

The background features a large, stylized white arrow pointing from the bottom left towards the top right. The arrow is set against a blurred background of a modern building with a glass facade on the left and a close-up of a white ceramic light fixture on the right. The overall color palette is soft, with blues, whites, and oranges.

# **RIIO|GD1, RIIO|T1 Capacity Working Group**

11 November 2010

## Agenda

- **Introductions**
- **Terms of reference**
- **GDN Background**
- **Framework for capacity management: GDN**
- **Other aspects of regulatory framework: GDN**
- **NTS Future Network Development**
- **NTS/GDN interactions**
- **Role of demand forecasts**
- **AOB/Next Steps**

## Terms of Reference

- Forum to discuss capacity issues for RIIO|GD1 and RIIO|T1
  - Framework for setting allowances for capacity related expenditure
  - Interactions between NTS and GDNs in capacity management
  - Development of capacity output measures for NTS Future Network Development
  - Role of demand forecasts
- Discuss policy options for inclusion in December strategy document
- Debate options ahead of March 2011 Strategy decision document
- Meeting *circa* every month prior to March 2011
- Meeting notes and presentations published

## GDN Background

- **RIIO|GD1**
  - Move to output based regulation
  - Single pot expenditure allowances with incentives equalised across different types of expenditure
- **Uncertainty about gas demand**
  - GDN gas demand declining, will this continue?
  - Role of gas in low carbon economy unclear
- **Simultaneous price controls**
  - Opportunity to explore interactions between NTS and GDNs

# Capacity Management Framework: GDNs

## Broadly similar approach to GDPCR1

- Expert challenge to need for and cost of capacity related expenditure projects
- Interruption allowances set on basis of cost of supporting interruptible customers as firm
- Exit allowance based on forecast of required offtake

## Some changes to overall framework

- Equalise incentives for capacity expenditure at the margin
- Single expenditure pot?
- Link expenditure to output measure(s)
- Emphasis on achieving most efficient solution across all types of capacity (Network, Storage, interruptible, NTS)
  - Revenue dependent on demonstrating solution is most efficient
- Look to include revenue triggers for large capacity investment projects

## Other aspects of regulatory framework

### BPs should consider how other aspects of regulatory framework impact capacity requirements

- Charging arrangements
  - E.g. Scotia capex reopener decision highlighted issues with unit of capacity used to calculate capacity related transportation charges
- GDN interruption auction arrangements
  - Is appropriate price for interruption achieved
  - Possible areas to consider include: implications of price control asset life work; provision of information regarding probability of being interrupted; and is option to delay investment appropriately valued?

## Forecast changes in the NTS

- Significant changes forecast in the use of the NTS in the coming decade.
- Anticipated further decline in UKCS and increase in LNG and continental gas imports likely to create different gas entry flows
- Anticipated growth in renewable energy generation may have implications for CCGT gas exit flows
- Entry and exit commercial arrangements provide NTS with signals regards flat capacity needs – what will inform decision on other types of investment?
- Changes in entry and exit flows has the potential to impact users flexibility capacity requirements.
- As owner and operator of the NTS it is important that NGG anticipates and responds to these potential changes to ensure that the NTS is capable of meeting future gas needs.

## NTS - Future Network Development

- UNC 195AV NGG NTS is obliged to monitor and publish data on flex utilisation. Also obliged to initiate review the operational tools available to them in managing flexibility requirements.
- 2009/10 System Flexibility Industry Workshops NGG has identified key supply, demand and linepack indicators which will impact future flex availability.
- Appropriate to link this work to the RIIO|T1 framework – Future Network Development.
- Establish a formal reporting requirement on NGG NTS in respect of Future Network Development indicators – a secondary deliverable with a link to a primary output?
- NGG NTS in consultation with NTS users to develop a system wide performance measure to justify future investment requirements?
- Funding requirements for Future Network Development to be informed by performance measure?



## GDN/NTS investment efficiency

- GDN flexibility needs met by a combination of NTS exit (flexibility) capacity; GDN linepack; GDN storage; and to some extent GDN interruptible capacity.
- GDN requests for incremental exit flexibility capacity rejected where: it requires NTS system reinforcement; leads to an increase in costs; or, could reasonably be considered to lead to a conflict with the safe operation of the network.
- Current arrangements have potential to undermine investment efficiency across NTS/GDN interface – in some cases bidirectional inefficiency?
- As part of NTS Future Network Development work appropriate to develop proposals to address NTS/GDN efficiency, including
  - Procedure for identifying the most efficient form and, if necessary, location for investment.
  - Procedure for identifying funding arrangements including payment flows where works are undertaken by NGG NTS on behalf of the GDNs.
  - Compatibility of any proposals with existing UNC arrangements

## Developing Output Measures

- Want to develop metrics to understand baseline capacity and capacity utilisation
  - To allow various type of capacity to be viewed along side each other including, for GDNs, availability on the NTS and, for NTS, availability on GDN networks
  - Consider measures at networks wide (primary output?) and more local (secondary deliverable?) levels
- How do companies currently manage capacity?
  - What indicators are used to signal need for provision of additional capacity?
  - How are various types of capacity considered alongside each other?

## Demand Forecasts

- We have concerns about:
  - Divergence between NG and RDNs demand forecasts
  - Instability of demand forecasts
- As part of price control process we will:
  - Seek to understand the reasons for divergence in forecasts
  - Consider undertaking a piece of work to review demand forecasting methodologies
  - Require in business plans consideration of impact on required expenditure of alternative demand scenarios
  - Consider introducing demand triggers similar to those used in gas and elect transmission for large capacity related projects on GDN networks

## **AOB/Next Steps**