associate Hilling Glass Coatb Edinbi Dunfer Dunfer	tion coordinator d with RA ton jow idge urgh mline lee	Hours	tings		TRAFFIC MANA TIRO	AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin	a site r	neetina	Cost £981 £650	
Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs TM LEAD 2.0 TM LEAD 2.0 Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Management Designs Image: Costs Associated	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Co Associated Hilling Glasg Coatbr Edinbu Dunfer Dunc	tion cordinator d with RA ton yow idge urgh mline lee	Hours	etings			AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin	g site r No	neeting: Hours	Cost £981 £660	
Managers Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic Management Designs Image: Costs Associated with Traffic M	Mana	ger Costs	Funct T(S)A Co-Co Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Edinbu Dunfer Dune	tion cordinator d with RA ton yow ow idge urgh mline lee	Hours	etings			AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin	g site r No	neeting:	Cost £981 £660	
TM LEAD 2.0 Image: Constraint of the second se	Mana	Pay No	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatt Edinbh Dunde Dunde	tion oordinator d with RA ton jow idge urgh mline lee	Hours	etings			AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	g site r No	neeting: Hours	Cost £981 £660 £660 £650	
Image: Section of the secti	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glas Coatt Edinbu Dunde Dunde	tion oordinator d with RA iton jow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M	UC Mee	etings			AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	g site r No	neeting Hours	Cost £981 £660 £660 £660 £650	
Image: Constraint of the second sec	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs costs Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Single Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Edinbh Dunder Dunder Dund Coatbr Coatb	tion oordinator d with RA iton jow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	g site r No	neeting Hours	Cost £981 £660 £660 £650	
Total 2.0 Total 0 £1.631	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs osts Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Single Coatter Hilling Coatter Dunfer Dunfer Dunfer Catter Ca	d with RA ton yow urgh mline lee Traffic M	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT	Descripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	g site r	neeting: Hours	Cost £9610 £660	
Total 2.0 Total 0 £1.631	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs oosts Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Co- Coate Hilling Glass Coate Dunfer Dunfer Dunfer Dunfer TM LE	tion cordinator d with RA ton yow urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT perationa	Bescripting	attendin Pay	g site r No	neeting: Hours	Cost £9610 £660 S Cost	
Total 2.0 Total 0 £1.631	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs osts Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Co (S)A Co (S)A CO	tion cordinator d with RA ton yow ridge urgh mline lee Traffic M FAD	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT perationa	Descripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	g site r	neeting: Hours	Cost £981 £660 Cost	
Total 2.0 Total 0 £1.631	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs oosts Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Co (S)A Co-Co (Coattor Coattor Dunce Dunce Coattor Coattor Dunce Coattor Coatto	tion cordinator d with R.A ton yow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT	Descripting	attendin Pay	g site r	Hours	Cost £981 £660	
Total 2.0 Total 0 £1,631	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs costs Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Co Associate Hilling Glass Coatbr Dunfer Dunfer Dunfer Ciated with TM LE	tion oordinator d with RA ton jow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT perationa Vame	Descripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	g site r	Hours	Cost £981 £660	
<u>Total</u> <u>2.0</u> <u>Total</u> <u>0</u> <u>£1,631</u>	Mana Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs osts Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Dunder Dunder Dunder	tion oordinator d with RA ton jow dige urgh mline lee Traffic M	Hours	etings nent Designs			AGEMENT perationa Vame	I Staff	attendin Pay	g site r No	neeting Hours	Cost <u>£981</u> <u>£660</u> <u>660</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u>	
	Mana Managers C	Pay No ger Costs oosts Asso	Funct T(S)A Co-Cr Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbr Dunde Dunde Dunde Ciated with TM LE	tion cordinator d with RA ton yow urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	Hours	etings nent Designs			Perationa Name	al Staff	attendin Pay	g site r No	Hours	Cost £981 £650	

Appendix E – Examples of Templates

		М	ONTHLY	RETU	IRN OF INC	REMEN	TAL T(S)A	совтя	5				
DEPARTMENT/DEPOT		HILLINGTON Scotland Operations							MONTH AUG				
VERIFIED BY:		Name	Name Signature										
			Sectio	on 115 c	osts					Fixed F	enalty l	Votices	ou
Project No. /	Local Authority	Direct labour hours		Direct labour hours		Contractor Costs		Additional Staff Costs		FPN Additional Admin		I FPN Charges	Other one-off costs
Additional Costs Description	reference	x1 → x1½	x1½ → x2	x1 → x2	Schedule number	Value	£	Pay No	No Hours	Pay No	No Hours	£	£
AYR ROAD, CUMNOCK	1400567					£26,941							
										Otl	her Adr	<u>nin</u>	
										<u> </u>	Charge	s c	
		Lost Pr	oductivit	y Due to	Working Re	strictions				Pay No	Hours	~ Charges	
Total		-											
		0	0	0		£26.941	£0		0		0	£0	£0
(Dther Adm	<u>0</u> iinistration	<u>0</u> / Manage	<u>0</u> rs Cost	s	£26,941	<u>£0</u>		<u>0</u> One	off cos	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u>
Name	Other Adm Pay No	<u>0</u> inistration Func	<u>0</u> / Manage	ers Cost Hours	s	£26,941	<u>£0</u>		<u>0</u> One Descripti	e-off cos	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost
Name	Other Adm Pay No	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C	<u>0</u> / Manage tion oordinator	0 rs Cost Hours	S	£26,941	<u>£0</u> TRAFFIC MAN/		0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1771
Name	Dther Adm Pay No	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C	<u>0</u> / Manage tion oordinator	0 rs Cost Hours	S	£26,941	<u>£0</u> TRAFFIC MAN/ TRAFFIC LIGH	AGEMENT	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771
Name	Dther Adm Pay No	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C	0 / Manage tion oordinator	0 rs Cost Hours	S	£26,941	<u>£0</u> TRAFFIC MAN/ TRAFFIC LIGH	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771
Name	Dther Adm Pay No	0 Functor T(S)A Co-C	O / Manage tion oordinator	0 Hours	S	£26,941	<u>£0</u> TRAFFIC MANA TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	£0 Cost £100 £1,771
Name Name	Pay No Pay No ger Costs	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C	/ Manage tion oordinator d with RA	0 Hours	s	£26,941	<u>£0</u> TRAFFIC MANA TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771
Name Name	Dther Adm Pay No ger Costs	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C Associate	/ Manage / Manage tion coordinator	0 Hours Hours	s	£26,941	£0 TRAFFIC MANA TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	£0 Cost £100 £1,771
Name Name	Pay No Pay No ger Costs	0 inistration T(S)A Co-C Associate Glass Coatb	/ Manage / Manage tion oordinator d with RA tion tow tidge	0 rs Cost Hours	s	£26,941	£0 TRAFFIC MANJ TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771
Name Mame Mana	Pay No Pay Costs	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbi Edinb Dunfer	/ Manage tion oordinator d with RA ton gow urgh mline	0 Hours	s	<u>£26,941</u>	£0 TRAFFIC MANJ TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1.771
Name Mame Mana	Dther Adm Pay No ger Costs	0 Function T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatb Edinb Dunfen Dunfen	<u>0</u> / Manage tion oordinator d with RA ton yow ridge urgh mline lee	0 Hours Cost	s	£26,941	£0 TRAFFIC MANA TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1.771
Name Mana	Pay No ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glasg Coatbi Edinb Dunfen Dunc	<u>0</u> / Manage tion soordinator d with RA ton yow ridge urgh mline lee	0 Hours UC Mee	s	£26,941	£0 TRAFFIC MANJ TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT	0 One Descripti SIGNS	on attendin	<u>0</u> ts		<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1.771
Name Mana Mana	Pay No ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbi Dunfen Dunfen	0 / Manage tion pordinator d with RA tion pow dige urgh mline lee	0 Hours Hours	s	£26,941	EO TRAFFIC MANA TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE Perationa	0 One Descripti SIGNS	e-off cos on attendin Pay	<u>o</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1.771
Name Mana Mana	Pay No ger Costs	0 Functor T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbi Dunfer Dunce	0 / Manage tion bordinator d with RA ton jow idge urgh mline lee	0 Hours Hours	s	£26,941		AGEMENT T HIRE T HIRE	0 One SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>o</u> ts g site r No	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> <u>£100</u> £1.771
Name Mana Mana	Pay No Pay No ger Costs	0 Functor T(S)A Co-C T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbu Dunfen Dunfen	Q / Manage tion pordinator d with RA ton yow ridge urgh miline lee	0 Hours	s	£26,941	EO TRAFFIC MANA TRAFFIC LIGHT	AGEMENT T HIRE Perationa	0 One SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771
Name Mana Mana	Pay No ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbul Edinb Dunfer Dunfer Dunfer Cated with	O / Manage tion oordinator d with RA tion oow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours UC Mee	s etings nent Designs	£26,941		AGEMENT T HIRE Perationa	0 Onescripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts g site r	<u>£0</u>	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771
Managers C	Dther Adm Pay No ger Costs	0 inistration Func T(S)A Co-C Cotto Costo Costo Dunce Dunce Dunce Costo	O / Manage tion oordinator d with RA tion oow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours UC Mee anagen 10.0	s etings nent Designs	£26,941		AGEMENT T HIRE perationa	0 Onescripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts g site r №	£0	<u>£0</u> Cost £1100 £1,771
Name Name Mana Mana Mana	ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C Sassociate Hilling Glass Coatbu Edinb Dunfer Dunc Cated with TM LE	Q / Manage tion oordinator d with RA ton yow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours UC Mee anagen 10.0	etings	£26,941		AGEMENT T HIRE perationa	0 One SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts g site r No	£0	<u>£0</u> Cost £1100 £1,771
Name Name Mana Mana Managers C	ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbu Edinb Dunfer Dunc Cated with TM LE	O / Manage tion oordinator d with RA ton yow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours UC Mee anagen 10.0	s etings nent Designs	<u>£26,941</u>		AGEMENT T HIRE perationa	0 Onescripti SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts g site r	£0	<u>£0</u> Cost £1100 £1,771
Name Name Mana Mana Managers C	Dther Adm Pay No ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C Associate Hilling Glass Coatbu Edinb Dunfer Dunc Catted with TM LE	O / Manage tion oordinator d with RA ton yow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours UC Mee anagen 10.0	s etings nent Designs			AGEMENT T HIRE Perationa Name	0 One SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts	£0	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771 Cost Cost
Name Name Mana Mana Mana Managers C	Dther Adm Pay No ger Costs	Q inistration Func T(S)A Co-C (S)A Co-C Cast Hilling Glass Coatbu Edinbu Edinbu Edinbu Dunfer Dunc Catted with TM LE	Q / Manage tion oordinator d with RA aton yow idge urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours AUC Mee anagen 10.0	s etings nent Designs			AGEMENT T HIRE perationa Name	0 Onescripting SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts g site r No	£0	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1,771 Cost
Name Mana Mana Managers C	Dther Adm Pay No ger Costs	© inistration Func T(S)A Co-C (S)A Co-C Cost Hilling Glass Cost Dunce Dunce Dunce Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost	O / Manage tion oordinator d with RA iton oow urgh mline lee Traffic M EAD	0 rs Cost Hours NUC Mee anagen 10.0	s etings nent Designs			AGEMENT T HIRE	0 Onescripting SIGNS	attendin Pay	<u>0</u> ts g site r No	£0	<u>£0</u> Cost £100 £1.771 S Cost

Send to: @scotiagasnetworks.co.uk

		м	ONTHLY	RETU	JRN OF INC	REMEN	TAL T(S)A	совтя	3				
DEPARTMENT/DEPOT		HILLINGTON			Scotl	and Operat	tions				монтн	SEPT	
VERIFIED BY:		Name				Signature					YEAR	2012	!
			<u>Section</u>	on 115 c	:osts					Fixed F	Penalty	Notices	011-01-01
Project No. /	Local Authority	Direct	t labour hou	rs	Contracto	r Costs	Additional Reinstatement Costs	Addition Cos	al Staff sts	FPN Ad Adr	lditional nin	FPN Charges	Costs
Additional Costs Description	reference	$x1 \rightarrow x1\frac{1}{2}$	x1½ → x2	$x1 \rightarrow x2$	Schedule number	Value	£	Pay No	No Hours	Pay No	No Hours	£	£
QUARRY STREET, JOHNSTONE	1380796					£6,566							
										<u>Ot</u>	her Adr	<u>min</u>	
				<u> </u>						<u>(</u>	Jharge No	s £	
		Lost Pr	oductivit	y Due to	V WORKING RE	strictions				Pay No	Hours	Charges	
-													
Total		0	0	0		£6 566	£0		0		0	£0	£0
<u>- 10tai</u>	Other Adm	⊔ <u>⊆</u> ninistration	/Manage	rs Cost	<u> </u>	20,000	~~		∣ ⊻ One	e-off.cos	ts.	~	~0
Name	Pay No.	Eunc	tion	Hours					Descript	ion			Cost
Hamo	1 0,110	T(S)A Co-C	oordinator	Tiodro			TRAFFIC LIGH	THIRE	Becompt				£644
							TRAFFIC MAN	AGEMENT	SIGNS				£180
Mana	Contra	Associate	d with D /										
wana	gerCosts	Hilling	a with KA		eungs								
		Glas	gow										
		Edinb	urgh										
		Dunfer Dunc	mline dee										
							<u> </u>	peration	al Staff	attendin	g site r	neeting	<u>s</u>
								Name		Pay	No	Hours 1	Cost
												2	2
Managers C	osts Asso	ciated with	Traffic N	lanagen	nent Designs								
		TM LE	EAD	5.0									
									_				
									_				

nd to: @scotiagasnetworks.co.uk

Appendix F – Scotland Performance Review

													0.001	MMISSI	OHLK
Performance Review for Scotland Gas Network	s (October	2011 - S	eptemb	er 2012)											
The Performance Review below sets out Indicator data for undertakers are encouraged to review the overall suite of organisations.	r Scotland Ga Indicators pla	s Network: ced on the	for the la Scottish i	st fourtee Road Worl	n quarters ks Registe	. The yea r (SRWR)	rs shown and on th	(e.g. 2011 e Commis	-12) are fi sioner's v	nancial ye rebsite to	ars from 1 benchmai	1st April rk their p	- 31st Ma erformar	rch. All ice agains	t similar
1.0 Noticing Activity & FPNs 1.1 Noticing Activity			200	19-10			201	0-11			2011	-12		201	2-13
The Commissioner wishes to determine if undertakers are meeting th notices for all of their works on SRWR. This is being done by measur	eir duty to enter ing the number of														
actual start notices and completion notices entered onto SRWR. He s under review the designations given to the works.	ilso wishes to keep	01	02	01	04	01	02	01	04	01	02	01	04	01	02
Works Started	Report 9a	3,846	3,727	4,066	5,109	3,966	3,873	4,118	4,684	3,463	3,402	3,357	3,965	3,390	3,081
Works Completed	Report 9b	3,855	3,570	3,977	4,814	3,825	3,828	3,668	4,919	3,634	3,339	3,574	3,819	3,355	2,927
Emergency, Urgent or Remedial Dangerous Works	Report 4a	1,119	1,244	1,852	2,713	1,215	1,162	2,097	2,189	1,110	1,052	1,332	1,502	1,033	956
1.2 Potential Noticing Offices The Commissioner withes to measure the accuracy of the informatio and that the appopriate timeacules are being mic. This measures th message operanded by the SPINF which vould that ga aposteral Twee offices. The percentage rate is based on comparison with the numb notices with are isaud.	n held on notices e number of error i Penalty Notice er of actual start							11000		2,100		.,	LIL OU		1,000
Potential Noticing Offences (PNOs) Potential Noticing Offences per Works Started	Report 1 Report 2b	514 0.13	399 0.11	247 0.06	366 0.07	308 0.08	277	235 0.06	243 0.05	185 0.05	210 0.06	193 0.06	227 0.06	209	181 0.05
Constraints' arrange included while you or part of manage in Stace Penalty Notices Given The Commassioner while to keep under release the number of River Re- parts to each understand, included the instrument of the Re- parts to each understand, the instrument for information only to be a particular to information and the instrument of information only to be a particular to information and the Commassioner will un Noticing Offences as the performance measure.	Penalty Notices alty Notices is at and is not deemed be Potential					174	[0.10]	(0.10)	[0.11]	[0.10]	[0.10]	[0.14]	(s. 14)	(0.11)	[0.03]
Summary	Report	101	-1	30	13	124	00	16	33	01	65	33	0.5	115	74
 Noticing Activity Works Started and Completed – These have been broadly in line t Emergency, Urgent or Remedial Dangerous Works – overall these 	hroughout the pe are broadly simil	riod and do ar to the figu	not give ca ires over th	use for conc e previous ty	ern. I do not vo periods a	e however and do not o	that the num	ber of work r concern.	s undertake	n has redu	ed somewi	hat this yea	ar - 13,793	as opposed	to 15,667.
1.2 Potential Nationg Offence: 1.2 Potential National Indication plences has been below the unperformance continues or even improves. In terms of the accuracy of the information held on notices and that performance over the next 12 months and hope to see it maintainee the head of the local accuracy of the information held on the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the information of the Intervention of the local accuracy of the information of the Intervention of the local accuracy of the information of the Intervention of the local accuracy of the information of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the information of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the information of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local accuracy of the local Intervention of the local accuracy of the local ac	lertaker current a the appropriate t l. rou £29,040 baser refore lead to sig	iverage and imescales a d on the disc nificant cost	this has ren te being me ounted rate savings.	nained consi et I am pleas of £80. This	istent throuş ed to be ab was higher	phout the ye le to say tha than last ye	ar. You shou at I currently war.	uld continue consider yo	to review y ur company	our potentia	al noticing o	offences to performinç	ensure th). I will co	at your reco	nt good onitor your
2.0 Management & Timing of Works The Commissioner works to review the use of few/billities acread by	RAUC(S) relating		200	19-10			201	0-11			2011	-12		201	2-13
to early starts, late starts and works extensions and also certain areas regarding the information to be placed on SRWR.	where duties exist		~				62				~		C 1		67
No and Percentage Early Starts	Report 10	658 (17%)	G2 704 (19%)	Q3 444 (11%)	GM 536 (10%)	Q1 594 (15%)	659 (17%)	490(12%)	634(14%)	Q1 716(21%)	446(13%)	405(12%)	606(15%)	G1 557(16%)	G2 536(17%)
No of Late Starts	Report 10	28 (1%)	79 (2%)	41 (1%)	103 (2%)	97 (2%)	92 (2%)	67(2%)	43(1%)	30(1%)	68(2%)	64(2%)	27(1%)	55(2%)	86(3%)
No and Percentage Overrun/Minor, Standard, Major Wks	Report 6	17 (1%)	25 (1%)	82(4%)	86 (4%)	74 (3%)	69 (3%)	58(3%)	101(4%)	55(3%)	86(4%)	139(7%)	115(5%)	102(5%)	96(5%)
Undertaker Average Overruns No and Percentage of Work Extensions	Report 12	1,366(36%)	1,302(35%)	1,261 (31%)	1,711 (33%)	[4%] 1,261(31%)	(3%) 847 (22%)	2,000(49%)	(3%) 1,437(31%)	(3%) 679(20%)	(2%) 789(23%)	(2%) 786(23%)	[2%] 663(17%)	(255) 572(17%)	(2%) 684(22%)
Undertaker Average Work Extensions	Penort 16	19	16	27	29	[16%]	[14%] 18	[27%]	[21%]	[14%] 20	(16%) 26	(1716)	[15%] 21	[14%]	(14)6) 49
Works Awaiting Registration	Report 16	258	94	140	152	133	176	184	192	262	364	374	132	99	60
Summary															
The number of works overruns has been slightly high when comps of works overruns under review to assure yourself that the estimate The number of works extensions has been higher than the current could indicate a lack of coordination of the works. You should revi Although the number of works availing closure is relatively low, in The number of works availing registration are also reduced compa A based control extensions	red to the under d completion date average for under wyour procedu has increased in red to previous q	taker current as on notices artakers. Thi res to ensure i Q2 and you uarters.	average T are kept ar is could sug that the w should kee	his indicates accurate a gest poor pr ork periods e p your proce	s works whe s possible. e-planning entered refle adures unde	re the work and an over act a genuin r review to	s closed dat optimistic v ensure that	e is later the riew as to th of the time v notices are	an the estim e time requ which the w closed time	ated compl ired to unde orks will ree ously.	etion date o ertake the w juire.	on the noti rorks and	ice. You si	ould keep	he number
3.0 Interim Reinstatements The Commissioner visibles to keep the use of interim reinstatements i ensure that the timescales for completion are being met	under review and to		201	9-10			201	0-11			2011	-12		201	2-13
Interim Reinstatements done	Report 14	Q1 136	Q2 131	Q3 202	Q4 249	Q1 145	Q2 121	Q3 379	Q4 241	Q1 123	Q2 95	Q3 158	Q4 136	Q1 139	Q2 131
Interim Reinstatements due (less than 6 months)	Report 18	98	93	263	186	141	63	418	236	110	50	144	105	87	126
Interim Reinstatements due (more than 6 months) Summary	Report 18	49	66	44	19	40	16	20	15	40	18	17	4	14	14
nonths being the maximum. You should be attempting to reduce th	is figure year on	year.	r any partic		or concern t	it this time.	The require	intern in to c	omprete un	, bermanen	. Templaten	ant as soo		mable acad	ical with 6
4.1 Coring of Undertaker Works 2010-11 The Commissioner wishes to ensure that undertaker reinstatements a	comply with the pass											ient as soc	on as reas:	mably prac	ical with 6
achieve a pass rate of at least 90% during all future National Coring F Undertaker 83%Pass 17% Fail		cribed require	ments The C	ommissioner	stated in his d	inection lefter	of January 20	12 that all und	erfakers shal	so far as is r	racticable	ient as soo	on as reas:	mably prac	ical with 6
National Avarana 74% Page 26% Fail	rogrammes. The n	cribed require ext national co	ments.The C ting programs	ommissioner : me will take pl	stated in his d ace in 2013 a	irection letter nd will be rep	of January 20 orted in the ne	12 that all und ut Performant	ertakers shal te Review	, so far as is p	racticable,	ient as soc	on as reas:	enably prac	ical with 6
	rogrammes. The n	scribed require ext national co	ments The C ing programi	ommissioner i me still take pl	stated in his d ace in 2013 a	irection letter nd will be rep	of January 20 orted in the ne	12 that all und ut Performanc	ertakers shal te Review	, so far as is p	racticable,	sent as soc	on as reasc	enably prac	ical with 6
4.2 Inspection Reports The Commissioner wishes to keep under review the pass rates of Cal indicates is available from Q3 2011 only.	legory B and Calego	cribed require ext national co ory C inspectio	ments. The C ling programi ns. The pass i	ommissioner s me will take pl ate is based o	stated in his d ace in 2013 a n the number	rection letter nd will be rep of inspection	of January 20 orted in the ne s undertaken.	12 that all und ut Performanc This key perf	ertakers shal re Review prmance	, so far as is p	racticable,	201	on as reaso 11-12 04	201	2-13
22 Inspection Reports The Commissioner withes to keep under review the pass rates of Ca indicator available from 03 2011 only. Category B Impections passed	legory B and Catego	cribed require ext national con any C inspectio	ments. The C ing programi ns. The pass i	ommissioner : me still fake pl rate is based o	stated in his d ace in 2013 a n the number	rection letter nd will be rep of inspection	of January 20 orted in the ne s undertaken.	12 that all und ut Performans This key perf	ertakers shall re Review primarice Quartert	, so far as is p y Inspection	racticable,	201 Q3 345	01 as reaso 11-12 Q4 447	201 Q1 310	2-13 Q2 324
Al Impection Report The Commissioner makes to keep under review the pass rates of Ca indecision is available from 0.20 cm row). Category B Impection passed Rese of Category B Impections passed Rese of Category B Impections	tegory B and Category B and Category	cribed require ext national con cry C inspectio MB	ments The C ing programi ns.The pass i	ommissioner i me isil/ take pl ate is based o	stated in his d ace in 2013 a In the number	rection letter of will be rep	of January 20 orfed in the ne s undertaken.	12 that all und st Performant This key perf	ertakers shall re Review prmance Quarter1	, so far as is p y Inspection	racticable, is Report	201 Q3 345 92.5% [90.8%]	90 as reaso 11-12 Q4 447 92.5% [91.6%]	201 Q1 310 93.1% [91.5%]	2.13 Q2 324 91.8% [91.5%]
Theoretical Reports Theoretical Report Theoretical Repo	regory B and Catego mber of inspection mber of inspection	cribed require ext national co ory C inspectio Mis	ments The C ing programi ns. The pass i	ommissioner s me isiV take pi ate is based o	stated in his d ace in 2013 a In the number	rection letter rep nd still be rep of inspection	of January 20 orted in the ne s undertaken.	12 that all und ut Performanc This key perf	ertakers shal Review onmance Quarterl	, so far as is p y Inspection	racticable,	201 Q3 345 92.5% [90.8%] 271 89.7%	11-12 Q4 447 92.5% [91.6%] 578 95.5%	201 Q1 310 93.1% [91.5%] 280 91.8%	2:13 Q2 3244 91.8% [91.5%] 307 94.5%
All Impection Reports The Commandative Hond Links and under review the pass rates of Cemotocome and the set of Ce	tegory 8 and Catego mber of inspectic	cribed require ext national col ary C inspectio MIS	ments The C ing programi	ommissioner s me svill take pl ate is based o	stated in his di ace in 2013 a	rection letter nd will be rep of inspection	of January 20 arted in the ne e undertaken.	12 that all und ut Performans This key perf	ertakers shall re Revierr prmance Quarter1	, so far as is p y Inspection	racticable,	201 Q3 346 92.5% [90.8%] 271 89.7% [91.0%]	11-12 Q4 447 92.5% [91.6%] 578 95.5% [94.9%]	201 Q1 310 93.1% [91.5%] 280 91.8% [93.8%]	2-13 Q2 324 91.8% [91.5%] 307 94.5% [93.5%]
Altermention Report Altermention Report Annotation Annonon Annotation Annotation Annotation Annotation	regory 8 and Catego mber of Inspectic mber of Inspectic verage which do	cribed require ext national col any C inspectio was not give c hich does not	ments The C ing program ns. The pass i ause for cois t give cause	ommissioner st me ist/ take pl ate is based o toern. e for concern	stated in his d ace in 2013 a In the number	rection letter repo	of January 20 orted in the ne a undertaken	12 that all und at Performance This key perf	ertakers shat te Review prmance Quartert	, so far as is p y Inspection	racticable, is Report	201 Q3 346 92.5% [90.8%] 271 89.7% [91.0%]	11-12 Q4 447 92.5% [91.6%] 578 558 (94.9%]	201 Q1 310 93.1% [91.5%] 280 91.8% [93.8%]	2-13 Q2 324 91.8% [91.5%] 94.5% [93.5%]
22 Tangentino Reports 22 Tangentino Report 22 Tangentino R	report and the power of the pow	cribed required ext national col bry C inspectio MIS es not give co hich does not	ments The C ling program ns. The pass i ause for con t give cause 200	ommissioner s me switzke pi ate is based o scern. e for concern 19-10	stated in his d ace in 2013 a In the number	rection latter rep of will be rep	of January 20 orted in the ne or undertaken	12 that all und ut Performance This key perf	ertakers shat re Review ormance Quartert	y Inspection	racticable, is Report 2011	201 Q3 346 92.5% [90.6%] 271 [91.0%]	11-12 Q4 447 92.5% [91.6%] 578 95.5% [94.9%]	201 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 25 21 25 21 2201	2-13 Q2 324 91.8% [91.5%] 94.5% [93.5%] 2-13
Alexanction Generation Alexanction Generation Commissioner index to basic index index index of Ce Commissioner index to basic index index index of Ce Commissioner index index index index index index index Compary: Index i	mber of inspectic mber of inspectic mber of inspectic erage which do cound average w management.	cribed require and national con any C inspection was not give control of the hich does not pluce and give control of the second second second second second and second second second second second second and second second second second second second second and seco	ments The C ing program ns. The pass i ause for con t give cause 200 Q2 90	ommissioner s me switzke pi ste is based o scern. 6 for concern 19:10 03 99	nated in his d ace in 2013 a In the number n. Q4	rection letter nd will be repo	of January 20 orted in the ne e undertaken 201 Q2 119	2 that #1 und ut Performance This key perf 0-11 03 103	ertakers shal re Review ormance Quartert Q4	y Inspection	racticable, is Report 2011 Q2 50	201 03 346 92.5% [90.8%] 271 89.7% [91.0%]	11.12 Q4 447 92.5% [91.6%] 95.5% [94.9%]	201 21 310 93,1% [91,5%] 91,8% [93,8%] 201 21 201	2:13 Q2 324 91.8% [91.5%] 94.5% [93.5%] 2:13 Q2 2:13 Q2 39
Alignment of the second standing of the	reprovements the new providence of the providenc	cribed require st rational con any C inspection was as not give c hich does no Q1 93 he number o	ments The C ing programs ns. The pass i ause for cou- t give cause 200 Q2 90 f works you	anterissioner i me wil take pl ate is based o scern. e for concern 19-10 03 99 99 99	nabed in his d acc in 2013 a in the number a. Q4 100 p them under	of inspection Q1 149 01 research	of January 20 arted in the ne a undertaken 201 Q2 119 ed seek to re	2 bhat all undi dt Performance 7his key perf 0-11 03 103 sduce them.	ertskers shall re Review primance Quartert Q4 186	y Inspection Q1 123	racticable, is Report 2011 Q2 50	201 Q3 346 92.5% [90.5%] 271 89.7% [91.0%] 71.0%]	11-12 Q4 447 92.5% [91-6%] 578 95.5% [94.9%]	201 01 310 93.1% [91.5%] 200 91.8% [93.8%] 201 01 38	2-13 Q2 324 91.8% [91.5%] 307 94.5% [93.5%] 2-13 Q2 39
A superior despite the event of	reprovements The new report of Inspection umber of Inspection wreage which do round average with Report 19 It In relation to t	cribed require ad national cor bry C inspectio was as not give c hich does not Q1 93 93 he number o	ments The Ci ing programs ns. The pass i ause for con t give cause 200 Q2 90 f works you	seem. e tot based o scern. e for concern 9-10 03 99 99	nabed in his di ace in 2013 a In the number a. Q4 100 p them under	of inspection of inspection of inspection Q1 149 of review ar	of January 20 orted in the ne s undertaken 201 Q2 119 ad seek to re	12 that all und ust Performance 7his key perf 0-11 03 103 	ertakers shall re Review Drmance Quarter1 Q4 186	y Inspection	racticable, is Report 2011 Q2 50	201 03 345 92.5% [91.5%] 271 (93.7%) 271 (93.7%) 271 (93.7%) 253 53	11-12 Q4 417 92.5% [91-6%] 578 95.5% [94.9%] Q4 29 29	201 21 310 93.1% [91.5%] 91.8% [93.8%] 280 201 01 38 201	2:13 Q2 324 91.95 94.55 93.55
Alteraction Report Alteraction Report Commension Report Commension Report Commension C	representations of the temperatures of the temperatures of the temperature of the temperature of the temperature of the temperature of temperature of temperature of temperatures of temperatu	cribed require ad national cor- pry C inspection ory S inspection was as not give c hich does not chich does not bich does not ga ga be number a ment not known	ments The City of the programments of the programments of the pass	seem. e tel based o scern. e for concern (9-10) Q3 99 should keep	nated in his d acc in 2013 a in the number n. Q4 100 p them under	of inspection of inspection of inspection Q1 149 st review at	of January 20 orted in the ne a undertaken 201 Q2 119 ad seek to re	2 that all und dt Performance This key perf 0.11 Q3 103 duce them.	ertakers shall te Review Drmance Quartert Q4 186	y Inspection Q1 123	racticable, is Report 2015 Q2 50 wm report	201 03 346 192.5% 190.2% 190.2% 191.0	01 as reasons a reason of the second	201 01 310 93.1% (93.8%) 94.8% (93.8%) 201 01 38 201 01 38	2:13 Q2 324 (91.5%) 91.5% (91.5%) 94.5% (93.5%) 2:13 Q2 39 2:13 Q2 39
Characteria Report Compare Index to Account of the Accoun	representations of the temperatures of the temperature of tem	cribed require any C inspection any C inspection are senet give c hick does not hick d	ments The Co ing program ns. The pass of t give cause 200 Q2 90 f works you 21	anentistioner of the planet of	atabed in his d ace in 2013 a in the number a. Q4 100 p them under	of inspection of inspection Q1 149 st review ar	of January 20 orted in the ne a undertaken 201 Q2 119 ad seek to re	2 that all und dt Performance This key perf 0.11 Q3 103 duce them.	ertakers shat re Review Domance Quarterl Q4 186	so far as is p y Inspection 01 123	vectoable, is Report 2011 Q2 50 www.report	201 03 346 92.5% (91.9%) -12 03 53 201 03	01 as reasons a reason of the second	201 01 310 91.55 9	2.13 Q2 324 (91.5%) (91.5%) (91.5%) (93.5%) 2.13 Q2 39 2.13 Q2 39 2.13 Q2 2.14 7%
Chapterian Report Chapterian Comparison Compariso	regrammer. The ne regray & and Catego umber of Inspectic umber of Inspectic errange which does rearing which	cribed require cribed require ny C inspection ry C inspection ry S es net give c hich does no hich does no hi	ments The C of the second seco	anentialioner of the point of t	ntated in his d ace in 2013 a in the number a. Q4 100 p them under	vector léter d'util de reo of inspector	of January 20 boted in the ne a undertaken 201 Q2 119 cd seek to re ed by the til	12 that all und de Pertormano 7his key pert 0.11 0.3 duce them. ne you ente	ertaker phate Revee ormance Quarterf Quarterf	so far as is p y Inspection 01 123 TM not kno	2011 22 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	201 201 345 202.5% 271 89.7% 271 89.7% 271 89.7% 271 353 271 03 03 03 04 04 04 05 05 04 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	21 4.12 04 14.12 04 191.5% 191	201 310 93.1% 91.5	2:13 Q2 324 91:555
Comparing the second seco	regrammer. The ne regray & and Catego mober of Inspectic works of Inspectic ereage which do a remanagement. Report 19 It In relation to t at In relation to t any "Fration to t any "station to	cribed require and national color ary C inspections was estimated by the color hich does no Q1 93 the number of ment not known would like to	ments The C of the second seco	anendational and a second ate is based of ate is based of ate is based of ate is based of a should keep a should keep a should keep 9.10	tatation in the design of the second se	vector letter di uli de reco of respector 149 149	of January 20 otted in the ne e undertaken 02 115 03 115 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	12 Part at Unit Africanian Performance 7Nd Rey performance 0.11 0.3 103 103 103 103 103	ertakers phate Reversion ormance Quarterf Quarterf 186	so far as is p y Inspection 01 123 TM not kno	2011 2011 22 50 wm report all continum 2011	201 03 345 92.5% (91.8%) 271 95.7% (91.9%) 353 53 201 03 201 03 201 03	11.12 04 447 92.5% 91.6% 95.5% 95.5% 94.9% 04 04 04 04 04 04	201 01 310 93.1% 93.5% 9	2:13 Q2 324 91.5%
Classical and second and a second a second and a second a se	regrammer. The ne regrammer of inspection onder of inspection mean of inspection errange which discrete regrammer of the second errange which discrete errange which discrete errange which discrete errange which discrete errang	oronad recursor and one of the second s	ments The C of the second seco	ommassiver, me with take pitches and the second sec	tatad in his di cese in 2013 a in the number of the number	of inspection (effect of an appection) of inspection of in	of January 20 onted in the ne a undertaken 201 02 119 nd seek to re 201 22 119 23 119 201 201 201 201 201	r2 hrat all und Retromand This key perf 0.11 03 103 reluce them.	ertakers phale Primerice Quarterf Q4 136	so far as is p y Inspection Q1 123	vachcable ss Report 2011 22 50 wm report all continuu 2011	201 03 345 92.5% (91.8%) 271 95.7% (91.9%) 353 53 03 03 03 e to monito	20 84 reasons 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	201 01 310 93.1% 93.5% 9	2:13 Q2 374 [91:5%] 91:5% [91:5%] 91:5% [91:5%] 2:13 Q2 2:13 Q2 2:13 Q2 2:13 Q2 2:13
A support of the second secon	Ingrammed: The ne moder of Inspection moder of Inspection moder of Inspection errage Machine in the remain average of remain average of re	ory C repetition of the second	ments The C of the page of the	enmasser, en eil based o the e based o the table of based o the ba	tatad in his di cese in 2013 a in the number Q4 2	rection letter of inspection of inspection at review ar	of decay 20 orted in the ne a undertaken und	r2 hat al und Protomano Protomano This key perf 0.11 03 103 104 104 104 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	ertaker phai ertaker phai ertaker phai ertaker Quarter 186	o far at is p y Inspection Q1 123	2011 2011 202 50 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	2011 03 345 (90.7%) 92.5% (90.7%) 92.5% (90.7%) 93.7% (91.9%) 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 201 03 205 03 205 03 205 03 205 03 205 03 205 03 205 05 205 05 205 05 205 205 205 205 20	20 A F (PASA) 41-12 04 417 417 517 (P1-5%) (P1-5%) (P1-5%) (P1-5%) 04 39 04 39 04 04 20 04 04 04 04 04 04 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	201 01 03.1% (91.5%) 91.5% (91.5%) 01.5% (91.5%) 01.5% 01 01 02 201 01 225 201 01 23% 01 25% 01 25% 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	2:13 Q2 334 [91:8% [91:9%] 347 (93:9%] 2:13 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:14 Q2 2:15 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
21 Singeting in Hardson and States 	Improvements of improcession motor of improcession motor of improcession motor of improcession encode averages in management Report 19 set In relation to to to 19 set In relation to to to 19 set In relation to to the relation to to the relation to the motor set of the motor set of the region of the relation of the relation to the relation of the re	ory C repetition of the second	ments The C of the page of the	energia and a second and a se Second and a second and a s	tabled in his di tes in 2013 a ti the number Q4 tegory shou Q4 2	rection letter and unit de receiver and unit de receiver and unit de receiver and	ar January 20 20 Longer Henne Undertakten	17 Ang all und Ang all ang all ang	ertaker phale mence Quartert 186	o far as o f	2011 2011 202 50 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	201 03 345 (90.5%) (90.5%) (91.6%) 03 53 201 03 c 201 03 c 201 03 c 201 03 c 3 53 201 03 c 3 53	21 41 12 Q4 417 Q4 417 22.5% 52.5% 55.5% [21.5%] Q4 23 Q4 24 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q	201 01 310 91,5% (71,5%) 91,5% (71,5%) 01,5% (73,5%) 01,5% 201 01 25% 201 01 2 01 2 01 2 01 2 01 01 2 01	2:13 Q2 91.6% (91.5%)
Alignment of the spectral process of the spectral	regrammer. The ne regrammer of inspection under of inspection and average which des contradeverses reage which des contradeverses regrammer. Report 19 contradeverses regrammer. Report 19 contradeverses regrammer. Report 19 contradeverses regrammer. Report 20 contradeverses regrammer. Report 20 contradeverses report 20 co	any C maperior results of restriction of con- any C maperior of the con- stant of the constraints of the constraints of the con- straints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- straints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- straints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- straints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- straints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- straints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- traints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- traints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- traints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- traints of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- traints of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the con- traints of the constraints of the cons	ments The C of more program assee for course give cause give cause give give cause give	annanason anti Jave J ate is based of search. G 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	atabel of his de control of the number of th	viction (Bar) of material of engl of nepection of nepection review an of review an of review an of review and of the update	ar January 20 Longer Henne a undertaken undertaken 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	12 Inst all und de Parlamana 1716 key perf 1811 03 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 18	ertakers shall of Revee Domance Quartert 196 r yeur S114 Q4 2	o far as o p y Inspection Q1 123 TM not kno notice. I st 1	2011 2011 202 50 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	201 03 346 190.5% 190.5% 190.5% 271 69.7% 03.5% 03 03 03 03 03 04 03 04 03 04 03 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	211-12 04 427 527 527 527 527 527 525 525 525 525 5	201 01 91,55 91,55 (93,55) (93	2:13 Q2 91.6% (91.5%)
Classical and second and sec	Impartanees of inspection motor of inspection motor of inspection motor of inspection management. Report 19 all in relations to to management. Report 19 recolved last yeurs recolved last yeurs chara REACCION	any C maperior and an an and an an and an an and an and an and an an and an and an and an and an and an and an	ments The C of the pase of the	annanasova ante a casad o scena. se far concara 9:10 0:39 9:30 9:30 9:30 9:30 0:30 0:30 0:3	n in the number of the number	viction (Barr) of material or rep of material of mater	ar January 20 state in the ne cundertaken 02 119 02 119 02 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	12 That all under all unde	ertakers shall omance Quarteri Quarteri 2 Q4 2	of far as of p y Inspection 111 123 111 not kno 0 1	2011 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	201 03 346 (90,5%) (90	21.12 04 17.25 19.15 19.	201 319 319 319 319 319 319 319 319 319 31	2:13 2:15 2:15
Simple Control of the second sec	Impartamente: The ne angory of and Calego moter of Inspectic moter of Inspectic mo	or other resolution of control of the second	mante The C of or programmer assee for costs assee for costs cost of works you cost of emphasise cost cos	anna save and a save of a	 a. b. c. c	one does to the operation of the operati	ar January 20 social mice ne current mice ne c	12 That all under all unde	antanens phala en Anvens Ouantert Quantert Quantert Quantert Quantert Quantert Quantert Quantert	v Inspection at 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 2 3	2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011	201 03 345 92.5% (91.6%) 271 (95.7% (95.7% (95.7%) 271 03 353 201 03 03 03 11 12 12 12 03 11	20 84 79836 11.12 04 97.85 97.85 97.85 97.85 97.85 97.85 97.95 97.95 97.95 94.95 04 04 2 04 04 2 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	2011 2011 01 316 317 200 317 318 201 318 318 201 318 318 201 318 318 201 318 318 201 318 318 201 318 318 201 318 318 01 329 329 01 320 318	2:13 02 374 91:5% 91:5% [93:5%] 2:13 02 2:13 02 2:13 02 2:14 7% coold with 0 0 2:13 0 0 2:13 0 0 2:13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Alignmentin Report Alignmentin Ali	Improvements of Inspection motor of Inspection motor of Inspection motor of Inspection management. Report 19 all In relations to to my 77affic management. Inspection to to my 77affic management. Inspection to to my 77affic management. Inspection to the my 77affic management. Inspect	an and you and a second	menta The C of the page of the	where a set task p is a set of the set of task p is a set of task p is	a table in the d	on the second se	of January 20 2011 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 20	12 The B Line and Lin	antabura andal antabura andal somance Quartert Q	and the sector of the sector o	2011 2011 202 50 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	239 03 03 04 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	211-12 04 47 92.5% 191.	201 01 310 91,51,15 (91,54) 01 38 01,53,15 (91,54) 01 25,25 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 25 201 01 38 201 01 38 38 201 01 38 38 201 01 38 38 201 01 38 38 201 01 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	2:13 322 324 91,5% 13,5%

Appendix G – Road Authorities

	EDNI's Currently
Area	Issued
Aberdeenshire	Yes
Amey South West	Yes
ArgvII & Bute	Yes
Bear South East	Yes
East Ayrshire	Yes
East Dunbartonshire	Yes
East Lothian	Yes
Edinburgh	Yes
Falkirk	Yes
Highland	Yes
Inverclyde	Yes
North Ayrshire	Yes
South Lanarkshire	Yes
Stirling	Yes
Transerv North West	Yes
West Lothian	Yes
Glasgow	Yes
Aberdeen City	No
Angus	No
Bear North East	No
Clackmannanshire	No
Dumfries & Galloway	No
Dundee City	No
Fife	No
Midlothian	No
Moray	No
North Lanarkshire	No
Orkney & Shetland	No
Perth & Kinross	No
Scottish Borders	No
South Ayrshire	No
West Dumbarton	No
Western Isles	No

Appendix I – Notes and references

- 1 All references to costs and expenditures within this paper, unless otherwise indicated, are in 2009/10 prices.
- 2 Official Website of the Scottish Road Works Commissioner, www.roadworksscotland.gov.uk