

Strategy Consultation Responses

Proposals for Connections

RIIO-ED1 Strategy Consultation – key messages

- We want the DNOs to adapt their process to customer needs, not forcing customers to accept DNO processes
- Highlighted 3 key issues
 - Quality of connections service
 - Provision of information
 - Timeliness of connections

Quality of service

Proposals

- Retaining GSOP (considering inflationary uplift)
- BMCS separate survey and financial incentive for small and large connection customers

- Majority of stakeholders (inc all DNOs) support split between major/minor.
 - Some stakeholders consider that other connection types should also be differentiated (eg community projects or unmetered connections).
 - One DNO considers it unnecessary as existing survey achieves this.
- All DNOs support fixed targets for CSS.
 - Some DNOs suggest a mid period review.
 - One stakeholder suggested targets should be ratcheted up.
 - One DNO suggest that 50% of the reward based on annual improvement.
- Question financial value of the incentive.

Provision of information

Proposals

- Retain LTDS and DG Connection Guide
- Propose to remove licence obligation to produce DG Information Strategy
 if properly incentivised is this necessary?
- Considering factoring into overall performance customer satisfaction with information provided

- All respondents support retaining LTDS and Connection Guide.
- The majority of DNOs/stakeholders support removing Information Strategy if properly incentivised elsewhere.
- Several stakeholders highlighted importance of information provision.
 Mixed response whether additional incentive needed to drive this.
 - Some consider that there are already incentives on DNOs to provide info to customers upfront.
 - Others consider that additional incentive is needed (possibly inc in CSS).

Timeliness of connections

Proposals

- Intro Average time to connect incentive
 - Measure average time to produce a quote & overall time to connect
 - Different targets for different networks/customers? Use of exemptions?

- Majority of respondents supported Time to Connect for minor customers, but not for Major customers.
- DNOs support use of exemptions.
- DNOs support fixed, individual targets for each DNO (several suggested mid-period reviews).

Impact of Competition Test (CT)

Proposals

- Excluded market segments no effective competition
 - Require incentives survey & time to connect (with reward/penalty)
- Relevant market segments that don't pass no effective competition
 - Require incentives survey & time to connect (penalty only)
- Relevant market segments that pass CT
 - No need for survey or time to connect incentives
 - Ouestion whether measure needed for non-contestable services
 - GSOPs remain

- Relevant market segments that don't pass
 - Some market segments v.small, mechanisms might not work.
 - Size of penalty should be proportionate to the approx market value of those market segments.
- Relevant market segments that pass CT
 - One DNO consider that GSOPs are admin burden. Potentially anti-competitive.
 - Generally agree that incentives should be withdrawn
 - Reward/penalties proportionately adjusted for market segments that pass the CT to reflect their market value.
 - Split support whether incentives needed for non-contestable work
 - Ongoing reduction in non con activities. SLC offer protection.
 - Others consider that BMCS or Time to Connect is needed.



Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers