

Dear Sir / Madam,

Following the review of the minutes and associated paperwork, please find my comments regarding the two below questions

1. Do you agree that trialling electricity demand reduction or shifting through the LCN Fund could provide DNOs with valuable learning on their role in supporting the development of a low carbon economy? **I do agree with the trialling of reducing the overall peak demand, but also consideration needs to be applied to the end user and in particular companies / data centres where high peak loads are requested from the network, but are hardly ever achieved. Consideration needs to be applied on the learning / teaching of the high end user their impact to the DNO grid and the associated effects it has. Additionally there needs to be review on how costs could be implemented such that user obtains a cost benefit. This would encourage the user to think more on how their buildings / usage can benefit them.**
2. Does the drafting proposed in annex 1 facilitate the trialling of electricity demand reduction or shifting through the LCN Fund? **Yes I agree with what is initial proposed for the DNO however the major issue here will be the integration of old systems with modern day electronics. Again plant replacement strategies are good, but replacing like for like is not good enough. Instead there should be an action in which more efficient plant is installed. In this am particular aiming at transformers where low loss TX's can be integrated into the system.**

Look forward to overall response.

Kind regards

**Rich Seale**  
Executive Engineer

**HOARE LEA**  
Celebrating 150 years of engineering excellence