

*LCN Fund Full Submission*

# *Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer is Confidential: ☐

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally: ☐

Project code:	WPDT2002	Question Number	15																
Question date	13th September 2011	Answer date	20 <sup>th</sup> September 2011																
Submission section question relates to	Appendix J																		
Topic	Carbon Benefits																		
Question	The carbon benefits stated are based on a number of assumptions in Appendix J. Reference is made to a gross saving of 40% (32% net). How and on what basis has this assumption been derived?																		
Notes on question																			
Answer	<p>Taking the cost saving average from detail given in Appendix F:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th><th>% Cost Saving</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dynamic Asset Rating</td><td>80</td></tr> <tr> <td>Automated Load Transfer</td><td>50</td></tr> <tr> <td>Meshed Networks</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td>Battery Storage</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Distributed Generation</td><td>50</td></tr> <tr> <td>Demand Side Management</td><td>50</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>Total Average</b></td><td><b>45</b></td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The average percentage cost saving borne by the complete project is 45%; this cost saving is based on the reduction of traditional reinforcements required. Using the 45% cost saving and taking a conservative approach of the corresponding carbon saving through a reduction of reinforcement, the figure of 40% was arrived at.</p>				% Cost Saving	Dynamic Asset Rating	80	Automated Load Transfer	50	Meshed Networks	30	Battery Storage	10	Distributed Generation	50	Demand Side Management	50	<b>Total Average</b>	<b>45</b>
	% Cost Saving																		
Dynamic Asset Rating	80																		
Automated Load Transfer	50																		
Meshed Networks	30																		
Battery Storage	10																		
Distributed Generation	50																		
Demand Side Management	50																		
<b>Total Average</b>	<b>45</b>																		

	Using this value and an assumption that the network intervention method would be applicable to 90% of the network and also applying a further "caution factor" of 90% an overall saving of 32% is derived (90*45*90).
Attachments	
Verbal Clarifications (Consultants )	