Time to Connect Incentive



Discussion at CONWG

Brian Hoy

CONWG – 5 June 2013

Covering....



- Action: Phil Swift (PS) and Brian Hoy (BH) to consider and assess the various options of splitting the reward and report back to the working group by the end of May.
- Two options have been considered:
 - An equal split of the incentive over the four elements
 - ie split between LVSSA, LVSSB and between time to quote and time to connect
 - A split based on equal incentive per customer



		DNC) 1	DN	0 2
Time to Quote	LVSSA	3,000	50%	4,800	80%
	LVSSB	3,000	50%	1,200	20%
	Combined LVSSA & B	6,000		6,000	
Time to	LVSSA	1,500	50%	2,400	80%
Time to deliver	LVSSB	1,500	50%	600	20%
	Combined LVSSA & B	3,000		3,000	
	TOTAL Volumes	9,000		9,000	
	Max Reward	£1,600,000		£1,600,000	

- To model the impact two generic DNOs have been used
- Both have the same
 - Overall volumes of activities
 - the mix of LVSSA & LVSSB represent the range across DNOs
 - The same Maximum Reward
 - The same targets
- The modelling assumes the same average performance for each scenario



		Incentive per			Incentive per
	Incentive equal 4 way split	Incentive	activity	Incentive	activity
Quoting	LVSSA	£400,000	£133	£400,000	£83
	LVSSB	£400,000	£133	£400,000	£333
Delivery	LVSSA	£400,000	£267	£400,000	£167
-	LVSSB	£400,000	£267	£400,000	£667

- Allocation
 - By definition the allocation across each element is the same for each modelled DNOs
 - Note will vary in reality as total incentive linked to allowed revenue
 - The incentive per activity varies dependent on the mix of each activity for the modelled DNOs
 - Ratios seem logical ie LVSSB>LVSSA; Delivery>Quoting
 - Again will vary in reality as no direct correlation between allowed revenue and LVSSA & LVSSB volumes
- Incentive properties
 - Value of incentive known in advance for each element
 - Same average performance results in same incentive
 - Different weightings per activity within each DNO

Split based on equal incentive per customer



	Incentive per				Incentive per		
	Incentive weighted by volume	Incentive	activity	Incentive	activity		
Quoting	LVSSA	£533,333	£178	£853,333	£178		
	LVSSB	£533,333	£178	£213,333	£178		
Delivery	LVSSA	£266,667	£178	£426,667	£178		
	LVSSB	£266,667	£178	£106,667	£178		

- Allocation
 - Allocation across each element varies dependent on mix for each DNO
 - And in reality also by value of allowed revenue
 - By definition the incentive per activity is the same for each activity for the modelled DNOs
 - Will vary in reality due to differences in allowed revenue and mix of activity
- Incentive properties
 - Value of incentive not known in advance for each element (assuming calculated after year end based on actual mix)
 - Same average performance results in different incentive reward
 - Same incentive per value within each DNO

Incentive properties

Target 8 12 42 53 Performance	Max Reward 6 6 28 38	Target 8 12 42 53	-
9 12 42 53 Performance	6 28	12 42	(
42 53 Performance	28	42	-
Performance	-		28
Performance	38	53	<u> </u>
			38
		Performance	
. 7		7	
9		9	
32		32	
45		45	
<i>i</i> e equal 4 way sp	lit		
£262,900		£262,900	
£184,626		£184,626	
£282,214		£282,214	
£206,231		£206,231	
£935,970		£935,970	:
<i>v</i> e weighted by vol	ume		
		£560,852	
	-		
	£282,214 £206,231 £935,970 we weighted by vol £350,533 £246,168 £188,142	$\begin{array}{c} \pounds 282,214\\ \pounds \underline{\pounds 206,231}\\ \underline{\pounds 935,970}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$



- If assume the same targets for both DNOs
- If assume the same actual average performance for each of the four elements
- Equal four split of incentive results in the same incentive reward
- Incentive on equal incentive per activity results in different incentive rewards