

# LCNF Full Submission

## Supplementary Answer Form

<b>DNO Name:</b>	SEPD	<b>Question Number:</b>	SSE025
<b>Question Date:</b>	16/09/2010	<b>Answer Date:</b>	midday 20/09/2010
<b>Question Topic:</b>			

<b>Original Question No:</b>		<b>Original Answer Date:</b>	
<b>Original Question:</b>			
<b>Original Answer:</b>			

<b>Question:</b>	There is no assumption of a cost of rolling out the solution across GB. If this is correct is there a justification for this?
------------------	---

<b>Answer:</b>	<p>A very clear theme of our Project is that we will be seeking to establish what the appropriate requirements for a solution are. We have stated that we expect the level of network monitoring we conduct, to be greater than that actually required, but until that is achieved we cannot put a cost on a GB roll-out. We also intend to determine what network management solutions are the most cost-effective to achieve the desired results. These costs and solutions will also be determined by the extension of the T&amp;I modelling across different representative areas. Therefore, we do not believe it is appropriate to take the cost of our activities and merely apply a pro-rata cost for GB deployment. We are particularly keen to demonstrate and enumerate how new approaches can be best achieved at lowest cost via adaptation and development of existing enterprise-wide DNO systems.</p> <p>We also anticipate a number of our initiatives to be private sector financed “quick-wins” which will commence deployment as soon as they have an established business model – eg PV promotion and network controlled building management systems. However, the financial incentives required to deliver these solutions also still need to be determined during the course of our Project.</p> <p>We refer to the significant piece of work conducted by Imperial College to ascertain the cost/benefits of “smart” versus traditional re-enforcement and we support the general findings of the study. We would not presume to conduct our own analysis in the timeframe required for the Bid submission, and believe any such attempt to do so would be misleading. We do however look forward the conclusions of our Project.</p>
<b>Attachments:</b>	