



The RIIO-ED1 losses incentive

4th May 2012







The DPCR5 losses incentive will not be fit for purpose at the start of RIIO-ED1

- The DPCR5 losses incentive takes as its starting point settlements data which inherently relies on estimated consumption
 - There have already been material issues with consistency over time in DPCR4 settlements data as historical errors have been corrected
 - While the data should have been improved by RIIO-ED1, there is no guarantee an end point has been reached
 - The roll-out of smart meters during RIIO-ED1 is likely to uncover further material errors, and material discontinuities as these are corrected
- Establishing incentive targets and consistent measurement will be extremely challenging
- Any efforts DNOs can make to reduce actual electrical losses will be drowned by changes in measurement error, which is not an effective starting point for any incentive.



An alternative must be found if Ofgem is to be able to implement a credible incentive to reduce electrical losses from the start of RIIO-ED1

> NORTHERN Powergrid

Smart meters should improve losses data but will need to be rolled out and bedded in first

- Smart meters should bring material benefits to the operation of networks
- This should include the ability to measure electrical losses on the network with much greater accuracy, provided that the :
 - technical specification of the meters and data transmission network is good enough; and
 - roll-out covers a large enough proportion of currently non half hourly metered sites
- But the rollout will take time...
- ... It might cause the data to get worse before it gets better...
- ... and we will need to have enough stable data available before targets can be set...
- Critically, we cannot forecast what the impact of the rollout will be when setting targets

While smart meters should help, they will not provide an answer soon enough for the start of RIIO-ED1



Range of cumulative smart meter rollout volumes – DECC Impact assessment 30/03/2011

3

During the transition, an alternative way to incentivise electrical loss reduction is needed

- Although direct measurement and incentivisation of electrical losses would be ideal, this is not possible
- The best available proxy is required, and we have seen that the current settlements data is not fit for purpose
- This leaves the obvious alternative as an engineering model of electrical losses on the network which would:
 - provide a consistent measure of losses and changes in losses over time
 - be a sound basis for a relatively high powered incentive to encourage the installation of low loss equipment
- Any proxy arrangements should then be reviewed in future once the smart meter rollout is completed and sufficient post roll-out data is available to establish target levels.
- This may possible at the mid-period review of outputs for RIIO-ED1, provided that sufficient data following the smart meter roll-out is available.

An engineering model of losses is the most obvious candidate, at least until enough data is available from smart meters

