



Inveralmond House
200 Dunkeld Road
Perth PH1 3AQ

Gareth Walsh
Senior Manager Transmission Policy
OFGEM
9 Millbank
London
SW1P 3GE

Tel: 01738 516739

6 February 2012

Dear Gareth,

Response to Notice under Section 11A(2) of the Electricity Act 1998 of proposed modifications to the Special Conditions of Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Limited's Licence, issued 9 January 2012 (TPCR4 Rollover Statutory Consultation)

I am writing on behalf of Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Limited (SHETL). This letter and its attachment constitutes a Notice of Statutory Representation in respect of the proposed modifications of special conditions J1, J2, J3, J4, J5, J7, and Schedule C. In response to the statutory notice of 5 January 2012, the following constitutes a representation in respect of that proposal on behalf of SHETL. I confirm that I am duly authorised to provide this representation on behalf of the above named company.

Our comments are provided in the attached appendix. These are a small number of points where we believe typographical errors have occurred and been missed in the drafting process. We would suggest that these small amendments, which do not materially affect on the licence condition, should be made prior to the licence modification taking effect.

Please do not hesitate to contact any member of the team if you wish to discuss any of the points raised.

Yours sincerely,

Rob McDonald
Managing Director, Regulation and Strategy

Appendix – SHETL comments on the proposed licence modifications for the TPCR4 Rollover

In review of the proposed modifications, we have identified the following typographical errors and propose that these are addressed prior to the modifications coming into effect.

Special Condition J3, Paragraph 3

In the definition of the term RPIF_t, there seems to be an extra word (“in”). The current wording reads as follows:

“shall have the same meaning as in given in paragraph 3 of special condition J2”.

We propose that the first usage of “in” be removed (emphasis added above to illustrate).

Special Condition J5, Paragraph 2

In the definition of the terms PR_{t-1} and RIUPA_y, paragraph 3 is referred to but this paragraph is later struck out and marked “not used”.

We propose that reference to paragraph 3 be removed from these two definitions.

Special Condition J5, Paragraph 15 to 25

References to other paragraphs within this section of the Condition are out of sync as a consequence of earlier paragraphs being deleted.

We propose that either earlier paragraph numbers are not deleted but instead marked “not used” or the paragraph numbering in these sections be updated to prevent any subsequent misunderstanding.

Special Condition J7, Introductory Paragraph

For completeness, we propose that this paragraph be given a means by which it can be referred to.

To prevent the need to minimise subsequent paragraphs, we propose that the identifier “1A” be used.