



*Promoting choice and value for
all gas and electricity customers*

25 June 2010

Electricity distribution charging boundary between higher (EDCM) and lower (CDCM) voltages – Update on illustrative charges

On 15 June 2010 Ofgem published an impact assessment consultation document (ref 72/10) on the charging boundary between EHV and HV/LV customers. The document incorporates some options for determining the charging boundary and an assessment of the potential impacts based on the initial evidence provided by the Distribution Network Operators (DNOs).

We provide below an update to our impact assessment tables¹ based on the DNOs' consultation document on the EDCM of 18 June 2010². This incorporates changes to the illustrative position set out on 15 June. In particular:

- **The revised figures remain subject to further changes in light of the ongoing development of the EDCM.**
- The figures marked in bold in the fifth and the seventh column in table A represent the updated illustrative charges.
- Almost all the illustrative EDCM demand charges have changed, from -75 percent to +70 percent. In the main, apart from WPD Wales and WPD West, all the DNOs reported some significant increases and / or decreases. These changes were a result of the work DNOs did in the week following the publication of our boundary consultation and prior to the publication of their EDCM consultation, for example revision of the method for sole use asset charging.
- The estimated EDCM charge for one generation customer has changed from a negative charge to zero.

You can [click here](#) to download a copy of our consultation document as well as the agenda for the workshop on boundary issues (28 June, 2010).

Please note that the impact assessment consultation closes on 13 July 2010. If you wish to request for more time to prepare a response or have any queries, please contact Chris Chow (chris.chow@ofgem.gov.uk).

¹ Replacing tables A and B in Appendix 3 of our consultation document.

² Details of their consultation and workshop are available online at <http://2010.energynetworks.org/edcm>.

Table A - Illustrative charging impact on Class B customers under different boundary definitions¹

Customer ID	DNO	Customer Class	Demand/ Generation	Illustrative 2010/11 DUoS charges (£/year)			Impact of moving from current charge to the CDCM (option RB)		Impact of moving from current charge to the EDCM (options NC and LB) ⁵	
				Under current arrangements (1)	Under the CDCM ^{2,3} (2)	Under the EDCM ⁴ (3)	Absolute change in annual charge (£/year) (2)-(1)	% change in annual charge [(2)-(1)]/(1)	Absolute change in annual charge (£/year) (3)-(1)	% change in annual charge [(3)-(1)]/(1)
1	EDF EPN	B1	Demand	349,256	1,663,000	513,100	1,313,744	376.2%	163,844	46.9%
2	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	132,476	1,054,900	380,150	922,424	696.3%	247,674	187.0%
3	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	918,602	1,739,050	922,800	820,448	89.3%	4,198	0.5%
4	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	646,369	1,447,050	391,500	800,681	123.9%	-254,869	-39.4%
5	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	1,376,928	2,107,850	1,400,400	730,922	53.1%	23,472	1.7%
6	EDF EPN	B1	Demand	92,605	502,600	197,050	409,995	442.7%	104,445	112.8%
7	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	88,396	460,300	111,500	371,904	420.7%	23,104	26.1%
8	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	158,504	489,450	286,100	330,946	208.8%	127,596	80.5%
9	WPD WEST	B2	Demand	146,846	476,350	201,500	329,504	224.4%	54,654	37.2%
10	WPD WEST	B2	Demand	246,981	551,900	306,900	304,919	123.5%	59,919	24.3%
11	EDF EPN	B2	Demand	80,420	378,100	139,800	297,680	370.2%	59,380	73.8%
12	SP DIST	B2	Demand	174,006	469,800	245,050	295,794	170.0%	71,044	40.8%
13	SEPD	B3	Demand	273,452	527,500	132,150	254,048	92.9%	-141,302	-51.7%
14	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	129,172	416,700	155,050	287,528	222.6%	25,878	20.0%
15	CN West	B1	Demand	108,042	390,000	167,100	281,958	261.0%	59,058	54.7%
16	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	118,746	370,950	168,700	252,204	212.4%	49,954	42.1%
17	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	663,233	894,300	332,500	231,067	34.8%	-330,733	-49.9%
18	SEPD	B2	Demand	316,615	511,900	428,100	195,285	61.7%	111,485	35.2%
19	SP DIST	B2	Demand	435,841	653,050	315,050	217,209	49.8%	-120,791	-27.7%
20	SEPD	B3	Demand	331,103	503,900	97,700	172,797	52.2%	-233,403	-70.5%
21	SEPD	B2	Demand	655,893	795,500	331,100	139,607	21.3%	-324,793	-49.5%
22	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	123,388	308,100	192,900	184,712	149.7%	69,512	56.3%
23	SEPD	B1	Demand	397,420	562,100	139,800	164,680	41.4%	-257,620	-64.8%
24	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	107,857	274,200	203,750	166,343	154.2%	95,893	88.9%
25	WPD WEST	B2	Demand	52,826	189,050	76,950	136,224	257.9%	24,124	45.7%
26	SEPD	B2	Demand	354,360	458,500	122,850	104,140	29.4%	-231,510	-65.3%
27	WPD WEST	B2	Demand	34,598	165,100	123,950	130,502	377.2%	89,352	258.3%
28	WPD WEST	B3	Demand	56,548	182,750	74,600	126,202	223.2%	18,052	31.9%
29	SP DIST	B2	Demand	460,381	586,200	269,650	125,819	27.3%	-190,731	-41.4%
30	CE NEDL	B2	Demand	81,342	204,600	124,650	123,258	151.5%	43,308	53.2%
31	EDF LPN	B2	Demand	299,783	408,400	317,850	108,617	36.2%	18,067	6.0%
32	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	50,609	159,050	116,350	108,441	214.3%	65,741	129.9%
33	SP MANWEB	B2	Demand	40,224	121,850	37,800	81,626	202.9%	-2,424	-6.0%
34	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	59,138	140,550	70,550	81,412	137.7%	11,412	19.3%
35	SP MANWEB	B2	Demand	139,578	220,400	98,150	80,822	57.9%	-41,428	-29.7%
36	SEPD	B2	Demand	527,126	561,400	309,700	34,274	6.5%	-217,426	-41.2%
37	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	399,286	454,100	487,600	54,814	13.7%	88,314	22.1%
38	SP MANWEB	B2	Demand	177,941	223,400	222,600	45,459	25.5%	44,659	25.1%
39	WPD WEST	B2	Demand	5,041	45,700	50,650	40,659	806.5%	45,609	904.7%

40	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	40,149	69,500	381,600	29,351	73.1%	341,451	850.5%
41	WPD WEST	B2	Demand	8,880	37,100	31,750	28,220	317.8%	22,870	257.5%
42	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	15,683	40,950	48,500	25,267	161.1%	32,817	209.2%
43	EDF LPN	B1	Demand	5,607	30,000	33,700	24,393	435.1%	28,093	501.1%
44	EDF LPN	B1	Demand	17,330	28,300	23,600	10,970	63.3%	6,270	36.2%
45	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	2,628	11,000	3,650	8,372	318.6%	1,022	38.9%
46	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	21,282	29,500	21,700	8,218	38.6%	418	2.0%
47	SEPD	B1	Demand	214,700	169,200	180,650	-45,500	-21.2%	-34,050	-15.9%
48	EDF LPN	B2	Demand	17,327	21,700	30,650	4,373	25.2%	13,323	76.9%
49	SEPD	B1	Demand	49,554	43,700	19,100	-5,854	-11.8%	-30,454	-61.5%
50	SEPD	B1	Demand	468,162	471,200	669,200	3,038	0.6%	201,038	42.9%
51	ENW	B1	Demand	5,203	6,300	2,500	1,097	21.1%	-2,703	-52.0%
52	WPD WALES	B1	Demand	1,483	1,550	128,150	67	4.5%	126,667	8539.9%
53	EDF SPN	B1	Demand	2,868	2,000	8,850	-868	-30.3%	5,982	208.6%
54	SEPD	B2	Demand	243,178	223,400	149,850	-19,778	-8.1%	-93,328	-38.4%
55	EDF LPN	B1	Demand	23,232	17,500	19,500	-5,732	-24.7%	-3,732	-16.1%
56	EDF SPN	B1	Demand	39,531	29,900	19,600	-9,631	-24.4%	-19,931	-50.4%
57	ENW	B1	Demand	34,120	19,300	9,950	-14,820	-43.4%	-24,170	-70.8%
58	CE NEDL	B1	Demand	21,159	6,150	11,200	-15,009	-70.9%	-9,959	-47.1%
59	EDF LPN	B1	Demand	77,933	30,000	35,700	-47,933	-61.5%	-42,233	-54.2%
60	SEPD	B1	Demand	94,780	41,200	89,250	-53,580	-56.5%	-5,530	-5.8%
61	EDF LPN	B1	Demand	188,634	117,200	91,200	-71,434	-37.9%	-97,434	-51.7%
62	SEPD	B1	Demand	397,420	283,900	343,500	-113,520	-28.6%	-53,920	-13.6%
63	SEPD	B1	Demand	198,850	120,400	158,300	-78,450	-39.5%	-40,550	-20.4%
64	EDF SPN	B2	Demand	671,420	454,300	280,150	-217,120	-32.3%	-391,270	-58.3%
65	ENW	B1	Demand	253,738	Not available	82,400			-171,338	-67.5%
66	ENW	B1	Demand	223,751	Not available	162,650			-61,101	-27.3%
67	ENW	B1	Demand	189,465	Not available	66,050			-123,415	-65.1%
68	WPD WALES	B1	Generation	0	108	0				
69	WPD WALES	B1	Generation	0	108	0				
70	WPD WALES	B2	Generation	0	-644	22,550				
71	WPD WEST	B2	Generation	0	95	0				
72	WPD WEST	B2	Generation	0	95	0				
73	WPD WEST	B2	Generation	0	95	-1,000				
74	WPD WEST	B2	Generation	0	95	-6,400				
75	WPD WEST	B2	Generation	0	95	100				
76	WPD WEST	B3	Generation	0	95	0				
77	CE NEDL	B1	Generation	0	-3,200	-100				
78	EDF LPN	B1	Generation	0	-1,158,900	-223,450				
79	EDF SPN	B1	Generation	0	-47,450	0				

- Notes:
- 1 For generation customers only illustrative charges are demonstrated.
 - 2 CDCM charges will be impacted by a migration of B customers from site-specific arrangements into the CDCM. The impact depends, among other things, on the number of migrating customers.
 - 3 B1 customers do not currently have a dedicated tariff in the CDCM. Their charge is calculated on the assumption that they would fall under an existing HV network tariff in the CDCM.
 - 4 The EDCM is still in development. Final charges may substantially differ from the above.
 - 5 The charges were derived under the assumption that all B customers are in the EDCM (NC option). The figures will change under the assumption that all B customers are in the CDCM (LB option). In most cases, the change does not appear significant.

Table B - GB summary of illustrative charging impact on Class B demand customers under different boundary definitions

	Absolute Impact (£/year)							
				Number of cases of increase by threshold				
	Smallest	Average	Largest	>£500k	£250k-£500k	£100k-£250k	£0-£100k	<£0
Impact of moving from current charge to the CDCM (option RB)	-£217,120	£160,981	£1,313,744	5	11	16	18	14
Impact of moving from current charge to the EDCM (options LB or NC)	-£391,270	-£14,514	£341,451	0	1	7	30	29

	Percentage Impact							
				Number of cases of increase by threshold				
	Smallest	Average	Largest	>500%	300-500%	100-300%	0-100%	<0%
Impact of moving from current charge to the CDCM (option RB)	-71%	128%	806%	2	8	17	23	14
Impact of moving from current charge to the EDCM (options LB or NC)	-71%	178%	8540%	4	0	7	27	29

Note: The illustrative 8,540% change in the above table appears to be a single outlier customer.