

# RIIO-ED1 Strategy Consultation

**Proposals for Connections** 

James Veaney, Ofgem

#### **RIIO-ED1** connections issues

 We want the DNOs to adapt their process to customer needs, not forcing customers to accept DNO processes

- Highlighted 3 key issues
  - Quality of connections service
  - Provision of information
  - Timeliness of connections

## Quality of service & provision of information

- Retaining GSOP (considering inflationary uplift)
- BMCS separate survey and financial incentive for small and large connection customers
- Considering factoring into overall performance customer satisfaction with information provided
- Retain LTDS and DG Connection Guide
- Propose to remove licence condition for Information Strategy if properly incentivised is this necessary?

#### **Timeliness of connections**

- Average time to connect incentive
  - We want DNOs to connect people on average quicker than at present
  - DNOs should review end to end process and find ways of improving planning, investment in network and use of technology to make it more efficient
- Don't want process to stay the same and customers forced into shorter timeframes
- Stronger weighting on customer satisfaction
- Incentive for average time to produce a quote & overall time to connect
- Different targets for different networks/customers? Use of exemptions

#### Other issues

- Not proposing to change charging arrangements
  - Better upfront information
  - Incentives on DNOs to connect quicker and explore alternatives to reinforcement
- Treatment of customer contributions
  - Propose to adjust DNO baseline allowance <u>and</u> recorded spend to take account of customer contributions for <u>all</u> connections
  - DNO should be broadly neutral on recovering costs via connection of UOS charges
  - Remove potential to incentivise DNOs to always wait for customers to request connection before reinforcing

#### Other issues

- DG incentive
- Introduced in DPCR4 to incentivise DNOs to be efficient when connecting uncertain volumes of DG
- Reinforcement to be recovered through UOS given partial pass-through
- £/KW revenue
- Majority of DG reinforcement does not require recovery through UOS
- Is it incentivising behaviour? Should we treat DG differently from load connections?

## **Impact of Competition Test (CT)**

- Won't know outcome of CT until 2014.
- Excluded market segments no effective competition
  - Require incentives survey & time to connect
  - Reward/penalty basis
- Relevant market segments that don't pass no effective competition
  - Require incentives survey & time to connect
  - Don't want to incentivise CT failures. Propose penalty only incentive (level of penalty linked to no. of segments passing CT
- Relevant market segments that pass CT
  - Competition should protect customers not proposing survey or time to connect incentives
  - Question whether additional measures required to ensure effective provision of non-contestable services
  - Consult on inclusion of these customers in complaints metric

# Range of proposed incentive (% of all revenue)

Incentive/Measure			Maximum reward exposure	Maximum penalty exposure
Guaranteed standards of performance (GSOP) (minimum service level)			None	0/As per the requirement
Broad measure of customer satisfaction (BMCS)	Customer satisfaction survey	Minor connections	+0.5	- 0.5
		Major connections	None	(-0.5)*
Average Time to Connect incentive (new incentive)			+0.4	(-0.4)*
Total Penalties/Rewards			+0.9	-0.5 to -1.4

<sup>\*</sup> dependent upon number of relevant market segments that have passed the Competition Test

Equivalent exposure for DPRCR = +0.32/-0.2



Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers