

## Appendix 2 – Estimated outcome questionnaire for DNOs

---

1.1. All DNOs (each licensee not each group) are requested to complete and return this questionnaire by 6 December 2011. The figures provided will be for comparative purposes and will not prejudice any further restatement application. (Note: CE and ENWL licensees only need to estimate the Option 2 outcome, while SP licensees only need to estimate the Option 1 outcome).

DNO name: \_\_\_\_\_

Original number of units reported as distributed in 2009-10:	
EHV	
HV	
LV1	
LV2	
LV3	

### Option 1

1) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing R1 to R3 reconciliation adjustments applied to the average level for 2005-06 to 2008-09	
2) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing RF and DF reconciliation adjustments applied to zero	
3) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing negative EAC values to the profile average value	

Estimated revised number of units to be reported as distributed in 2009-10:	
EHV	[same as original reporting]
HV	[same as original reporting]
LV1	
LV2	
LV3	

### Option 2

1) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing R1 to DF reconciliation	
---	--

adjustments applied to the average for a stable period between mid-2005 and August 2008	
2) Uplift to SF distribution levels for 2008-09 as a result of assuming that loss percentages should be the same as the average for the preceding three regulatory years	
3) Uplift to SF distribution levels for 2009-10 as a result of assuming that loss percentages should be the same as the average for the preceding three regulatory years	
4) Number of units added to 2009-10 by allocating the additional units identified in steps 2 and 3 to reconciliation run adjustments in 2009-10	

Estimated revised number of units to be reported as distributed in 2009-10:	
EHV	[same as original reporting]
HV	[same as original reporting]
LV1	
LV2	
LV3	

Comments:

--