# Appendix 2 – Estimated outcome questionnaire for DNOs

* 1. All DNOs (each licensee not each group) are requested to complete and return this questionnaire by 6 December 2011. The figures provided will be for comparative purposes and will not prejudice any further restatement application. (Note: CE and ENWL licensees only need to estimate the Option 2 outcome, while SP licensees only need to estimate the Option 1 outcome).

DNO name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
| Original number of units reported as distributed in 2009-10: |
| EHV |  |
| HV |  |
| LV1 |  |
| LV2 |  |
| LV3 |  |

Option 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing R1 to R3 reconciliation adjustments applied to the average level for 2005-06 to 2008-09 |  |
| 2) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing RF and DF reconciliation adjustments applied to zero |  |
| 3) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing negative EAC values to the profile average value |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Estimated revised number of units to be reported as distributed in 2009-10: |
| EHV | [same as original reporting] |
| HV | [same as original reporting] |
| LV1 |  |
| LV2 |  |
| LV3 |  |

Option 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1) Number of units added to 2009-10 by changing R1 to DF reconciliation adjustments applied to the average for a stable period between mid-2005 and August 2008 |  |
| 2) Uplift to SF distribution levels for 2008-09 as a result of assuming that loss percentages should be the same as the average for the preceding three regulatory years |  |
| 3) Uplift to SF distribution levels for 2009-10 as a result of assuming that loss percentages should be the same as the average for the preceding three regulatory years |  |
| 4) Number of units added to 2009-10 by allocating the additional units identified in steps 2 and 3 to reconciliation run adjustments in 2009-10 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Estimated revised number of units to be reported as distributed in 2009-10: |
| EHV | [same as original reporting] |
| HV | [same as original reporting] |
| LV1 |  |
| LV2 |  |
| LV3 |  |

Comments:

|  |
| --- |
|  |