

Question 1

: Do you agree with our assessment of the current arrangements?
Yes

Question 2

: Are there other aspects of the current arrangements which could have a negative impact on secure and sustainable energy supplies, or costs to customers?

Excessive priority for finite foreign fuelled Nuclear that cannot be installed until after the energy crunch of 2013-2015.
This reducing investment in non nuclear energy now.

Ofgem needs to immediately and directly address planning committee's considering renewable energy schemes to press the case for rapid approval. Far too many are being refused on non planning issues by committees with extremely limited knowledge of the present dire situation.

Question 3

: Do you agree that the five issues we have highlighted are the most important?

Question 4

: Do you have any comments on our description of what might happen if no changes are made to the current arrangements?

Question 5

: Do you believe that our policy packages cover a sufficient range of possible policy measures?

Question 6

: Do you have suggestions for variants to these policy packages?

Gas storage can be augmented with Icelandic renewable generated liquid Hydrogen to enhance our Methane at 8%.

The governments policies on insulation (reducing fuel need or load) are woeful and need to be augmented with compulsory insulation before social benefits payable including external solid wall insulation and ALL government buildings A rating minimum.
The German model of complete insulating All buildings is a good example of increasing security by efficiency.

Question 7

: What other policy measures do you believe should be considered,

and why?

Reduction.

Assessment of the five packages

Question 8: Do you agree with the assessment criteria that we have used to evaluate the policy packages?
Yes

Question 9

: Do you have any comments on our initial assessment of each of the packages?

Financial Savings and security of supply of investing in Indigenous renewables are underplayed.

Question 10

: Do you agree with our summary of the key benefits and key risks of each policy package?

Question 11

: Do you have a view on which package is preferable, or alternative policy measures or packages that you would advocate? We are particularly interested any analysis you may have to support your views.

Package C - Enhanced Obligations with Renewables Tenders would be acceptable but with the addition of a renewable investment Bank.

Timing

Chapter Summary

In this chapter we discuss the timing issues around potential reforms and when investment is required. We discuss whether early actions should be considered in advance of more wider reform. At the end of the chapter we discuss next steps.

Question 12

: Do you agree with our assessment of the timing for important investment decisions?

Yes.The sooner the better.

Question 13

: Do you believe that early actions should be considered?

Priority.

Smart grid and smart meter accelerated rollout for 2012 not 2020.

North sea 'Super grid' connection to European neighbours accelerated with 12GW 'initial' system accelerated to being live before 2014.

Utilise Iceland's considerable renewable capacity to generate liquid Hydrogen to enhance Norwegian gas or directly to the UK (Bacton) for example at 8% mix as per National grid guidance.This would reduce carbon emissions and diversify fuel without large scale modifications.

Encourage and invest in AD for Biomethane injection.

Question 14

: Do you think that the issues are such that policy measures should

be considered as a package or should they be considered on a case by case

basis?

Package.

--

Regards,

Bryan Norris