

*LCN Fund Full Submission*

# *Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer is Confidential: ☐

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally: ☐

Project code:	ENWLT2003	Question Number	30
Question date	8 September 2011	Answer date	13 September 2011
Submission section question relates to	3		
Topic	Project Business Case		
Question	Your answer to question no 7 states that the numbers refer to the average total reinforcement cost based upon 338 jobs. Please can you confirm that these costs are those which are avoidable by using the proposed Method.		
Notes on question	n/a		
Answer	In principle, yes. We highlighted in question 7 that we had analysed a total of 338 jobs which incurred reinforcement over the period 2008 to 2011 to calculate the average total reinforcement costs (ie both customer and ENWL funded reinforcement costs). The aim of this analysis was to provide the potential average savings per project from application of the C <sub>2</sub> C Method and we did not review each project to confirm the C <sub>2</sub> C Method is applicable. Note, the costs for the provision of remote control equipment both on the distribution network and at a customer's premises within the C <sub>2</sub> C Project are not included in the above analysis.		
Attachments	None.		
Verbal Clarifications (Consultants)	None.		

)	
---	--